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(Time Noted: 9:56 a.m.)
HEARING OFFICER BERGER: On the record.
Whereupon,
LINDA BELL
Having been previously sworn, was recalled as a witness and testified as herein follows:

CROSS EXAMINATION
BY MR. LEVINE:
Q Good morning, Provost Bell.
A Good morning.
Q Would it be fair to say that the primary job responsibility of most professors of professional practice is teaching courses?

A No. It would not be fair to say.
Q Why not?
A Professors of professional practice are evaluated very similarly to tenured faculty -- to tenure track faculty. And so the expectations for them is very similar. At every stage of their review process, the third year review, their review from assistant to associate professor of professional practice and from associate to full professor of professional practice, at each one of those reviews if you look the requirements for continuing, basically for reappointment and promotion, they are very similar to the requirement for tenure track faculty.

Which means that if you were ask me that question is it fair to say that the primary responsibility of your tenured line faculty is to teach, I would say it's -- you know, it's one of their key responsibilities, but professors of professional practice are expected to have and maintain very active scholarly or artistic profiles. And in fact they won't get reappointed, and they won't get promoted and they won't be allowed to continue unless they do that.

Q Well, I'm not asking you about whether there are other job responsibilities. I'm asking here at Barnard, at an undergraduate institution, whether or their primary responsibility is teaching students?

A And I would say the answer is no, as I understand the word primary.

Q Okay. And so you would tell me that in posting for example that's not what's highlighted in terms of job duties when you post for POPPES?

A Yes, that's correct. That is not what's highlighted. So POPPES are hired with respect -- as are tenure line faculty, with respect to specialization. And like tenure line faculty POPPES are expected to come in and to develop their own sort of set of criteria and curriculum, around which, you know, they confer with the department chair or with other colleagues in the department. And so a POPP needs to have established a kind of scholarly record upon hire -- scholarly/artistic record upon
hire, which they have to continue to grow, in order to continue at the college.

Q Right. I understand. You want people who are competent in their field.

A It's not a competency requirement. It's an excellence requirement.

Q Excellence in terms of their field, which would be true, would it not, for -- to one degree or another for everybody that you're hiring to educate the students at Barnard?

A No, I don't think that's true. I mean I think that there is a really important distinction here between professors of professional practice on the one hand, and other sort of faculty appointed as lecturers and associates on the other hand and adjuncts, adjunct faculty on the -- you know, on the third hand, if we had three hands, because, you know, they are really very different. There's just no comparison. The criteria and the evaluation and what's expected is just different.

An adjunct faculty member is evaluated based on his or her teaching and is hired based on some record of performance on the teaching front. But the $P-O-P-P$ faculty are really equivalent, very, very similar to tenure line faculty and I would never say I hire tenure line faculty on the basis of teaching. That's just --

Q Well, I didn't --
A -- not accurate.

Q -- ask you if people are hired on the basis of teaching. A You asked if teaching was the primary responsibility and I continued to say teaching is not the primary responsibility of --

Q That's true, but --
A -- P-O-P-P faculty.
Q -- then I went on to ask you wasn't it true that you wanted people who were excellent in their fields at all levels, because that what you addressed when you were talking about the POPPES.

Q And when I -- what I understand to be all levels contorts the criteria for adjunct faculty, because for adjunct faculty I want excellence in teaching.

Q I'm going to revisit what it is you look for adjuncts, because that is something that you addressed yesterday, but concentrating still on the POPPES, thinking about the amount of time that they spend on your -- the premises of Barnard College, at the Employer's premises, wouldn't it be true to say that most of the time spent by the POPPES at Barnard is spent on teaching and teaching related duties?

A No, that would not be true.
Q Okay. And can you explain why that wouldn't be true if you're teaching two courses?

A Oh, it's easy to explain. Because POPPES, like tenure line faculty, engage in all kinds of scholarship which is
conducted on Barnard's campus, because they engage with students in research projects, because they advise, as do all sort of, of our full time faculty and because most of our -all of our full time faculty and because they are involved in really extensive service commitments, including chairing departments. So it's absolutely not true. I mean it's actually far from true. I think some of our POPPES wish it were true that they had more time, but it's just not true. Q And is working with students on a project teaching related?

A Working with students on projects may be teaching related, it may be related to the faculty member's, you know, own sort of scholarly or artistic endeavor. So they may hire students, which is part of learning. Constantly at a liberal arts I always say that learning happens both in the classroom and outside of the classroom. And so is it related to teaching, in the structure of a course? No, not always and --

Q So --
A -- maybe not even most of the time.
Q So if you're looking at a POPP in say music, or theater or one of the artistic departments, what is the research that they're involved on at the Barnard campus typically?

A Well, you know, I could point to a POPP in architecture for example.

Q But I was asking you not about the practical arts, but
about the performing arts departments.
A I could point to a POPP in the dance department, who is no longer at the dance department, but who I had engaged with thoroughly. She had had a long history as an artistic dancer. She had an acclaimed career in that capacity. Very well known in the dance community.

She was consolidating that through various kinds of engagements in which she contacted people so that students could perform, so that she could create venues for student performance, so that she could attract guest artists to come to the dance department. She wrote articles that were related to dance and dance theory. She -- you know, that was her artistic portfolio. All of our POPPES, every single one of them, could go down the list, has an artistic or a scholarly portfolio, which is a significant part of who they are at Barnard, how they interact with students at Barnard and how they're evaluated by someone like the provost at Barnard.

Q And that profile often is reflected in the work that they're in the performance world outside of Barnard isn't it? A Sometimes. Most of the time when someone takes a full time appointment as a professor of professional practice they are sort of doing what so many of us do in our professional lives, which is to sort of walk the balance between doing something that is -- defines you as a scholar or an artist and then doing something that defines you as a member of a
community and an outstanding teacher or pedagogue. And those conflicts are real. I mean you have to sort of figure out the time structure. One of the reasons we have the sabbatical policy and the leave policy we have is to give faculty the opportunity to grow sort of outside of Barnard, as they continue to pulled inside Barnard, based on all their varied responsibilities.

Q So again let's change our focus to associates. With the understanding that there may be associates that have heavy or lighter service commitments, did you say that it would not be fair to say that the primary responsibility of most associates is teaching?

A I think the primary responsibility of most associates is teaching.

Q And what about the primary responsibility of most lecturers?

A The lecturer category $I$ would say is more similar to the P-O-P-P category in some ways and more similar to the associate in other ways. I mean let's just describe what the difference is and let's remember that everyone who we're talking about, sort of my answers are being framed in some part by the people who are occupying those positions and how I think of them. You know, I walked into this structure. I didn't create it.

And an associate faculty member now is someone who does not hold a terminal degree. So based on that criteria, it is
very difficult to maintain an active scholarly profile as an associate, because you don't have the same access. That wouldn't mean that you're not as engaged, or interesting or whatever, but you just don't have the same traditional -access to traditional modalities. So you're not in the loop for conferences, you don't know the editors of key journals, you don't know that or are less likely to do something.

So -- and I say that recognizing that there are exceptions all across the board. I mean some -- there are associates currently on staff at Barnard who are very active scholars. That's one. I just want to finish. I know it's a long answer, but I really --

A That's fine.
Q -- think it's important --
A Okay, go ahead.
Q -- that you understand. On the contrast, lecturers are people who are appointed with terminal degrees. So in order to hold the position of lecturer you have to have a Ph.D, or you have to have an MFA or you have to have whatever, you know, a JD, theoretically. I mean you have to have whatever your discipline considers to be the terminal degree.

Those people, those faculty have different points of access. They typically have sort of greater connections in the academic world or in their disciplinary world. And therefore the criteria in which we judge -- they tend to be more engaged
and active -- continually engaged and active in all kinds of interesting ways as scholars and as -- and in varied ways, but they -- but the criteria are somewhat diminished on the scholarly side or somewhat diminished from the $P-O-P-P$ line.

Now, I want to say one other thing, which is associates and lecturers to succeed, in addition to showing excellent teaching, which they do have to show, the teaching are very high, they have to show outstanding service. And the service criteria is very rigorous on review. So they have to demonstrate that not only are they really effective in the classroom, but they're effective in the community and that they place a central role in the governance of the community. And that's evaluated very carefully by the Committee on Tenure and Promotion.

Q But it's true isn't it that many of them don't serve on committees?

A I don't think that's true at all. Well --
Q We have those documents.
A -- based on -- you may have that, but based on what I have said regarding the Tenure and Promotion Committee, in order to be reappointed and promoted you need to have active service. Q Both associates and lecturers are required to teach three courses per semester, is that correct?

A Yes, unless they receive course releases for various reasons.

Q And when you teach a course, obviously there are other duties related to that outside of the classroom, correct? You need to write a syllabus, you need to plan your lessons, you need often to develop evaluative tools and to either grade exams or evaluate students. Those sorts of things.

A I mean you don't just walk in and teach a class, or if you do you're not a very effective instructor.

Q Okay. I was waiting, because obviously when you nod the court reporter can't record that. Do you have -- are you familiar with the concept of a multiplier that's used in a lot of universities where there's a rough calculus given to how many hours it takes to teach a course relative to the actual in class hours?

A I am not familiar with it. I've never been employed in a university that used that kind of a multiplier.

Q What's the primary business that Barnard is involved in?
A Barnard is involved in creating an environment of academic excellence to educate young women to be leaders in the world. Q So it's providing an excellent education to undergraduate woman?

A I said -- yes, it has to provide an excellent education, but I used the term academic excellence.

Q And would it be fair to say, in hiring full time lecturers, senior lecturers, associates, senior associates and POPPES, that you're looking for individuals who are current in
their field?
A Absolutely, but let me clarify one other thing, which is if you go down the list of lecturers, senior lecturers, associates, etc, many -- the original motivation for these positions, in a world in which most -- you know, sort of the modality was the tenure model, was that in certain disciplines you need what are called sort of pedagogues; people who have techniques -- modern techniques that enable them to teach the most effectively as possible. So if you go down the list of a lot of our lecturers and associates, the weights that are used in evaluating them reflect their -- sort of their scholarship is in pedagogy. And scholarship and pedagogy is not to be underestimated in disciplines like math for example.

Those first courses in calculus, in disciplines like writing, in laboratory disciplines, in which students are working for the first time with real -- you know, real -- in not just hazardous conditions, but in condition in which they're faced with things they haven't faced before. And so when I look through that list of -- when I try to rationalize that list -- because again, I didn't create it. I didn't hire the majority of the associates and lecturers. I didn't hire them into those positions. But what I'm really looking for, in terms of the rationale for those -- the institutional rationale for those positions, is that they are providing something very unique across the board, in terms of what they're offering to
our students.
Q So I'm trying to fit that into the question that $I$ actually asked you. Are you saying that when you hire these individuals you're looking for people who are current, both in the knowledge of their field as well as in pedagogical methods?

A Yes. I think both are true. And again, all these
individuals -- and, you know, they're a small enough number that you could name them by name and go through their attributes. But when you think of each of these individuals there is heterogeneity in what -- in the way in which we define what they do beyond teaching, but they all are doing significant things for this college beyond teaching, really significant things.

Q Now, I had asked you the question about what you look for when you hire people. So I'm going to now move to what you seem to be primarily addressing, which is reevaluation. To the extent that Barnard requires scholarship of full time lecturers, senior lecturers, associates, and senior associates and POPPES, is this because you want those individuals who are teaching Barnard students to show that they scholars who are actively engaged in their fields and the pedagogy of their fields?

A So I mean you -- I don't know. I'm sure you've seen the -- actually, it was entered as exhibit whatever yesterday; the personnel procedures exhibit. That lays out the dossier
requirements for each of the sort of reviews at each level. And we just spent two years sort of in analysis trying to come up with a quote/unquote sort of objective criteria for evaluation.

We all know that the criteria need to be specified objectively in such a way that still allows for decisions at the margin, that still allows for you to weight things in an overall picture of an individual as a citizen, as a scholar, as a teacher of the university, of the college. And so when you look at those criteria, in each case for associates at each level, for lecturers at each level and then for $P-O-P-P e s$ at each level, there is a specification for demonstrative teaching, demonstrative service and demonstrative scholarship of some form.

The relative weights to each of those categories in those personnel procedures, which we just finalized, are such that for the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Pes}$ the criteria on scholarship, or performance or artistic endeavor is weighted slightly more, but all three categories of employees have all three criteria. And in order to succeed, to be hired, to be reappointed, to be promoted, you're expected to engage in all three areas.

Q Okay. So I don't think you've answered my question. So I'm going to ask it again. You testified, and I may be paraphrasing, that when you hire people you look for people who are current in their fields as well as the pedagogy of their
fields. I'm asking you about the rationale for the reevaluation criteria and whether that rationale is based on the fact that you want to have people continue in your employment who continue to be highly qualified, and current in the fields and in the pedagogy of their fields?

A I think I answered your question, but I will respond by saying that it's our goal -- if you -- you asked me what's the goal of Barnard College. I said academic excellence. In order to have academic excellence, in order for me to go home at night and say $I$ am building an institution that is excellent, $I$ need to have faculty who are engaged in all three ways; in scholarship, in teaching and in service to the community.

Because we're not a university. We're a liberal arts college. We are a community thereby. And we need to have excellent teachers, because there's close student-faculty interaction of all kinds and we need to have people be current in their fields.

Q Okay. Now, without asking you whether the standards may or may not be different for adjuncts and for other classifications, which you've already addressed, in hiring adjuncts you testified yesterday that Barnard looks for people who are highly qualified and teach well. Do you recall that?

A Absolutely.
Q And what would make an adjunct highly qualified?
A Again, so I also testified yesterday that the hiring
process for adjuncts is completely different.
Q But $I^{\prime} m$ not asking you about that.
A Well, it's related to my answer. So I do not review adjunct hires. Adjunct hiring is done decentralized at the department level.

I rely on my chairs to tell me, to shoot me information about who they're hiring. I don't know what process they used, I don't know how they found who they found, I don't know -typically know much about the individual. I don't always see their CV.

The professor -- the chair of the department is expected to -- upon hiring the individual, is expected to -- you know, to have done the due diligence to know that the individual is qualified to teach at Barnard. And I also testified that very often these appointments are made so -- you know, as opposed to the sort of very systematic process of hiring associates, lecturers, $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Pes}, \mathrm{assistant} ,\mathrm{associate} \mathrm{and} \mathrm{full} \mathrm{professors}$, these hires are made -- really have to be made quickly very often. We need to fill gaps, not always but very often.

And so I will be shot an email in the middle of the summer saying someone is going to be out and we don't have someone to cover this course. Do I have approval to hire this person? I run to my budget person, I check that adjunct budget, I say is it okay? And I say fine, find someone.

And the way in which they find someone is they call their
colleague at Columbia. The colleague at Columbia may have a grad student who is available. They may know of someone who's taught a course for Columbia, a much larger university. That's usually the first route.

Then they look in the local community. They ask faculty at NYU, they ask faculty everywhere and they find someone to fill in and teach that course. I -- you know, and then they need to proforma, you know, inform me when they've found someone. Sometimes, particularly depending on what they -- if they have any concerns, they'll shoot me a CV and I'll review the CV with them. But that's the way in which that's done.

They're expected absolutely to be excellent teachers. There's no question about that. I mean part of excellence is -- you know, is excellence in instruction in the classroom. And that's -- that is the primary requirement; that they be effective in the classroom.

Q To be effective in the classroom, isn't it true that you need to be current in whatever field it is that you are teaching?

A I mean that's a argument that's been debated in the scholarly world. We -- I mean there -- if you Googled that question you'll find tons of articles on that question. I -you know, there is no definitive answer to that that $I$ can give.

I mean in an ideal world -- you know what? Even in an
ideal world I would answer no. I think that there are like -you know, I think back on my education, I think back on some of those men in bow ties who taught me classes and they were like incredibly inspirational. They changed my life.

Had they published an article in 25 years? No. But I can name them for you. So I don't know what the real answer to that question is. I suspect there's a balance that's the truth. But, you know, there can no doubt be outstanding teachers who've changed students lives who haven't engaged in scholarship in a considerable period.

Q It's not necessary to engage in scholarship necessarily to be current in your field, just have to be aware of what other people are publishing and discussing.

A I think -- so I think I'll keep -- I'll stick with my answer, which is I think it's possible to be inspired by someone who's actually not current in their field.

Q Are there areas such as the sciences where it would be more necessary to be current on the scholarship in your field and what's being published and new discoveries?

A I mean you could take that to the next level. There are disciplines in which technology is changing so rapidly that what you know today and what you prepare in your syllabus this summer is no longer relevant for what you're going to be teaching in the fall. So yes, some disciplines move faster than others and therefore the kinds of the criteria that we use
to evaluate effectiveness are going to be different. But I'm not a scientist. Within the scientists -- sciences, I would bet that there is core learning that isn't related to the speed of movement of the discipline, that still -- you know, that still would allow for someone to be excellent at what they do inside the classroom without necessarily being current.

Q You've discussed a lot of the hiring of adjuncts to fill in for people who are on leaves. It's true isn't it that many of the adjuncts at Barnard have been there for many, many years?

A Absolutely.
Q And many of them teach the same course year after year?
A Correct.
Q And would it be fair to say that many of the adjuncts teaching in the arts departments are actively engaged in the arts professions that they are teaching about? So if you're an adjunct in dance that you might be a professional dancer or if you're teaching performance theater that you might be a professional actor.

A I'm going to defer, because without -- I assume and I would imagine there's quite a bit of heterogeneity. And because again, I am not involved in the hiring of adjuncts, my answer would be -- would risk being incorrect. So I don't know. I could easily look at the criteria. I could easily look at the list of people in dance and look at their, you
know, performance histories and their CVs and tell you something, but I haven't done that in preparation.

Q So let me ask you this, as the chief academic officer at Barnard, would you want people who are teaching performance arts to be engaged in their professions outside of Barnard, whether or not they're adjuncts?

A Again, I think there's a mix and balance. I really do. First of all, our dance department at Barnard has a very strong theoretical component. We have two faculties who are amazing scholars. They're not dancers. They're scholars of dance.

Our program was just reviewed as one of the finest programs in the country. So can I imagine that there would be an adjunct that's hired who's a theoretician? Absolutely. Actually, we're doing it.

So that's why I need to look through this sort of list of adjuncts in order to really answer your question. I mean if you're hired to teach dance, should you have been a dancer? You know, maybe, unless maybe you're someone who studied movement of bodies. You know, maybe you know how to use those techniques and that -- and those kinds of movement labs that happen.

But straight shot down the middle, should you know how to dance? Yeah, probably you should know how to dance. But if you're hired to teach, you know, Aztec -- the history of Aztec dancing, then you don't need to know how to dance. You need to
know the history of dance.
Q Right. Certainly that's true. I had asked you about performance courses. Moving to another topic, now you were asked questions yesterday about the code of academic freedom and tenure?

A Yes.
Q Can you tell me why those two issues are addressed in a single document?

A No, I can't. I didn't write the document. I have no idea. I don't. I have no idea for why we call it the academic code.

I would not have known it's called the academic -- I mean except that I look at it. But when -- in -- on campus we call it the academic code. I didn't write it, I didn't have anything to do with it.

If you interviewed me -- if you cross examined me five years from now I will have written a new academic code along with my colleagues. And then -- I don't know what we're going to call it, but I don't have an answer for you.

Q As the chief academic officer of Barnard are you familiar with some of the key documents at least stating views on the profession that have been promulgated by The American

Association of University Professors?
A I don't -- I mean I'd ask you for more specifically what you're referring to. But let me just tell you something about
my background. I was the chair of the committee on compensation for The American University -- American Association of University Professors. It's called -- when I did it, it was called Committee Z.

So I did it for four years. I chaired that committee, which meant that I had all the compensation for all the faculty. I wrote the annual report.

I chaired the committee. I went down to the AAUP, you know, three times a year to work with colleagues there at the AAUP to figure out what to write on. So I'm very familiar with the AAUP. I just don't know what you're referring to.

Q Okay. Let me ask you another way. Can you tell me what the relationship is, in your view, between tenure and academic freedom if any?

A Of course I think that the traditional view, which is increasingly under challenge, is that the institution of tenure permits faculty to engage in scholarship of varied sorts. Protects them in some sense. And so, you know, provides an umbrella of what we would all -- the widest umbrella of what we would call academic freedom.

Q And could you tell us again why it is that Barnard does not typically grant tenure to professors in the artistic areas and instead chooses to use this professor of professional practice title?

A As -- I don't know the answer to that, but as I understand
it, it is related to -- and again, this is one of those things I inherited, all those things. It is related to the fact that in other institutions, Columbia being among them, there are professors of professional -- there have historically been appointments at the professor of professional practice rank and there have not been tenured appointments in those departments and in those disciplines.

Now, I believe that Columbia has changed -- is beginning to change its policy and I suspect that, you know, other institutions -- Columbia is a leader -- will change as well, but I haven't honestly spent a great deal of time thinking about that question, but $I$ think it's an interesting question. I don't know the history of it at Barnard other than I've been told that it's because that's the way it's done at other prominent places.

Q It's true however that there are many colleges and universities in which professors teaching in the arts are granted tenure, isn't that the case?

A Yeah and also -- I mean also at Barnard. So there are dance professors who are tenured, there are architecture professors who are tenured, there are -- so we don't prevent it theoretically, it's just -- it's a construct, that as I understood it, was used to comport with Columbia. And remember that the tenure process at Barnard involves first being tenured at Barnard -- we didn't even talk about this yet -- and then
you need to sort of march the dossier across the street and you're reviewed by a Columbia University committee of 13 people, including medical doctors and dentists.

And so the thinking is, $I$ think, that -- you know, that it's very -- because there's so much heterogeneity involved in the evaluation across disciplines that it's like the degree of heterogeneity that would be involved in evaluating a dancer let's say and her or his artistic record would be so great as to, you know, create unfairness. So I imagine that that's the history for the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P}$ line.

I want to say one other thing about the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P}$ line. We established, when we rewrote the personnel procedures, that post review for a full professor of professional practice. So remember that they're appointed at assistant level, then they go to associate then they go to full, just like tenure line faculty. Post that, the review process, we did not remove it entirely. So every seven years they're reviewed. But we substantially down -- we made it a review that is -- does not require any longer outside letters or anything like that, because it's our understanding that at -- you know, that at some point it's -- it is not necessary. And so that's why it was sort of written that way.

Q You mentioned the fact that Columbia needs to review and sign off on tenure decisions and there has been testimony at this hearing about that. So is one of the distinctions that
you don't need that, you have move autonomy when you're hiring a POPP or continuing a POPP --

A Again, I don't -- I can't answer that with full accuracy. My -- what I've been told is we have POPPES because that's the way the appointments worked at Columbia and at other similar institutions.

Q But is it true that Columbia doesn't need to sign off on the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P}$ positions?

A No, they don't. The tenure ends at Barnard. It is
 the universe. And the new system at Columbia is also, I think, timed almost exactly to me, my arriving. So it's like three years old. It might be four years old. Might have started a year before me.

But since $I^{\prime}$ ve been provost every case that got through our promotion and tenure committee got through Columbia's promotion and tenure committee. So although it's one more hurdle, I don't consider it a -- it's a hurdle in theory. I don't really consider it a hurdle in practice.

Q Why doesn't Bernard -- Barnard, excuse me, offer tenure to senior lecturers?

A I -- you know, I think it's a good question. It -- again, I inherited the system. Every university, as you know, has full time faculty on these sort of what we traditionally had called off ladder positions. I think it has to do with the
weight and the balance of the weight as between teaching scholarship and service and the expectation therefore for the weight that's placed on scholarship.

You know, at a -- at universities it's often said that you can get tenure if you're a lousy teacher but a great researcher. That's not true at Barnard, but because we go through the Columbia you can get tenure at Barnard if you're a great researcher and a great teacher.

So I always tell the young faculty who come in, who interview with me, who I see during the recruitment process that it's a hard job at Barnard, because you have to be a scholar of the first order, in order to get university tenure at Columbia. And you also have to be an amazing teacher. So you need to understand that the next seven years are intense like mad. And -- but it's worth it. I think it is worth it. Q So as to associates I assume the answer would be two fold. Tell me if I'm wrong. That it would be essentially the same answer, but that also they wouldn't qualify for tenure because they don't have Ph. D's, is that accurate?

A Yeah. I think that for -- so the question is why aren't associates tenured? Yeah.

Q And obviously --
A So --
Q -- the lack of the Ph.D is one --
A -- I think the lack of a terminal degree --

Q Okay.
A -- is what we should call it.
Q I just want to clear up. I think you misspoke a moment ago when you were talking about the $P-O-P-P^{\prime}$ es and you referred to the fact that the tenure process stopped at Barnard, but there's no a tenure process or $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{es}$, correct?

A No, there is not. There is the promotion -- the seven year promotion from assistant to associate $P-O-P-P$ is a promotion and is a reappointment and promotion process. It's not a tenure process, however rigorous it may be, and it is very rigorous.

Q You testified yesterday that Barnard can't honor all departmental requests for creations of new full time positions. Do you remember that?

A Yes.
Q And when you were asked whether the failure to grant such a request might lead to the hiring adjuncts to meet curricular needs, and I'm paraphrasing, I think your answer was essentially no, because when you requested a position you were really looking at the horizon. It would take at least a year, even if you got approval for that position, to be created and filled, is that correct?

A Yes.
Q So if a department is requesting a position and they're looking at their curricular needs going out a year or more and
they're not granted that request, might they hire adjuncts a year out to fill those needs that they perceive they have? A I mean every -- hypothetically, absolutely. No question that might happen that way. But I think the operational question is really one, and I think it's important everybody understands, the timing issue.

We have a budget that happens and is approved every June. We sort of know our budget by March. We get right back to faculty department chairs in early June about what they're approved for and what they're not approved for.

The request for positions is a request for a position for a search. The search is a year long process. The actual hiring of the faculty member happens in the subsequent year, if the search succeeds. If it doesn't succeed it may take more time.

In addition, it's not really fair to say that the search for a full time faculty member, that is all about the courses they teach. It isn't. It's about the discipline, and their scholarship and the sort of profile of the curriculum within the department.

It's a -- it's -- in order to get a position approved it can't be a simple we want someone to teach this, this and this because they're required courses. It has to be we need someone like this who does scholarship in this area to fill out our curriculum, because someone left, the discipline has changed,
our new priorities are in this direction. It's a very nuanced request for a position.

So you have two things -- two important things going on. One is the timing doesn't line up. It is absolutely not fair or accurate to say that if someone does not get a search request then they'll hire adjuncts. That's not how it works. It's wrong, because the timing and it's also wrong because when we're hiring full time faculty into these positions -long term positions, we are hiring with respect to a vision for a curriculum. And the chair has to articulate that. And that's simply not true about the hiring of adjuncts. The hiring of adjuncts are really to fill an immediate need. They're to fill the need to have certain courses taught. Q So as you've testified they may in fact teach those courses year after year for many years?

A That's right.
HEARING OFFICER BERGER: Do you have an explanation for why that is?

THE WITNESS: I have several explanations. And again, it depends on really the individual, and the criteria and the course, etc. So a lot of our longer term adjuncts are in sort of two areas. One is in the first year English and the other is in the artistic disciplines.

And when I say a lot I mean I did this calculation for something else maybe half a year ago. I want to say, you know,
the majority. And that's -- don't remember what the numbers are. I didn't prepare those.

So in the case of the artistic disciplines, an adjunct is hired primarily because they can offer something unique to a particular course or to a particular -- or can fill a certain technique for us that may be missing from the curriculum. There's some sense that longevity could be good, but you also have the flexibility to bring in new talent. That kind of thing.

In the -- in first year English, you know, the program was established I think -- and, you know, we've just done a curriculum review. We would like to have more participation of our full time faculty, but they are -- you know, the first year English instructors are really mounting the curriculum for that program by teaching those single courses that they teach. And when they're good, you know, they're allowed to continue.

So it just -- you know, it depends. I mean part of it is honoring the adjuncts. It's -- it would be -- in New York City it would be kind of easy to replace adjuncts every -- you could put into the requirements of the college, you know, a termination -- a terminal thing for adjuncts. We don't do that, because I think it honors the fine contributions to allow adjuncts who are good to continue to contribute a course here and there.

And then there are some -- you know, there are some people
who are adjuncts who are -- you know, have had established careers that have taken them in other places who still add to the curriculum and do so for long periods of time. At Haverford College I hired an adjunct who was an attorney who taught a course for 20 years without (indiscernible). And, you know, there was no reason not to continue to use the services of that person the students loved. And so --

HEARING OFFICER BERGER: So in the first example of long term adjuncts the artistic departments, what is Barnard looking for in those types of adjuncts?

THE WITNESS: I think that, you know, the contribution of adjuncts in dance -- and again, it's -- it is -- I imagine -and I'd have to look at the data, but $I$ imagine there is a mix between people who come and go -- the large number of adjuncts. So people who come and go are newly hired, teach once, leave, teach twice, meaning one semester each fall for a couple years, and leave and then there are some people who teach sort of longer term. I imagine that. I don't know what it actually looks like.

But, you know, you could look at that and say it's kind of inconsistent. Why do you have some -- why do you have a lot of turnover of people teaching this thing? I mean -- and I think what you're really looking for is in the long term -- people who have taught long term a single course, you want to feel a sense that they have contributed positively. And so, you know,

I think that you want to know that they are -- that they're adding value in the classroom.

And -- but disciplines change and needs change -department needs change. And, you know, adjuncts -- the adjunct, their requirements change. They -- some of them start doing other things. So it's a really -- it's a cherished relationship at Barnard; the relationship between adjuncts and the institution. It really is.

We're in New York City and in disciplines like dance, and music, and architecture and -- you know, it just -- it adds such tremendous value. There's no question about that. But the flexibility also adds value. So the appointment process is idiosyncratic for adjuncts. It's relational, it's -- you know, it's based on department chairs, you know? And chairs change, so adjuncts might change.

HEARING OFFICER BERGER: So then the --
THE WITNESS: Curriculum might change.
HEARING OFFICER BERGER: Is it fair to say for adjuncts that while their initial hire may be based primarily on filling an immediate need, their continued service to Barnard is not necessarily based on filling an immediate need?

THE WITNESS: I think that regardless of what happens -- I mean I know the budget process. So regardless of what happens, department chairs have to convince me that they fill a need, because, you know, the adjunct budget is the adjunct budget and

I can't go -- you know, so imagine a situation where, you know, a department changes seven -- you know, two people in the department are going to be out on leave, right? And you have a set of adjuncts teaching one thing, but you really need to cover those other courses for the people on leave. It's fully appropriate for me to go to the department, and I do, and I say, you know, you've spent $X$ on adjuncts last year. You can really only spend $X$ on adjuncts this year.

So therefore you've got to figure it out. You've got to mix it around. If you really need those courses taught you're going to have to not teach other courses. And that's what I do.

So there's not a lot of give in our budget. So where it happens -- I assume where long term hiring on the adjunct front happens, I assume -- I have trust in chairs that it happens because people are, you know, effective in what they're doing and they're filling a need. And that's the message that's communicated to chairs.

HEARING OFFICER BERGER: Okay. Sorry for the interruption.

MR. LEVINE: Not at all.
CONTINUED CROSS EXAMINATION
BY MR. LEVINE:
Q Isn't it true that at times people other than adjuncts also teach first year English?

A Yes, I think so.
Q Why is it that the course load for tenure track and tenured faculty is four courses versus the six courses required of associates and lecturers?

A There's -- as in most things at Barnard, there's a history there. So I -- again, predates me. It's -- you know, very soon I won't be able to say these things -- I'm not responsible for these things, but right now $I$ can.

Which is that I believe the narrative is that when the -Debora Spar became president, she -- you know, one of her goals was to enhance the -- you know, the reputation of the college, obviously. Probably her primary goal. And when some kind of study was done of peer institutions it was determined that tenure line faculty at other institutions were -- comparable institutions were teaching -- or aspirational institutions were teaching four courses, that Columbia University faculty were teaching three or four courses and so there was a desire to reduce the teaching load. And I guess it was done for -- so it used be five and six and then it was done just for the assistant, associate and full professor tenure line ranks. Q You also talked about the progression. I think you were talking about $P-O-P-P^{\prime} e s$ and their assistants, associates and full $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{es}$.

A Yes.
Q That's true for other non-tenure track ranks as well,
isn't it?
A No. So the other non-tenure line ranks are lecturer, senior lecturer, associate, senior associate --

Q But for the adjuncts, aren't there assistant adjuncts, associate adjuncts and adjunct professors?

A Yes, there are.
Q And what is the progression based on for the adjuncts?
A There is no progression for the adjuncts.
Q So is it based on you're hired into one and you stay there?

A Yes.
Q And what's that based on? What's that decision based on? A So it's based on sort of where they are in the life cycle of their careers. Where they -- you know, what -- so you look at the CV, you look at if they've ever held an academic title before. If they terminated their academic title at the assistant level, they'll be called an adjunct assistant.

If they never held an academic rank before, then under the system I instituted they would be appointed as a adjunct lecturer, even if they had a terminal degree. If they're more advanced and they had held an associate level position, they'd be appointed -- at some point they would be appointed adjunct associate. If they're a former full professor of some sort, they would be appointed adjunct associate. But there's no method for progressing beyond that initial appointment and

## title.

In fact, we didn't change some of the titles that are -don't align with the methodology I've just given you, because -- in deference to not changing things for people that have been here for some time. But all the new hires of -- on adjuncts follow exactly that methodology.

Q In the past did people move between those ranks within the adjunct ranks?

A I don't know. Not that I'm -- you know, I don't know. Is it possible? Absolutely. It's also possible that they moved between those ranks erroneously, because of system glitches between the appointments, payroll and HR. I mean I just -- I don't know the answer to that, but $I$ just know how we're doing it now.

Q Isn't it true, if you know, that many adjuncts have terminal degrees?

A Is it -- I'm sorry, answer (sic) the question --
Q Isn't it true, if you know, that many of the adjuncts have terminal degrees in their fields?

A Yes, they do.
Q And that many of them are engaged in scholarship?
A I don't know about their scholarship -- their current scholarship at all. It never comes to me.

Q Okay. So you just don't know one way or the other?
A I don't know one way or the other. And the way I know --
the answer to your first question is, as I've described, in certain cases, but not in all cases, it's not a requirement, a chair will sent me a CV for an adjunct faculty member so $I$ can weigh in on titling, or compensation or something like that. Q Are you familiar with any cases where a term professor was hired into another rank at Barnard after completing five years? A A term professor was hired into another rank after completing five years. I'm trying to think. I am not certain, but I imagine -- I can imagine that that happened.

Can I say -- I mean term professors -- if you look at the list of term professors -- and again, this is a kind of sensibility I've tried to introduce. I'm not sure that it wasn't there, but $I$ know it's there now. Which is that the large bulk of term professors are term assistant professors. The really large bulk.

And we're really seeing that as opportunities for young scholars to come who -- you know, the field is really tightening up. So these are all people with Ph.D's, these are all people who want to be academics. They didn't -- I presume they didn't get full time tenure line academic appointments.

So they come for a period of time. They are sort of given all kinds of benefits being at the institution, including hanging out with scholars, and having access to the conferences at Columbia and at Barnard and interactions with all kinds of people. They teach. They get good teaching experience.

And then they go back onto the market. And our success story is that those term faculty become full time tenure line faculty someplace else. That's our success story, if that happens.

So could it have happened where someone from inside Barnard, you know, does really well and then applies for a position that ultimately is allocated and -- you know, hopefully. Hopefully. That would be a real success. Q I mean theoretically again, which is what you're talking about, that could happen to people in any of the ranks including adjuncts, correct? That they --

A That any -- you know, these are open -- as I've described, the search process for tenure line, you know, searches, these are open processes that anyone can apply for.

Q Does Barnard ever use the title visiting term professor?
A I am sure the answer is yes. It has to be yes, so therefore yes.

Q Okay. Just give me one moment. And have you ever heard of the term, term senior lecturer being used?

A Yeah.
Q What does that mean? I thought we had either term professors or lecturers and senior lecturers.

A Oh, no. So I actually -- I sort of answered that for you with the adjunct question. If someone held a Ph.D, but did not have a kind of -- did not intend to have a kind of academic
profile, so nothing on their CV showed that they were -- had any kind of academic background, no published papers, no -- you know, they had not been on the job market for a full time appointment or whatever, they might be appointed a term lecturer. So they hold a Ph.D, but don't have the traditional profile of someone who's likely to be a candidate for a tenure track position.

Q Okay. And in this case I'm might ask about term senior lecturer --

A So --
Q -- what would the distinction be there?
A I guess, you know, using the same logic, it would be someone who had held a non-tenure track position elsewhere. Never held a tenure track position, but held -- but had a Ph.D, who was appointed for a terminal period of time.

Q Okay. They would still be under the rules for reappointment that are associated with term professors. They'd still be there for either exceptional circumstances, a one year prior with your approval, or else for a three year renewable for a two year period?

A Yeah. So yes and no. So again all new hires fit -- are -- we're trying to structure new hires so that they fit. So modality -- modally speaking, if you look at the pool of term professors, the first thing is that most of them are hired for three year renewable terms.

So that all faculty in those positions are hired for one year, and they're reappointed for three years and then they're reviewed and appointed for an additional two years. But within there there's -- there are exceptions. So someone will identify someone who wants to be at the college for only two years.

And so they'll come to me and say can you approve a two year appointment? You know, they'll submit to FPPC, the committee that evaluates that, and they'll request a two year. We'll go back to them and say our standard appointment is for three years, renewable for an additional two. Is that what you want? And they'll say no, we really just want two.

So, you know, occasionally we'll approve a two year, as opposed to that standard. So -- but you got it right, which is that most terms are appointed for up to five years either for like a single year approved by the provost or for a multiyear three year plus two year renewal.

Q And so if you're a term senior lecturer --
A Right.
Q -- because there's been a lot of testimony concerning the differences between term professors and lecturers -- you're rights and responsibilities would be those of a term professor and not of a lecturer?

A That's correct.
Q Are you familiar with a Linda Cole-Taylor?

A Yes.
Q And she, I believe, has that title visiting -- well, she's a visiting director and also a term senior lecturer, is that correct?

A Yes.
Q Do you know why she holds the visiting title?
A Yes, because she's occupying a chair. So she -- she's she is a chair of the program. So this is a very special program that was established and solidified through the work of Lee Ann Bell.

And Lee Ann Bell is the holder of the Horowitz Chair. When she was retiring -- there are two other more junior faculty in that department. One was recently tenured and the other is a off ladder appointment at the lecturer rank. And there was no one to really chair the education program.

The education program has a lot of state requirements. You know, you've got to file a lot of documents. You're accredited each year. So we needed someone with experience. And we -- the department was not -- excuse me. I feel like -for the first time I might add.

But, you know, so the department was not accredited to -I mean the department was not in a position to decide what they wanted to search for right away. So we decided to hire -- I consulted pretty extensively with Lee Ann Bell on this and I interviewed Linda Cole-Taylor as well as the other candidates.

We wanted someone who was experienced, but would also hold the chair. But we had to designate this as a temporary appointment. She came from Yale. So -- the Yale education program.

So we just -- you know, she holds two titles, because she's a -- we needed someone who held the chair title for accreditation purposes and we had just made her senior lecturer -- visiting senior lecturer or whatever you said. I think -whatever her title is. I can't remember.

Q She's listed as -- in the documents we were given, as a term senior lecturer and visiting director.

A Right. So she is the visiting director, because we're intending to hire a director who will not have the visiting title. And she is a term senior lecturer, because she never held a position of greater rank elsewhere.

Q Now, I'm still trying to understand. First, let me clarify one small point. As a director, that's because -you've used the term department and chair, but in fact that's a program, which is why she's a director. It's not actually -A Yes.

Q -- a department?
A Exactly.
Q And I'm still trying to understand the visiting. So the visiting title doesn't mean that she retains her position at Yale, does it?

A I don't think she does. I'm not sure. She may. She may have. I don't know what her arrangements were, but she may have. She -- it's possible she went on leave. You know, was able to receive a leave year. I think it's very possible actually. So I'm not certain.

Q What I have to say is not evidence, but I did go on the website this morning and her name is not listed in the directory of Yale --

A Uh-huh.
Q -- University.
A Well --
Q That wouldn't surprise you?
A I don't -- I actually don't know.
MR. D'GIOVANNI: Excuse me. Can we have that last part stricken from the record? I understand he said it's not evidence, but he sort of just read something into her testimony. We don't think it's a proper foundation for evidence to be in the record.

HEARING OFFICER BERGER: Are you making a motion to have that stricken from the record?

MR. D'GIOVANNI: That one specific sentence, yes.
MR. LEVINE: I have no objection and I will rephrase it as a question.

HEARING OFFICER BERGER: Sure. Why don't we do that? Go ahead.

BY MR. LEVINE:
Q If I told you that her name was not listed at the Yale University website would that surprise you?

A No. It would not surprise me.
Q You --
A Even if she were returning, it wouldn't surprise me. I mean I would take -- if $I$ were current on my website -- and that's a if, right, because institutions are often not current on their website. But if $I$ were current on my website and someone left for another institution -- and it happens -- but went on leave, I would take them off of the website for that academic year.

Q So you're saying if you were current, and you're not sure if you are, the procedures are in place that if a --

A I think it's best practice.
Q -- Barnard faculty went on a sabbatical you would remove them from the Barnard directory?

A Not sabbatical. That's different than visiting.
Q Okay. But --
A I mean I'll give you an example, which is our faculty member -- one of our faculty members went to -- took another position, but requested a two year leave of absence, which every faculty member can get a two year leave of absence if they're in good standing. It's in -- you know, it's in our documents. Once they accept another position it's kind of at
the discretion of the provost, but if you really want the faculty member to come back, you say yes usually.

And so in this case, you know, if that -- in exactly that scenario, if our website were up to date $I$ would remove that faculty member from the list of faculty in the department.

Why? Because, you know, that list is intended to give students and outsiders a sense of the profile of the current department.

Q But removing them from the directory would be a little different, right?

A Yeah, I wouldn't remove them from the directory.
Q You testified that you meet with all candidates for full time positions?

A I meet with -- if my schedule permits, I meet with all candidates for full time positions.

Q And why do you do that?
A Because it's my responsibility.
Q To meet with them or to --
A It's my responsibility to insure that our, you know, full time faculty meet certain threshold requirements, to weigh in on my view on the strength of various candidates, to have full knowledge if there were disputes at the committee level so that I can help to adjudicate and help to lead a -- reach a consensual agreement about the best candidate, so that I have some sense of their portfolio, in terms of the overall curriculum, which I have to structure, which I'm in charge of
structuring. There's a whole host of reasons why it makes sense for me to meet and interview candidates that come through. And if I'm not available to meet, because I'm travelling or otherwise engaged, the associate provost will meet in my absence. But $I$ would say I meet $85-90 \%$ of all the candidates that come through. And meet and interview. It's also an interview --

Q Right, right. Isn't it true that there are lecturers who have over seven years of seniority at Barnard who are still in that rank?

A I -- you know, again we just updated the personnel procedures in a way that suggests that lecturers need to be reviewed in their seventh year. They're reviewed and promoted to a senior lecturer. If there are cases of individuals like that, my -- thank you.

My guess would be that that happens for any number of reasons. One, there are clerical errors in my office. Two, that -- and I'm saying if that happens. Two would be that there's been a clock stop for some reason, which can occur if an individual is ill, or needs to care for a disabled parent, or there are child issues that are negotiated with the provost, or the individual needs to take leave without pay to finish studies or maternity. I mean there's any host of reasons which would create a clock stop for that individual.

Q And isn't it true that there also associates who have
remained in that title for over seven years without becoming senior associates?

A And I would answer again that our newly revised personnel procedures, which don't -- which clarify exactly the terms of those appointments, suggests that if that were to occur and currently does occur, that it fits in one of those categories either as a clerical error in my office on timeline or as a -you know, a determined clock stop.

Q Does your office or the HR department maintain records showing the seniority dates of current faculty members?

A What do you mean by that?
Q Showing the dates -- original dates of hire.
A Yeah, we have -- again, I inherited a -- what we -- what was called a faculty tracker system. And I updated that faculty tracker system in my first year in employment -- of employment at Barnard. I put it on the computers. And it lists hire dates, it lists the date that the individual is eligible for a sabbatical, it lists the year in which the individual can take SFRL, senior faculty research leave, the year in which the individual is eligible, if they're off ladder, for a professional development leave, it lists the year in which they're supposed to be reviewed, all those kinds of things. So for every associate lecturer, senior associate, senior lecturer, $P-O-P-P$ at all ranks and every assistant associate and full professor, I have a faculty tracker.

Q Okay. And if you know, does the provost's office or the HR department maintain those records for adjuncts?

A I don't know. The provost's office does not maintain those records for adjuncts.

Q Would it surprise you if I told you that there are many current lecturers and associates who have worked under multiple one year appointments?

A Multiple one year appointments. Yeah, I would -- that would surprise me. I'll say -- you know, I'll say again what I've been saying consistently, which is that there is a -there is an enhanced discipline that's being exhibited in the appointment process under my tenure as provost. I would be very surprised if that's true, as I think through the list.

MR. LEVINE: I've marked a document as Union exhibit 2. BY MR. LEVINE:

Q And I'll ask you to look at -- it's actually three documents. So let's mark them as Union exhibit $2(a), 2(b)$ and 2(c). And I'll ask you if you recognize these documents, after you've had a chance to look at them.

MR. D'GIOVANNI: Sorry. We have four. So it (a), (b),
(c) and (d) or is it --

MR. LEVINE: Yes, that's right.
MR. D'GIOVANNI: Okay. No, no, I just wanted to make sure.

MR. LEVINE: No, I appreciate that.

MR. D'GIOVANNI: Yeah.
THE WITNESS: Alright.
BY MR. LEVINE:
Q Okay. So can you identify these documents?
A Yes, these are posting for various positions in various departments.
(Petitioner's P-2(a) through (d) identified)
Q At Barnard?
A At Barnard College.
MR. LEVINE: I move for their admission.
HEARING OFFICER BERGER: Any objection?
MS. MUNOZ: No objection.
HEARING OFFICER BERGER: Union exhibit 2 is received. (Petitioner's P-2(a) through (d) received in evidence) BY MR. LEVINE:

Q I want to ask you specifically about something in Union exhibit $2(a)$, the top document. Under the job description summary it refers to renewable three year appointment.

A Yes.
Q And this is a posting that was posted this year for a position that commences in 2016?

A Yes.
Q Is that contrary to the appointment and reappointment schedule that you testified to earlier?

A No.

Q So the initial --
A That is consistent with the --
Q -- appointment is three years?
A Yes, but it's expected to be -- I mean we appoint -- most institutions, and I've been at four of them, appoint faculty for a period of time up to their first review and then will reappoint thereafter. So when we're interviewing candidates the initial appointment is three years, they're reviewed -remember, that's the third year review. They're reviewed and then they're reappointed for an additional four years, in which case their reviewed in their seventh year.

So that's what that means. It's a standard language we've adopted on the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P}$. It doesn't mean anything. They're put there -- I mean it means what it means, but it -- the expectation is those appointments will run through their seventh year review and --

HEARING OFFICER BERGER: So --
THE WITNESS: -- in fact they always have.
HEARING OFFICER BERGER: -- just to be clear then on this document, renewable is modifying appointment not three year?

THE WITNESS: Yes, renewable is modifying appointment.
HEARING OFFICER BERGER: Okay.
THE WITNESS: It's an initial three year, they are reviewed and then they're reappointed and then they can be renewed.

BY MR. LEVINE:
Q I believe you testified yesterday that you need to approve job ads that are sent out for full time faculty?

A I do, yes.
MR. LEVINE: Marking another document as Union exhibit 3. BY MR. LEVINE:

Q I apologize, I don't have an extra copy for you, but as we've done in past days you can collect one subsequently. So I'll ask you to look at this and tell if you can -- you know what? It looks like I do have an extra. Excuse me. Can you identify this document?

A It's a job ad for an assistant professor -- a visiting assistant professor in urban studies.
(Petitioner's P-3 identified)
MR. LEVINE: I move for the admission of Union 3.
HEARING OFFICER BERGER: Any objection?
MS. MUNOZ: May I ask a question?
VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
BY MS. MUNOZ:
Q Did you review this job ad?
A I don't -- I mean is this the HR posting?
Q No.
A No? Yeah, I don't know where this is from. This is what was printed, based on the text in this publication called Jobs.

MR. LEVINE: This was pulled off the web from a site. I
didn't pull it off. Marked at the bottom, called H-Urban.
THE WITNESS: Yeah. So just to clear, what I do is I take -- I -- my responsibility is to make sure that the position that's being posted, the ad reflects what was approved in FPPC. So the subject area and specialization as approved in FPPC. And then I then refer the chairs to $H R$, who subsequently works on the sort of standard Barnard language for a lot of these positions. So I don't -- and I approve where they'll be posted. I mean the budget around where they'll be posted. So --

HEARING OFFICER BERGER: Do you recognize these web addresses?

THE WITNESS: The web addresses?
HEARING OFFICER BERGER: www.h-net.org/jobs?
THE WITNESS: No, I don't. No, because this is just -it's just a website for academic jobs I assume. I mean, you know, it was pulled off, because someone in the -- you know, Kim Johnson, who's the chair of Urban Studies, though that that was a -- she was given a budget for where to post and she thought that was a good place to post.

HEARING OFFICER BERGER: Would this have been posted there, had it not been reviewed and approved as the posting for this position?

THE WITNESS: It's never posted without the follow process, which is I make sure that the substance of the job ad
comports with the position that's been approved. And HR, I think, is supposed to look it over, and make sure and get final approval for its posting.

HEARING OFFICER BERGER: Okay.
CONTINUED VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
BY MS. MUNOZ:
Q I'm just going to ask one more time, can you tell us whether or not you reviewed this job posting?

A I never saw this, as it's currently placed.
MS. MUNOZ: Okay. Then I will make the objection to its admission. Just there's no foundation. She hasn't seen it. She said she reviews them. She said she hasn't reviewed. And so --

HEARING OFFICER BERGER: So then do you have any idea how this came to be posted on this job posting website if you hadn't reviewed it?

THE WITNESS: Through -- I mean I assume that the chair then, after discussion with me, and an understanding that the search would be in this area, went to work with HR to discover -- to help decide what the best places were to post this or to colleagues deciding where the best placed were to post it and then someone in $H R$ actually helped to facilitate the posting.

HEARING OFFICER BERGER: Do you have any reason to believe this wasn't posted by someone at Barnard College?

THE WITNESS: Well, the visiting assistant professor is
kind of a weird title, because it's a term assistant professor. So the title is weird. The start date is weird, because we don't start August 1 . We start July 1.

MS. MUNOZ: If I may, could I just draw her attention to exhibit Union 2?

HEARING OFFICER BERGER: Can you --
MS. MUNOZ: Well, it's related to that. That's why.
HEARING OFFICER BERGER: Okay.
MS. MUNOZ: Okay.
CONTINUED VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
BY MS. MUNOZ:
Q Exhibit 2(c) I think it is.
A Yeah, C.
Q Do you recognize Union exhibit 2(c)? I'm sorry, let me rephrase the question. Did you approve the job posting in Union exhibit 2(c)?

A That's the one that says term assistant professor urban studies --

Q Correct.
A Yeah, I mean that I have to approve, because that's on our HR website. So in order for it go through and get posted, I have to give my approval. It's electronic.

MS. MUNOZ: I --
HEARING OFFICER BERGER: So what's your point? I'm sorry, I'm not following.

MS. MUNOZ: Well, I --
THE WITNESS: I think her point is without the -- oh, go ahead --

BY MS. MUNOZ:
Q No, that's fine. I guess my question is if there -- if you look at the titles, you've got a term assistant professor with visiting. You pointed out -- I believe your testimony was it's kind of odd, because it says visiting assistant professor, but it's for a term assistant professor position. It's in the same time period; 2014. So I'm trying to establish which of these is the one that was officially approved by the college and which was not.

HEARING OFFICER BERGER: Well, and the other oddity she pointed that beginning August 1, 2014 appears on both of them. So maybe that's not such an oddity --

MS. MUNOZ: But if this --
HEARING OFFICER BERGER: -- either.
MS. MUNOZ: If she didn't -- well, except to the extent that one is the official college posting and one is not. If she didn't approve it --

HEARING OFFICER BERGER: No, but --
MR. LEVINE: I'll make this easy. In this case they appear to be the exact same language. So I don't think there's a need for Union 3.

MR. D'GIOVANNI: Okay.

MS. STEPHEN: That's fine.
MR. D'GIOVANNI: That's fine. So is it withdrawn?
MR. LEVINE: I will withdraw --
MR. D'GIOVANNI: Okay.
MR. LEVINE: -- Union 3.
CONTINUED CROSS EXAMINATION
BY MR. LEVINE:
Q But I --
HEARING OFFICER BERGER: Okay.
MR. LEVINE: -- will ask however, when you say that you approve ads --

THE WITNESS: Yes.
BY MR. LEVINE:
Q -- for jobs what does that mean?
A That means that there is a process. I discuss with the chairs exactly what they are -- the specialty that they're approved to search for. I see some language or have a discussion regarding the language concerning that position and posting. It then goes to $H R$ and formally I have to sign off on the $H R$ search engine on anything that's posted on our website in my area.

Q So actually you're saying you sign on the posting itself -
-
A Yes.
Q -- as opposed to the -- and that's what you're referring
to as the ad?
A Yeah, I mean I often see the ad, the final ad. It's usually sent to me. But $I$ always have discussion with the chair regarding the substantive nature of what goes in the ad and then $I$ refer them to $H R$ so that we get the correct language.

MR. LEVINE: Off the record?
HEARING OFFICER BERGER: Sure.
(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken)
HEARING OFFICER BERGER: On the record.
BY MR. LEVINE:
Q Provost Bell, are you familiar with any of the major websites where job posting for academic positions are normally posted?

A A few of them. I mean in my own discipline, Job Openings for Economists, $I^{\prime} m$ familiar with that.

Q And what are the major sites that you're familiar with in that connection?

A It's called Job Openings for Economists. It's JOE.
Economists are very efficient, you know? It's all there. That's the place you post.

We post at The Chronicle for Higher Education, we post at some of the diversity journals for -- there's a -- there -- the name is escaping me now, but we -- it is standard to post at the diversity sites, at The Chronicle site and then the others
are idiosyncratic to the discipline. So I'm most familiar with the one in my own discipline.

Q Are you familiar with a site called HigherEdJobs?
A No, not myself, no. I've never posted to HigherEdJobs in my capacity as a department chair or in my capacity as provost. Q You were asked yesterday about whether votes were taken in department meetings and if so who could vote. Do you remember that?

A Yes.
Q But you also testified that there was a lot of variation in practice and procedures between departments.

A It's my understanding, yes
Q Do you know for a fact that all full time faculty are allowed to vote on non-personnel matters in all departments? A I don't know for a fact. I've never -- I mean $I$ wouldn't have really the ability to know for a fact.

Q And do you know that on personnel matters all departments allow non-tenured faculty to vote on the position being considered as in a lower rank then theirs?

A Do I know for -- yes, I do know that.
Q And do you know that because that's the policy?
A The policy. That is the policy. All departments vote. The policy, and it's in the procedures guideline, is everyone of a -- for lecturers, associates -- I was very careful yesterday. I want to be careful today. For lecturers,
associates, professors of professional practice of all ranks, assistant professors, associate professors and full professors, they have -- they are part of the voting members of the faculty for cases of lower rank.

Q But do you know for a fact that that policy is followed in all departments or you're assuming that they're following the policies --

A I --
Q -- they're supposed to be following?
A I would testify that I know, you know, with a fair degree of certainty, because remember that part of -- that every department, when it submits at any stage, the third year stage, the seven year stage, for both tenure line and non-tenure line appointments, it has to -- the department chair has to submit a letter that summarizes the basis for the case. And within that letter it is often, though not compulsory, that the results of the vote are given and the voting members' names are listed. So I certainly understand it to be common practice. I don't know for a fact that it's always followed, but I assume it is. Q How about on the voting for chairs? Is that something that might -- the practice might vary by department who gets to vote for the chair?

A I don't think there's voting for chairs. I think it's like -- so first of all you have to understand that to be a chair is not all it's cracked up to be. So when I was
appointed chair my mother and father were incredibly proud of me.

And I remember thinking you have no clue. No one else would be willing to do this job. I agreed to do it under much duress.

So, you know, our chairs are -- I mean we're -- we are a small community. So I imagine that most of the deliberate -and in fact $I^{\prime}$ ve been involved in some when people say, you know, I'll chair maybe, but it would be better if I could chair for two years and this person could then chair for one year, or alternatively maybe this person will chair, but then, you know, I'll come in a year early.

I mean there's a negotiation that goes on. And I hear when people are unhappy and I hear when people want input, but I don't -- my sense is that at the departmental level people don't really vote for chairs. They -- someone assumes the role of chair, rises up, takes on -- agrees to take on the responsibility.

Q And similarly would it surprise you to know that in many departments most other decisions are made just through a process of discussion and consensus rather than through taking formal votes?

A Yeah, I would think so. I would think so. I mean and again, but what I testified to yesterday is that in some departments either by historic precedent or because they're
departments in which there's a -- you know, there's not as much of a -- there's not as warm of a collegiality, that certain things are voted on rather than discussed and affirmed kind of. Q Just so the record is clear, because I asked if you would be surprised and you said I would think so, when you were saying you would think so you were saying that you think that that would be process; that there would often be informal decision making?

A No, you -- I think you were drawing reference to the personnel procedures. The personnel procedures are prescribed. Q Oh, no, no. I'm sorry, I was not. I'm now talking about other decision making in the department.

A In the sense of other decision making I would not be surprised if it's done by consensus.

Q Okay, good. I'm glad that's clarified. By the way, is it true if you know that the only lecturers or associates serving as chairs are actually serving as co-chairs?

A Let me think. Well, not historically for sure and I can give you examples. So historically the chair of the dance department was Mary Cochran, who was I believe a -- I know to be a professor of professional practice.

The chair of urban studies was Flora Davidson, who I believe was a senior lecturer maybe. That's what I believe was her title. The chair of architecture was Karen Fairbanks and currently is Kadambari Baxi.

Q And their titles?
A Their titles are $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P}$. They' re both $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P}$.
Q Okay. So you referred to --
A Kadamari Baxi --
Q -- one senior lecturer --
A Kadamari Baxi --
Q Sorry.
A -- is back there. So I can't help. She's --
MS. BAXI: Actually, Karen is the chair right now and she's professor of practice (sic).

THE WITNESS: Okay. So she's still the current chair or she --

MS. BAXI: I was the acting chair --
THE WITNESS: She was the acting chair. So this is exactly the arrangement $I$ was talking about.

HEARING OFFICER BERGER: So just to be clear, audience participation --

THE WITNESS: Is not allowed.
HEARING OFFICER BERGER: -- and assisting witness testimony is not acceptable.

THE WITNESS: Sorry.
HEARING OFFICER BERGER: So I think that there's no dispute about who these people are, and what their titles are and the positions that they hold, but please refrain from assisting the witness --

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, I'm sorry.
HEARING OFFICER BERGER: -- as she testifies.
THE WITNESS: This is where, you know, academics, you know -- this is --

HEARING OFFICER BERGER: But for the record though, could you identify who you are the information that you just shared?

MS. BAXI: Okay. I'm Kadamari Baxi and I'm professor of practice (sic) in architecture. And I served as the acting chair last year for the architecture department.

HEARING OFFICER BERGER: Okay. Thank you.
CONTINUED CROSS EXAMINATION
BY MR. LEVINE:
Q You referenced one senior lecturer and you were saying historically. Is that someone who's no longer a chair?

A She retired and she's --
Q Okay. So again the question was whether anyone currently serving as a chair who's a lecturer --

A Let me think.
Q -- or an associate, is not a co-chair.
A So --
Q I think there may be one or two co-chairs.
A -- the current chair of architecture is Karen Fairbanks.
She is a single chair and she's a professor of professional practice. The -- and you don't care about directors of programs?

Q I didn't ask --
A Okay. I'm just trying to think. So just give me a second, because I'm going through the chairs list in my head. Well, the current chair in -- just give me a sec.

The current chair of music is Gail Archer. And Gail
Archer is a -- I think a P-O-P-P. I think so. I don't know. No, Gail Archer is a P-O-P-P, I believe, professor of professional practice. So that's music. Dance has a co-chair who is a senior associate I believe, Katie Glasner.

Q And who was the co-chair with her?
A The co-chair with her is Lynn Garafola, who is one of the theoretical people. She's a professor.

Q She's a tenured faculty member?
A She's a full tenured --
Q Yeah.
A -- professor. Just give me a moment. The current acting chair of the Slavic department is a term professor. And that's just by necessity. That's not best practice.

Q How many --
A There was a death --
Q -- full time --
A -- in the department.
Q -- faculty are in the Slavic department?
A There are currently no full time faculty in the Barnard Slavic --

Q Other than --
A -- department.
Q -- the acting chair?
A There's an acting chair and another term appointment. There was death and there's a vacancy. There was a death this academic year -- unforeseen death.

Q And if you know, how many --
A Oh, one other thing. In French the co-chair is a senior lecturer.

Q Along with?
A Along with a co-chair who -- actually, I -- she may be the sole chair. I'm not certain, you know? She may be the sole chair and she is a co-chair -- if she's a co-chair she's a cochair with a -- someone who's now a full professor.

Q Do you know how many tenured professors there are in architecture?

A Currently there are no tenured professors in architecture.
Q How about in music?
A No tenured professors is music.
Q And in dance you mentioned one who's the co-chair. Are there any others?

A Yes.
Q How many?
A There is one additional tenured member in the department of dance.

Q Okay. Was it your testimony that lecturers, senior lecturers, associates, senior associates and $P-O-P-P^{\prime}$ es are all required to serve on committees?

A Can you repeat the question? I'm sorry, I was still thinking about dance, how many tenured faculty -Q I'm going to actually ask it a little bit differently. A Okay.

Q I'm going to back up a little bit. It has been your testimony that all lecturers, senior lecturers, associates, senior associates and $P-O-P-P^{\prime} e s$ are required to perform service for Barnard?

A What $I$ said is our -- that's not exactly what I said, no.
Q Oh, okay. So please correct me.
A What I said is they're expected to perform service for Barnard and that their review and reappointment depends on their having performed service for Barnard.

Q And that service could be through committee service, correct?

A Yes.
Q Does it been to be through committee service?
A No.
Q It could be through advising, correct?
A Yeah, through senior advising in particular.
Q Separate and apart from who can vote at faculty meetings -

- all faculty meetings, meaning Barnard-wide faculty meetings,
who can attend those meetings?
A I am actually not certain who can attend, but I assume it's -- I mean I assume it's, you know, all of those faculty that we've been speaking about. And I assume that visitors, and visiting faculty, term faculty and adjunct faculty are welcome, but $I$ don't recall where it's written --

Q Okay. Do you -- so if I asked you whether the adjuncts were invited to attend would you know the answer?

A I should know the answer, but I'm not sure. The way I should know the answer is that call for -- you know, I don't know who that email goes to with the agenda and the materials for faculty meeting. We send out an email through my office. My assistant does. And we have several lists of faculty and I don't know to whom that email list goes, but $I$ think to the extent that adjuncts receive that email list, that would constitute an invitation. You know, I just don't know which list it actually goes to.

Q Okay. And you -- do you attend all faculty meetings unless --

A I preside --
Q -- you have a specific conflict?
A No, I've only missed one faculty meeting. Faculty meetings are really top priority in my agenda. I'm expected to preside and chair the faculty meeting. I take that very seriously.

Q So when you attend faculty meetings have you -- do you recall seeing individuals there that you know as adjunct faculty?

A I recall one occasion where an adjunct -- I believe an adjunct faculty member spoke at a faculty meeting. But I'm not -- you know, I'm not -- I don't know a large majority of the adjunct faculty.

Q You say you chair those meetings or you --
A Yeah.
Q Okay. And if an adjunct faculty attended and wanted to speak, would that be a problem?

A Again, $I$ recall an instance in which $I$ imagine a faculty member did speak. So, no.

HEARING OFFICER BERGER: Do you remember what that adjunct spoke about at that particular meeting?

THE WITNESS: No, I don't, actually. I just remember asking who it was and being told who it was.

HEARING OFFICER BERGER: Okay.
BY MR. LEVINE:
Q Is attendance taken at those meetings?
A No.
Q Isn't it true that many adjuncts were, at least until recently, considered voting members of the faculty?

A Not to my knowledge.
Q Okay. So I want you to look at -- I don't know if there's
a copy up there -- Union exhibit 1, which is the faculty guide at Barnard College 2012-2013. I only have one copy here.

A Do you need it for yourself?
HEARING OFFICER BERGER: Go ahead. That's fine.
THE WITNESS: He has it.
BY MR. LEVINE:
Q And --
A This says exhibit number 4.
Q -- this document you've testified to previously.
HEARING OFFICER BERGER: Oh, no, that's U.
THE WITNESS: Oh, okay.
HEARING OFFICER BERGER: U-1 --
THE WITNESS: Okay.
MR. LEVINE: And if you would turn to page four?
THE WITNESS: Page four.
BY MR. LEVINE:
Q And specifically Roman two, subsection B, membership. So two is the faculty definition and $B$ is membership. And if you turn actually to page five and look at this first sentence that begins on that page there is a sentence that says "to be eligible for voting membership in the faculty, part time faculty must satisfy one of the following requirements". And it lists four. Are you familiar with that language?

A No. I mean it's in the faculty guide. So to the extent that it's in the -- I think I previously testified that the
faculty guide is inconsistent with the practice in many areas. So one of the areas in which it's inconsistent with our own statutes document is in this area.

So -- and that's problematic. So that's one of the reasons why -- there are many such reasons. Some more important than others. But here's one of the interesting ways in which it is. It's also -- you know, it's also -- so I don't -- I think that's one of --

Q Okay.
A -- the issues --
Q So this is not an accurate reflection of who is or is not a voting member of the faculty or your testimony is, at least at this time, this is not correct?

A I also -- yeah, and I also testified that this has -- the way -- my understanding of the way this was -- in which this was put together is this is a guide. It was prepared by the provost's office. The provost -- the previous provost would add to it, subtract to it and change it. A new one was published each year.

It didn't go through committees, it didn't go through the board of trustees, it didn't go through the, you know, sort of standard methods. So one of the reasons we wanted to -- one of the reasons I set aside to make this consistent with all our other documents is precisely for these kinds of irregularities. Q So if this was ever the policy you weren't aware of it and
you don't know when it changed?
A No, I absolutely was not.
Q You also testified that the board of trustees needs to approve changes to the code of academic freedom and tenure -A Yes.

Q -- is that correct?
A That's my understanding.
Q Yet apparently the length of appointments reflected in that document have been changed in practice without such approval, is that correct?

A Yeah. So a couple of things. The length -- I did -- that document does not change the length of appointments. The current practice that $I$ inherited was a seven year length process. It just updates the document to reflect practice.

The previous provost extended the length of time to seven years. There was an assumption that that was -- I assume that that was going to be brought to the board of trustees. I can assure you that the personnel procedures -- the board was informed about the change through the Academic Affairs Committee. And that they were informed that we intend to update the code with all the current procedures, you know, as soon as possible. We're working on it now.

Q Right. But I believe you testified that they were informed, but they haven't actually voted on those changes yet? A No, they haven't. And, you know, we had a discussion -- I
had a discussion with ATP, the Committee on Tenure and Promotion (sic) and with the General Counsel about what kind of limbo that places us in institutionally, with respect to review procedures. And which is the best way to go.

So I inherited a system in which the review process was extended to seven years. I could either have stepped back to a renewal process, we could have -- which didn't make sense, given that it had been seven years for some time. So we codified the existing practice. We informed the board of the change.

We suggested that we would be working on the -- we actually agreed to work on the academic code documents to update them. And we're in limbo. Along with the consultation with General Counsel, we agreed to use the procedures manual currently adopted by the faculty, informed by the board. That document, we agreed to use that as the current document for our procedures. So we're a little bit out of synch with our own procedures right now on that, but with every good intent to sort of rectify that as soon as possible.

Q And I realize you say you inherited a certain procedure, but if you know when that procedure was changed to reflect the current procedure would that have been approved by the Advisory Committee on Appointments, Tenure and Promotion?

A Yes. For sure. And again, that's speculation, but it's the best -- you know, it's what I would expect.

Q You testified that you are primarily responsible for making salary determinations for officers of instruction -- I'm paraphrasing -- within the constraints of the overall budget, is that correct?

A Yes. And specifically I think what I made clear is that my salary recommendations -- my specific salary recommendations pertain to faculty at the rank of associate, lecturer and professor of professional practice, assist associate, and full professors and that of term professors. And that decisions regarding the visiting scholar or the idiosyncratic appointments we talked about last time as well. That -- but that decisions regarding adjuncts are largely disaggregated to the department chairs. And on -- with the condition that I need to approve. I approve any changes or modifications to current practice.

Q Okay. Thank you. I've marked a document as Union exhibit
4. Have you seen this document before?

A Yes.
Q And this is a document that was created by the college?
A Yes.
(Petitioner's P-4 identified)

MR. LEVINE: Okay. I would move for its admission.
HEARING OFFICER BERGER: Any objection?
MS. MUNOZ: No.
HEARING OFFICER BERGER: Union 4 is received.

> (Petitioner's P-4 received in evidence)

BY MR. LEVINE:
Q There was testimony that all full time faculty member -may not have been from you, but at this hearing there's been testimony that full time faculty members are eligible for healthcare and retirement benefits, is that accurate?

A Yes. All full time employees.
Q Isn't it true -- strike that. And those benefits include a contribution made by the college to any retirement accounts? A Yes.

Q Does that contribution vary depending on job title or rank?

A Yes, it does.
Q And is the contribution made for tenured faculty different than that made for other full time ranks?

A Geez. I think there are several variations. One is based on when you join the college, because we changed our policy. And actually in the faculty guide what's currently listed as the contribution is inaccurate. So -- because this is another example of an inaccuracy, something that wasn't updated.

But across the college it's based on your rank. You're given some grade. And I believe for faculty -- I'm not entirely sure, I confess. It's based on where your salary level cuts maybe; the contribution. I'm really not sure about the portion of the college's contribution to TIAA-CREF and how
it varies across faculty rank.
Q Okay. But it's your belief --
A I --
Q -- that there is some variation by rank?
A I -- I'm not -- I'm actually not certain. I really am. I'm not certain.

Q What is the process for creating new ranks or job titles for officers of instruction?

A New ranks or job titles.
Q So for example how as the title distinguished fellow created?

A So the title distinguished fellow was created after consultations with the president, with the department -sponsoring departments. We wanted a title. I'll tell you what my thinking was, because $I$ was involved in that. And I don't think we have Leymah Gbowee's full title as distinguished fellow.

In fact, I'm sure we don't. I'm not sure what the full title -- I can't remember now what the full title is, but we wanted something that showed distinction, that honored her contribution, that honored her status in the world. And we wanted something that we could replicate, so we could use again, that was different enough to distinguish it from other things that we had. And so that's how we came up with it. I think it's something -- there's something -- there's some other
part of her title.
Q This title did not exist previous to it being filled by the current incumbent?

A I don't think so.
Q And did the creation of this title have to go through any kind of review other than discussion between you and the president?

A No. No, but the discussion was more extensive than that. It was discussion between me, and the president and the person who's holding the position, and between the person who was funding the position and between the department's who were sponsoring the position.

Q Especially given the fact that there's at least some hope or expectations the title might continue and be applied to other people, in creating the title was any kind of written job description created?

A No. No, there was a description of what this particular person who holds the title will do.

Q Right.
A And there is this considerable back and forth in trying to figure out where her large contributions would be most strongly felt at the college. And it was tailored, in fact, to her particular needs. So to her travel schedule, to her speaking schedule, to her strengths as a public speaker, to her strengths in working closely with students.

I would argue that -- honestly that these positions should remain idiosyncratic. I use the term the term opportunistic. I mean that in all the best way. I think we should have these abilities to position people who are adding such tremendous value to the college on these kinds of -- you know, in this kind of honorific way. These are honorific, in some sense, appointments.

Q I believe you testified that that position was renewable or potentially renewable year by year?

A You know, we always -- we didn't specify a term on the position. It doesn't fit into one of the boxes. I'll say -you know, I'll say it a hundred times, it does not fit into a box; that position. And I really want to -- I really hope that you'll understand that.

And it was never intentioned to fit into a box. It was intentioned to fit an individual who, by virtue of, you know, a helicopter drop, what we call in economics, came on our doorstep and was willing and able to work at Barnard College. So --

Q I understand.
A -- we created a position that would fit her.
Q So how would the decision be made whether to renew? So she has a one year contract or agreement, is that --

A She worked for one year under certain conditions. She agreed to a second year under different conditions. And she --
that was the '14-' 15 academic year. And she will be moving on from the college.

Q Okay. When you testified concerning the distinguished artist in residence you said that this would be or you hoped it would be a rotating chair. And I just wanted to clarify for the record you were talking about an endowed chair as opposed to something equivalent to a departmental chair.

A Yeah, this is an endowed chair.
Q And that as an endowed chair we're talking about a faculty position that is funded as opposed to somebody who serves a supervisory function within a department?

A Yes, this is a endowed, another honorific chair. It's rotating by design so that it allows for the kind of people who we want to bring into the institution to come and make an impact and then go on and do what they're going to do.

Q And did this position exist prior to it being filled by Ms. Tharp?

A No.
Q By the way, Ms. Tharp is a member of the board of trustees, is that correct?

A That's correct.
Q And --
A Just for the record she was appointed to this position before she was appointed a member of the board of trustees. And she's an alum of Barnard College.

Q And a wonderful dancer, but, again, I'm not allowed to put evidence into the record. Was the process by which this title was created also, would you say, idiosyncratic and didn't follow any of the particular rules that would otherwise apply?

A Yeah, I would say that, opportunistic.
Q And again, was it created by consultation between you, the president and maybe other senior officers of the college?

A Yes.
Q And would the same be true for the Anna Quindlen position? A Yes.

Q And is the current incumbent of that position the first person in that position?

A Yes, she is.
Q Can you tell us how the visiting artist title was created? That position in dance.

A I -- it was -- it predates me, so I'm not certain how it was created or how the titling was done. I do know that these -- the three folks that we've touched on at yesterday's hearing are contributing to parts of courses. They sort of -- it's a kind of they come in and they contribute various things, you know, techniques maybe.

They teach a particular technique or they contribute a couple of classes to an overall semester long course sequence. And they have an affiliation to advise students. Not formally advise, but to help and assist students in various methods of
dance.
HEARING OFFICER BERGER: Are we talking about the guest artists?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
HEARING OFFICER BERGER: You referred to them as visiting artists. Just want to be clear.

THE WITNESS: Yeah --
BY MR. LEVINE:
Q It's the three people in dance. What's the title?
A Guest artist.
HEARING OFFICER BERGER: Guest artist.
MR. LEVINE: Guest artist. Okay. Thank you for that correction and clarification.

BY MR. LEVINE:
Q To your knowledge has that title been used in other departments?

A To my knowledge it hasn't.
Q If I were to say -- ask you whether in fact these individuals are people who typically come in and choreograph and direct student productions, would you know whether that was true or not?

A I would not know whether it's true or not, but $I$ would not be surprised if it were true.

Q And if I were to ask you whether the students who then participate in those productions get credit for doing so, would
you know the answer to that?
A I do know that the guest artists are not the officers of instruction on record for any course.

Q So is your testimony that currently when adjuncts are hired there's an action form as opposed to an appointment letter, is that correct?

A Yes, I believe so.
Q And that action form is a new creation, isn't that true?
A What do you mean by a new creation?
Q Over the last academic year it was implemented?
A I don't think that's true. I think you're confounding the HR process with the way in which adjuncts are appointed.

Q In the past, have you ever signed faculty appointment and payroll forms for adjuncts?

A No, I never did. Faculty payroll forms?
Q Right. Or appointment letter stating --
A I think I signed payroll forms. I never signed an appointment letter.

Q Any documents that were given to the adjuncts to confirm -

A I think through proxy my office signed payroll forms, although I'm not certain.

Q And might they have signed your name on your behalf?
A You know, I don't know. And I may have signed payroll
forms --

Q That --
A -- but --
Q -- would have been sent to the adjuncts?
A So when I came in we were in the process of reorg. I was hired into an organization that was being reorganized. And HR was taking on certain functions that my office had previously taken on.

My office, since $I^{\prime}$ ve been there three years, has completely turned around in staff. So some of the functions that previously were done a certain way are now done another way. I have very little to do, like I said, with adjunct hiring, other than to approve them on budget.

So I mean I sign a ton of forms. So I may have signed payroll forms, but I -- there are no appointment letters that ever went out, to the best of my knowledge, through my office. And when you described the process as new, my understanding was the process was just centralized now through HR.

Q Not that the actual form sent to adjuncts were changed? A Yeah, I didn't know that. If in fact that's the case, I don't --

Q Are you --
A -- know that.
Q -- aware of any change in the timing of which the adjuncts receive whatever forms they receive?

A No, I don't know that.

MR. LEVINE: I may be done. I'll need to have a brief conference.

HEARING OFFICER BERGER: Okay. Let's go off the record. (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken)

HEARING OFFICER BERGER: On the record.
REDIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MS. MUNOZ:
Q Provost Bell, do you hire the guest artists?
A No.
MS. MUNOZ: Okay. I don't -- she's going to be able to -BY MS. MUNOZ:

Q You're -- does Barnard have a classification in the faculty referred to as guest artist?

A Yes.
Q Is that a full time position?
A No.
Q Okay. Do you know who appoints guest artists?
A The chair of dance.
Q Okay. And do you know how they are compensated?
A I have access to their compensation, yes. I have their --
I know what they're compensated. I don't know as I sit here today --

Q Do you know the method of compensation? Is it -- or the type of compensation? Is it -- I don't want to like feed you answers, but -- I'm sorry. Let me sit nearer the mic. Do you
know whether or not guest artists are eligible for benefits? A Yes.

Q Okay.
HEARING OFFICER BERGER: Yes, they are or yes you know?
THE WITNESS: Yes, I know and they're not. Thank you.
MS. MUNOZ: Do you know how long they served as a guest artist?

THE WITNESS: They serve per semester. Their appointments are for a particular semester.

BY MS. MUNOZ:
Q Okay. And do you know the -- are guest artists reappointed?

A I don't know whether the guest artists have been reappointed. Whether they're new each semester or whether they're reappointed from previous semesters.

HEARING OFFICER BERGER: Do you know the nature of their compensation? Is it a lump sum for the semester, is it --

THE WITNESS: I --
HEARING OFFICER BERGER: -- per credit hour?
THE WITNESS: -- believe that they receive a lump sum for their role. And I believe it's -- I mean I think I know what it is, but I could easily, you know, get on my phone or on my computer and find out what it is. I believe it's -- whatever.

HEARING OFFICER BERGER: And that lump sum comes from what part of the college's budget? Do you know that?

THE WITNESS: I am not certain right now where it comes from.

MR. LEVINE: I'm sorry, what was the answer?
HEARING OFFICER BERGER: Not certain where it comes from.
THE WITNESS: I -- you know, I don't -- what $I$ don't know if it's in the department's hands, since it doesn't come through me, or it comes through the -- where it's part of their adjunct offering.

HEARING OFFICER BERGER: Do you know whether the guest artist positions are -- if a petition if filed with the Budget and Planning Committee, as was --

THE WITNESS: Oh, absolutely not.
HEARING OFFICER BERGER: Okay.
THE WITNESS: No. No, so what I know about them -- I mean let's just say what I know about them, because otherwise I'm saying what I don't know about them. So what I know about them is that they are part time appointments, they are for individuals who are not on record as the primary officer of instruction in the class, they contribute various things in the way of contributions to the curriculum within dance, they do not come with benefits and that they're single semester appointments.

HEARING OFFICER BERGER: Do you know if they're contributing to more than one particular class?

THE WITNESS: I don't know for sure. I think they are,
because I don't think they' re class-assigned. They're just contributing to the curriculum as the department chair sees fit.

HEARING OFFICER BERGER: And do you know how they're recruited for the position?

THE WITNESS: I think, you know, the dance performance world is a pretty close world. So I think that they're recruited by knowledge of availability, and technique, and skill and reputation.

HEARING OFFICER BERGER: Do you want to pursue this any further Petitioner counsel?

MR. LEVINE: No.
HEARING OFFICER BERGER: Okay. So you are excused. And we --

THE WITNESS: Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER BERGER: -- will go off the record. (Whereupon, at 12:52 p.m. a luncheon recess was taken)
A F T E R NOON S E S S I O N

HEARING OFFICER BERGER: Does the Employer have additional witnesses?

Whereupon,
PAMELA COBRIN
Having been first duly sworn, was called as a witness herein and testified as follows:

HEARING OFFICER BERGER: Have a seat. What's your name?
THE WITNESS: Pam -- Legal name or the name I use at work?
HEARING OFFICER BERGER: How you would like to be
identified.
THE WITNESS: Pam Cobrin. $C-o-b-r-i-n$.
DIRECT EXAMIATION
BY MS. MUNOZ:
Q Good afternoon, Ms. Cobrin. How are you?
By whom are you employed?
A Barnard College.
Q And, what is the title of your current position?
A Senior lecturer in the Department of English, Director of Writing and Speaking Program.

Q And, how long have you held that position?
A Director of Writing and Speaking Programs or senior lecturer?

Q Start with senior lecturer.

A I've held that position since -- I just had my seven year review, so seven years.

Q So, seven years from 2015.
A Yes.
Q 2008?
A Yeah. That sounds about right.
Q How long have you held the Director of Writing and
Speaking Programs position?
A I believe I've held that for about four years, when the speaking program -- when I started the speaking program. Before that, I was director of Writing Programs for the past seven years.

Q Okay. So, that leads to my next question. Have you held any other positions at Barnard?

A Yes.
Q And, what are those positions?
A I was a lecturer before $I$ was a senior lecturer. I was director of the Writing Center. I was acting director of the Writing Center. I was acting director of the Writing Program.

Q And how long were you a lecturer in the Department of English?

A Seven years.
Q Seven years? And, that's seven years before 2008?
A Yes. I believe so. I would have to look at a document.
I think that's about it.

Q Okay. And, how long were you the acting director of the Writing Program?

A The director of the Writing Program would go on leave each spring, so $I$ would hold that each spring from the time $I$ was director of the Writing Center. Then, the year of the search for the director, $I$ was the acting director while a national search was going on.

Q You also said you were associate director of the Writing Program?

A When I -- Yes. When I was director of the Writing Center, I was also associate director of the Writing Program.

Q Okay. If you would, could you describe what the Writing Center is?

A Sure. The Writing Center is physically a group of two rooms where students peer tutor other students. That's the physical act. Students work in the Writing Center for a certain number of hours per week working with other students on their writing. Then, there's also -- Part of what I did at that time also was -- part of my job responsibilities of being director of the Writing Center was also to oversee any writing programs or writing issues that concerned struggling writers or students for whom English was not a first language.

Q Could you describe what the Writing Program is?
A The Writing Program encompasses the Writing Center, oversees the programs that work with students who are
struggling writers or for whom English is not a first language. It also includes students working with other students within the classroom environment. So, the Writing Center is a location students come to to bring writing. It's voluntary. The Writing Fellows Program in the classroom, all students who have a Writing Fellow attached to the class are required to work with students -- required to work with Writing Fellows on drafts of writing.

Q That latter is a writing program itself.
A That latter is a writing program. Part of that also, part of the job responsibilities of that is overseeing writing across the curriculum at Barnard. So, thinking about writing in a larger sense across the curriculum.

Q Okay. And, was that your responsibility?
A As director of the Writing Program, yes.
Q Okay. Have you held any other positions at Barnard?
A Yeah. I was an adjunct for a semester before I applied for the job for the Writing Center.

Q Do you recall when you were an adjunct?
A The spring of -- It was spring of 2002.
Q Okay. To the best of your recollection it was 2002?
A Yes.
Q Okay. Let me back up for one second. You said that you were acting director, could you explain why the title acting, what's the significance of that?

A The director of the Writing Program would take a leave every single spring. So, she only worked -- She worked one semester each year, thus in the spring there was no director for the Writing Program. So, part of the responsibility I had during the year was to direct the Writing Center and, then, in the spring also serve as acting director of the Writing Program.

Q How long were you the acting director?
A The entire time up to the national search for the Writing Program director. The entire time $I$ was employed full time. Q That was following the time you served as an adjunct or? A Yeah. When I was an adjunct, I taught one class, that was it.

Q So, do you recall -- Did you have to apply to become an adjunct?

A Apply?
Q Mm-hm.
A No.
Q Okay. Could you describe for us how you became an adjunct?

A I was at NYU teaching writing while I was getting my Ph.D. for six or seven years. While I was in that program, there was someone else from NYU getting her Ph.D. in English. We met each other through the Writing Program. She got the job at Barnard. She had called me maybe two or three years in a row
saying she needed someone to teach Essay Writing in the spring, would I do it. I had said no two or three times in a row and the third time she asked me, I said sure, I'll try it.

Q So, did you have to submit any type of documentation?
A $\quad$ No.
Q Did you complete and application?
A No.
Q Were you interviewed?
A No.
Q Okay. I think you touched on this. How long -- I believe it was your testimony, you did not apply. Was there any type of process before you became an adjunct at Barnard?

A Any formal process?
Q Yes.
A No.
Q Okay. And, you testified it was in the spring of 2002?
A It was in the spring. I'm almost positive it was 2002.
Q If you would briefly describe for us what your duties were as an adjunct.

A To teach essay writing that particular spring.
HEARING OFFICER BERGER: This colleague who brought you on board from NYU, do you know what her title was at Barnard when she asked you to be an adjunct?

THE WITNESS: Yes. She was director of the Writing Center.

HEARING OFFICER BERGER: Okay.
THE WITNESS: And, acting director of the Writing Program. HEARING OFFICER BERGER: Okay.

MR. D'GIOVANNI: Could you just repeat that one more time what her title --

THE WITNESS: Director of the Writing Center, acting director of the Writing Program.

BY MS. MUNOZ:
Q So, you taught one course?
A $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hm}$.
Q Okay. And, were you at that time required to perform any scholarship?

A No.
Q Were you performing a scholarship?
A I was in the midst of writing my dissertation at NYU and teaching at NYU, so most of my time and my primary responsibilities were not at Barnard. I would show up, I'd teach my class and I went back to NYU. I mean I'd hold an office hour also and I was supposed to meet with students. Q When were your office hours, do you recall? A There's a -- There's a set amount of hours you have to -that I was told I had to provide for each hour I taught in class. The class was two hours. I think it might have been an hour a week.

Q And, did you have your own office?

A $\quad$ No.
Q Did you have an office?
A Yeah. I had an office to go to, it wasn't my own office.
Did you share that office with anyone?
A Yeah.
Q With whom did you share that office?
A I shared the office with one, two -- about four or five people. We organized -- We were told what hour you could be there and when it would be free and that's what we did.

Q When you met with students, what did you meet with them about?

A About the writing and essay writing course.
Q Did you advise them?
A On other than their writing and the essay writing course?
Not that I remember.
Q On anything else?
A No. I was there for a brief amount of time and you had time to work on your writing program.

Q As an adjunct were you required to perform any service for the college?

A No.
Q Were you performing service?
A No.
Q As an adjunct, I don't know if you'd know this, but if you do, do you know whether or not you had to undergo a review

## process?

A No. I did not undergo a review process.
Q Okay.
A Nor was I ever told I would need to undergo a review process.

Q I believe it was your next position and if I get these out of order, correct me. But, when you became the -- Was the next position you held acting director of the Writing Program?

A The next position I held there was a one year nonrenewable contract. At the end of the semester $I$ was teaching the person who hired me, the director of the Writing Center, her husband got a job in Philadelphia and they had to move. So, they needed someone to fill her space -- her position immediately. So, she had asked if I would be interested in that position. It was brought to the Chair. I interviewed with the director of the Writing Program and the Chair of the English Department for a one year non-renewable contract. Q So, was there any type of search?

A No.
Q It was one year?
A Yeah.
Q And, it was not renewable.
A It was not renewable.
Q Okay. Was this a promotion?
A From?

Q From adjunct.
A It was a better job than being an adjunct, but it was not a promotion directly related to me being an adjunct.

Q Do you know if the fact that you were an adjunct gave you any type of preference for this position?

A I would like to believe that if Marylou had not gotten me to teach that semester and that position had opened, she would have called me to ask me to come in. She called me three different times because she thought my teaching was excellent. Q So, for this position, for the acting director position, did you have to complete -- was there an application process?

A Not a formal application process.
Q How long did you serve in that position?
A One year. During that year they were running a search for the position.

Q While you were in the acting director position, could you describe what your responsibilities were?

A Sure. It was running the Writing Center, overseeing the students who worked in the Writing Center, arranging the day-to-day of making sure that there were enough hours, enough students to fill those hours, overseeing the sign-up sheets that students use when they sign up for hours in the Writing Center, handling questions, concerns or any problems in the Writing Center between the students and their work in the students that work here. Dealing with faculty who sent
students to the Writing Center and I taught -- I can't remember what the teaching and what the releases were. I believe it was two courses in the fall with the release for -- two courses in the Writing Center in the fall. And, in the spring it was one course and two releases for running the Writing Program as acting director and one course release for running the Writing Center.

Q What is a course release?
A A course release is an exchange, so that instead of teaching a course, the hours that you would have dedicated to that are exchanged for service to a program.

Q So, the program in this case was the Writing Program.
A That's right.
Q So, what were you teaching that year?
A In the fall I taught the Writer's Process which is a course that is required of students chosen to be in the Writing Fellows Program and Essay Writing.

Q Were you performing --
A I'm sorry. In the fall I was teaching the Writer's Process and Studies in Writing, which was a course for students who were struggling with -- who were struggling writers or for whom English was not a first language, who had something in their applications to Barnard that flagged them as students who would benefit from the more intensive writing course when they came into the college. And, then in the spring, I taught Essay

Writing.
Q Okay. Were you performing any other service during that year?

A During that year?
Q $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hm}$.
A No.
Q And, were you advising students in your capacity as lecturer?

A In terms of approving programs and such? No.
Q In what capacity were you advising students?
A Only in the capacity as Writing Center director for the students that $I$ was supervising in the Writing Center, within the context of the jobs they were supposed to do as Writing Fellows.

Q Okay. Were you performing any type of scholarship?
A Finishing my dissertation, but that was scholarship that was in relationship to finishing my degree.

Q Okay. And, at the end of the one year, you remained with Barnard?

A I did.
Q And, in -- How did that come about?
A During that year, there was a national search for a Writing Center director.

Q And, you participated in that search?
A I participated in that search. Yes.

Q Could you describe that search?
A Sure. I submitted an application. I knew that the position required somebody have a Ph.D. in hand, so I was making sure that $I$ was finishing my Ph.D. so I would be qualified for it. I forwarded all the scholarship I had done at NYU around writing pedagogy. I submitted a teaching statement and my teaching evaluations from NYU and from Barnard were submitted. Then, I got outside letters of recommendation from directors at NYU, directors in the Writing Program at NYU and I think maybe someone from my home department at NYU.

Q Did anyone at Barnard give you a letter?
A No.
Q Would you have been able to get a letter from someone at Barnard?

A Would I have been able to? No. I don't -- No. It was -The people I knew at Barnard were participating -- were in the department I was applying to. They would not have written me a letter of recommendation.

Q Okay. How much time do you estimate that process took?
A Putting together the documents?
Q Yes.
A It took a long time. Just writing the teaching statement that I felt would best represent my teaching took me weeks on end. The process took a long time. The application went in, then there was a narrowing down of the applicants to some kind
of smaller pool. There was the phone interview. So, although I was on campus full time, I had to go some place where I could phone in my interview because the other seven applicants were phoning in their interviews. Then, that pool was narrowed down to three, I believe. All three of us came to Barnard for a full day of interviews with the faculty, with students, with the provost and with the search committee.

Q Again, just to clarify, what was the title, the specific search title?

A Director of the Writing Center.
Q Okay. Did you get this position?
A I did.
Q Do you remember approximately when that happened?
A I guess the year of 2002 to 2003, maybe.
(Employer's E-12 identified.)
BY MS. MUNOZ:
Q I'm going to show you what's marked as Employer 12. Do you recognize this document?

A Yes.
Q How do you recognize it?
A It was the letter that was given to me when I accepted the job at Barnard.

Q And, the job, again?
A Director of the Barnard Writing Center, Associate Director of the Writing Program and Lecturer in the Department of

English.
Q Okay. So, could you explain for us the three different titles and you were discussing one search? Could you explain the significance of the three different titles?

A Director of the Barnard Writing Center was overseeing the day-to-day operations and larger vision of what the Writing Center did in terms of the Barnard writing community.

The Associate Director of the Writing Program was that I was second in command to Nancy Piori who was the director of the Writing Program at the time and also, translated to acting director in the spring because $I$ was associate director all year. The Associate Director of the Writing Program also had the added responsibilities of working with ESO students and writing across the curriculum at that time, but only in the context of helping faculty in programs, manage or negotiate writing with struggling student writers. So, running faculty workshops, consulting with faculty and at times, consulting with students from different departments that faculty needed assessed in terms of their writing ability.

Q And, it also says that you were a lecturer in the English Department or Department of English?

A Right.
Q Okay. What were your duties as a lecturer?
A As a lecturer, aside from the teaching, after the first year I was required -- I was told that it was part of my
responsibility to assume advisees first and second year and major advisees and service. The very first service I did I believe was in my first year. I can't remember the year, but on Honor Board. I was immediately put on Honor Board.

Q Who told you it was your duty to advise majors?
A The English Department Chair. I believe the Provost in the interview told me -- we spoke about what responsibilities were.

Q And, who put you on, as you said, on the Honor Board?
A The Faculty Governance Committee. Every spring all full time faculty members receive a committee preference form that reminds us that we are required to serve on committees and, then, you're asked to rank your top three.

Q And, Honor Board was on your top three?
A Honor Board was my number one.
Q I'm going to come back to Honor Board. But if I could, you mentioned in addition to the teaching load, what was your teaching load at this time?

A The teaching load for my position was three three with course releases for administrative responsibilities.

Q Those administrative responsibilities were?
A Running the Writing Center in the fall and in the spring. Running the Writing Center and standing in for the director in the spring.

Q So, how many courses did you end up teaching?

A Three for the year.
Q Three for the year. Okay.
And, did you set your own teaching methods?
A Yes.
Q Create your own syllabi?
A Yes.
Q What grading standards did you apply, do you recall?
A What grading standards did I apply?
Q $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hm}$.
A I'm not sure $I$ understand the question.
Q I don't know if you were here. There's been some
testimony, I think it might have been Tuesday, we were
discussing college-wide grading standards and $A$ minus equals this, A equals this. I'm just asking if you -- Let me rephrase the question.

Did you set your own grading standards?
A Yes.
Q And, how did you do that?
A How did I do that?
Q $\quad \mathrm{Mm}-\mathrm{hm}$.
A Based on my years of experience and what consistuted the norm at Barnard.

Q Okay. All right. And, were you required to hold office hours?

A Yes.

Q How many were you required to hold?
A Required to hold two office hours per week.
Q And, do you recall how many you actually held?
A That first year, actually every year since $I$ worked there, I'm there five days a week, so I'm in my office quite a bit. I spend most of my week at Barnard, so I have office hours but I see students because of the Writing Program because of other responsibilities at the college, I see students at various times. But, I always -- There's always at least two hours that are reserved for office hours.

Q Okay. And, I saw you looking at the letter. Does the document accurately describe what your duties were in this position?

A Yes.
Q Were you -- The second paragraph down it says, Expectations the standards with respect to teaching scholarship and service. Were you required then to perform scholarships?

A Yes.
Q Would you be able to describe your scholarship during this time period?

A When $I$ was in the role full time?
Q Yes.
A Sure. I started working on scholarship related to the program itself and on pedagogy, which eventually resulted in a chapter on Writing Centers and Students with Disabilities. I
also -- As per the director of the Writing Program, I was told part of my responsibilities would be to represent Barnard at conferences and such and make sure we had a presence in the larger communities, so I started joining -- I started going to the yearly meeting of the Ivy League Writing Consortium, which I eventually became a steering committee of -- I eventually joined the Steering Committee of that and ran two different yearly conferences for the Ivy League Writing Consortium. I'm trying to think. It's a long time ago.

I published -- I became -- I joined the Editorial Board of a periodic journal called Women in Performance. I co-edited the journal during that time. I need to see my $C V$ to remember everything. But, I went to conferences, published articles in that first period of time and put together a chapter of a book on Writing Center Disability. I did a talk at a theater because that's the other thing that's huge in scholarship. Contributed a chapter to another book called the Encyclopedia Theater and Culture.

Q You weren't bored.
A No. My dissertation was done by then.
Q All right. Let's turn to your service on the Honor Board.
What is the Honor Board?
A The Honor Board is a body made up of three faculty members, three full time faculty members and a group of students. I don't remember how many students, it might be
five. And, then, the Dean of Studies sits on and chairs it. The Honor Board oversees issues of academic honesty and dishonesty, that might include issues around publicity, how do we let students know what the honor code is, how do we let them know how to avoid plagiarism, those kinds of things, publicity and informational. But, then, really, the most important part of the Honor Board's job is that if there are cases of plagiarism, suspected plagiarism and a student -- if the student after being sent to the dean of Studies still claims innocence it comes to the Honor Board where we hear evidence and come to a decision as to the guilt or innocence and, then, we decide the punishment for the student.

Q When you say three full time faculty members served on the committee, do you recall the make up? Are they tenured, nontenured faculty members?

A I remember exactly who it was. One tenured member from Political Science. One tenured track from Psychology and myself.

Q How long did you serve on the Honor Board?
A I believe the term is two or three years.
Q Is that an appointed committee?
A Yes. It's an appointed committee.
Q Were you on any other committees?
A Yes.
Q What are they?

A I was on the ADA Disability Accessible -- Accessibility Committee that was chaired by the Director of Office of Disability Services and that was a committee that looked at accessibility issues on campus. The third committee $I$ was on has changed names, so $I$ don't know what it was called then. It's now called ASEP and I served on that continually since I've been at Barnard pretty much. It's the Academic Success and Enrichment Programs. Those are the programs that supports students from under represented populations at the college. Q What were the -- Were these also appointed committees? A Yes.

Q What was the make up of these committees? Who served on these committees with you?

A The ADA was administrators. I might have been the only faculty member on that, there might have been one other and the Director of ODS, Office of Disability Services. The make up of ASEP was whoever the director of HEOP, the Higher Education Opportunity Program. And, then, anyone who was supporting or working with a program that would oversee students in the situation covered by ASEP.

Q You said you believe you've been on that latter committee continually since you've been at Barnard.

A Yes. It's changed names but I've been on some version of it.

Q How long were you on the ADA committee?

A Four years? Three year? Three or four years. Have you served on any other committees?

Since I've been at Barnard?
Sure. Let's focus on that time period, while you were a lecturer and director -- I guess you're still director.

A In that period on committees, I'm not sure -- The kind of committees that FPG oversees, I think that would be it. I'm not sure if I'm missing any. But there are also less formal committees that I served on. There was a departmental committee to review the Essay Writing course and see if it was supposed to be -- if it should be counted towards English credit, English major credit or not. The Chair told me that I was chairing that, so that was a committee made up of those people who were teaching or had taught Essay Writing where we looked at the various syllabi. I collected student evaluations. We looked at the kind of students who were served by the course. We looked at the English major and thought about how the course did or didn't fit. I made the argument that it fit into the English major, so it was counted toward English Department credit.

Q And, when you say it was comprised of those who taught Essay Writing, did that include adjuncts?

A It did. The committee didn't include adjuncts. The information we gather was from adjuncts. The committee included only full time people who were at Barnard. So, we did
collect teaching evaluations from adjuncts, but the people teaching Essay Writing at the time are Ann Schneider, who -who were on the committee was Ann Schneider, who was a dean, Peggy Elsberg (ph.), who was in the English Department full time and Jim Rensdorf (ph.), who was also a dean and teaching Essay Writing. But we collected syllabi from all people who taught.

Q Okay. Did you perform any other service during this time period?

MR. LEVINE: Can I ask her a clarifying question? This time period, we're talking about this one year?

MS. MUNOZ: I'm sorry.
THE WITNESS: I was talking from the time $I$ was appointed lecturer till $I$ was -- the first seven years.

MR. LEVINE: Okay. That wasn't clear.
THE WITNESS: Yeah. I couldn't have done that all in one year.

BY MS. MUNOZ:
Q Any other service for the college?
A Yeah. Dorothy Denburg, who was the dean at the time sent me to present a paper and chair a panel at CHAS, a consortium on high achievement in scholarship. I can't remember the exact name. That's a conference to talk about how to support under represented students in various majors. I went to that -- I think my very first full year, I went to that and I went twice
more, so three times to CHAS conferences.
I'm trying to think if there's anything else for Barnard in particular. I might work on the Ivy League Consortium which reflected back on Barnard, but that wasn't committee service for Barnard.

Q And, during -- In the seven-year time period while you were -- you also held the title of lecturer, did you receive any funding from the college for any of this, any of this service?

A Funding, I'm not sure what you mean.
Q To support your service. You testified about going to conferences.

A Oh, yes. I mean, I didn't get additional salary. It was expected that $I$ was going to do this as part of my job description. But when I did go to conferences all of that was funded.

Q By the college?
A By the college. If it was for service or if $I$ was giving a paper at a conference, it was funded.

Q Okay. And, did you have to apply for that funding?
A I didn't have to apply for the funding when $I$ was sent to CHAS conferences because it came directly from the Provost because I was doing it at the request of the Provost. For the other conferences, the budget for my program because it's expected also has money for travel to go to conferences in
support of scholarship.
Q That's the Writing Program?
A Yeah.
Q All right. Let's discuss your role -- Let's start with your role as lecturer.

A Yes.
Q Was there a review process?
A Yes.
Q Could you describe that process for us?
A Well, the review process, at the end of the three years I was there, $I$ met with the director of my program, Nancy Piori and the Chair of the English Department to talk about what was happening in my job and the way $I$ was performing it and what $I$ could or couldn't do moving on towards the six year review. In the first seven years, I think that might have been the one formalized review moment at the three year mark, but I can't --

Q You were talking about the three year -- Just to clarify. We're talking about the three-year period review or are you talking about the seven year review?

A The three year.
Q Okay. So, the three-year review you met with the director and Chair, you had a discussion. Did you do anything to prepare for that meeting?

A Just gathered all my materials of what I had been doing up until that point, so it was in one place. I don't know -- I
don't remember exactly what the process was. I'm not sure if the Chair had to submit that to the Provost office, but I had to just gather my materials. I don't know what happened to the materials.

Q What did the materials consist of?
A Scholarship, service, a listing of all contributions I've made to the department, to the program, to the college.

Q How long did that process -- the gathering of that information take?

A I don't remember. Maybe a few weeks just to get
everything together.
Q After the three year, you testified that there was a seven year?

A I was in an unusual situation when $I$ was coming up for the year of my review, the director of the program left.

Q The Writing Program?
A The Writing Program Director, Nancy Piori retired. So, there was a national search for the Writing Program Director. So, right before I was supposed to go up to review I was actually applying for the Writing Program Director position within the national search.

Q For the director of the Writing Program.
A For the director of the Writing Program.
Q Okay. So, did you have a separate review for the seven year lecturer mark or did -- Were there two processes or was
there only one process for you.
A There was a process by which I did the application and all that goes into the application for a national search. The director of the Writing Program was a senior lecturer position, so when I got that position I was appointed as a senior lecturer. In other words, the materials and the qualifications necessary for that particular job fit the rank of senior lecturer. So, had I not gotten it, somebody else would have had the same experience. Once you show what you -- Because of the time I had already been at Barnard and what I brought to the table in my application qualified me for that position. Q What were the qualifications?

A The qualifications were scholarship. My ability to run a writing program. My name recognition in the field outside of Barnard in the field of writing.

Q Could you again for us describe -- You said it was unusual in the sense that it came up at the same time as year of review. If you would, would you describe for us this national search, how this process happened?

A Sure. This search was a bigger search because it was a bigger position in terms of how many people applied for it, I believe. The process itself was somewhat similar in that I submitted an application with all the things that were asked for in the application, letters of recommendation. Again, they were not from Barnard. Scholarship, teaching statement, sample
syllabi, teaching evaluations. And, then, an initial interview and, then, a second interview which was also together with a full day visit.

Q Who did you meet with on the visit?
A I met with students. I met with the department. I met with the search committee. I met with the Provost and I met with the Chair.

Q Did you have to give any type of talk or was it interviews or what was that part of the process like?

A I'm trying to remember. I had to do something and I can't remember exactly what it was now. It might have been a talk in front of students, two students about writing pedagogy, but honestly $I$ don't remember exactly what it was.

HEARING OFFICER BERGER: When you say you met with the department, who are you referring to?

THE WITNESS: The English Department.
HEARING OFFICER BERGER: Which includes who?
THE WITNESS: Which included all full time members.
MS. MUNOZ: I'd like to mark Employer 13.
HEARING OFFICER BERGE: Would you like to admit Employer's
12?
MS. MUNOZ: Yes.
HEARING OFFICER BERGER: Any objection to Employer 12?
MR. LEVINE: No.
HEARING OFFICER BERGER: Employer 12 is received.
(Employer's E-12 received.)
MS. MUNOZ: Thank you.
(Employer's E-13 identified.)
BY MS. MUNOZ:
Q Do you recognize this document?
A Yes.
Q Could you tell us what it is?
A It's my appointment letter once I accepted the position as Director of the Writing Program.

Q And, does this document accurately describe your duties in this position?

A Yes.
Q Could you just tell us briefly what your duties were?
A Yes. I oversaw the Writing Center and the Writing
Program. I taught six courses per year with one course release in the fall and one course release in the spring for doing that. I taught courses for the Writing Program in the fall and I also taught -- At that point, I had enough of a scholarly profile within the field of performance studies that I also started teaching for the theater, American Studies, Africana Studies, Women Studies.

I attended faculty meetings, did service, held office hours, had advisees.

Q Major advisees?
A Major advisees and first and second year advisees.

Q You testified you had course release again. How many courses were you teaching?

A Was I actually teaching?
Q Yes.
A I ended up teaching two in the fall with one course release. So two altogether in the fall, two altogether in the spring. My position is a $3-3-0$, so it was two -- It was three, one course release and three, one course release.

Q Is that currently your course load?
A Yes.
Q And, the release is because of the director duties?
A That's right.
Q And, do your duties as the director of the Writing Program/senior lecturer, do they differ in any way from when you were a lecturer and associate director of the Writing Program?

A Yes. Very much so.
Q How so?
A I was -- As a senior lecturer, my scholarship productivity went up in accordance with what would be expected at the sevenyear review. In the letter it says five years but it changed to seven years, that policy changed.

Q Do you know when it changed?
A I was an FGP at the time, so I should know. I can't remember the exact year. Because it was a more senior
position, my presence on the campus became more visible. I was meeting with departments and faculty more which gave me more visibility, which meant $I$ was on more elected committees. I was doing more conferences and as senior lecturer and director of the Writing Program, I started making revisions to the actual Writing Program.

Q What do you mean by that?
A When $I$ was a lecturer and associate director, I was the second in command. I kind of would step in when Nancy, the director wasn't able to do things and did parts of the job that she didn't do. When I became director, I separated out those two positions, the associate director and the director, so that the director oversaw everything that the writing fellows and, then, the associate director helped who was really solely responsible for working with struggling students and ASEP and was not involved in the Writing Center.

Then, created another position called a coordinator so that the faculty members, we wouldn't have to do the day-to-day of the Writing Center, who was coming, who was going, who was signing up, but that was left to a coordinator who administered the day-to-day of it. Then, because of that, I started growing the program that eventually became the Writing and Speaking Program at which point I brought in -- I was able to -- I was able to work with someone who worked with speaking and we developed that program. So, now there's not only writing
fellows, there's speaking fellows and eventually, I was able to apply and have the Speaking Program apply to FEPC (ph.) and created another faculty position within that group.

Q When you say create, how did you create a faculty position?

A Let me rephrase that. I'm sorry.
Q That's okay.
A I didn't create it. There's a consultant who was trying to work on just the speaking skills that students would work on in the Speaking Program, in this newly forming Speaking Program. But it was clear that it required a more academically inclined person to do that, who had more experience with liberal arts. So, the Provost -- In consultation with the Provost and the Associate Provost, together, we started thinking about what the program would need. And, the Provost approved a staff position to do that and it wasn't quite meeting the needs of the program and, so, the provost suggested that with the Chair of the English Department, we put together a proposal to FEPC to create a faculty position to -- not create, to request a faculty position to fill that who could teach courses and work with students on a day-to-day, in the same role that $I$ did with the Writing Program. And, FEPC approved that.

Q Are there any other faculty positions in the Writing Program?

A There's also the associate director of the Writing Program.

Q And, that's also a faculty position?
A Yes.
Q Are these full time, part time?
A These ae full time. And, one right now is a full time lecturer and the other at this point is a temp.

Q Okay. You said that your scholarship when you became -When you took on the senior lecturer/director position that your scholarship requirements went up. What do you mean by that?

A To make sure that $I$ was meeting the criteria of the senior lecturer and creating visibility in the field, I published a book. I co-edited another journal. I started writing more articles and give guest talks in writing and writing pedagogy. And, because the program now included speaking as the years when on $I$ started creating -- doing research and creating work around the intersection between writing and speaking that I presented at different places.

Q And, you said -- You made reference to what is expected at the seven-year review.

A Right.
Q What did you mean by that?
A At the seven-year review I knew what they were looking for at that point was the teaching scholarship service and, so, I
wanted to have -- to make sure $I$ had a rich portfolio.
Q Have you undergone the seven-year review?
A Yes.
MS. MUNOZ: I'm going to move to enter Employer 13 into the record.

HEARING OFFICER BERGER: Any objection?
MR. LEVINE: No objection.
HEARING OFFICER BERGER: Employer 13 is received.
(Employer's E-13 received.)
(Employer's E-14 identified.)
MS. MUNOZ: I'm going to give the witness what I've marked as Employer 14.

BY MS. MUNOZ:
Q Do you recognize that document?
A Yes.
Q Could you tell us what it is?
A It is the letter to inform me that the Advisory Committee in Appointments and Tenure Information has approved my reappointment as a senior lecturer.

Q How long is your reappointment?
A Seven years.
Q And, will you have to undergo another review at seven years?

A Yes.
MS. MUNOZ: I'm going to go ahead now and move to enter

Employer 14 in the record.
MR. LEVINE: No objection.
HEARING OFFICER BERGER: Employer 14 is received.
(Employer's E-14 received.)
BY MS. MUNOZ:
Q Let's talk about the review process that you've just undergone.

A Yes. Very fresh in my memory.
Q Could you please describe for us what you did to prepare for this review process?

A Yes. About a year or a year and a half before the review, the Chair sent me a letter saying you're coming up on your seven-year review. I need to meet with you to talk about the materials we're going to need. Here's the process of what's going to happen. That was Peter at the time.

Then I had to write a description -- The very first thing was there was a letter from the Chair based on a document that I wrote about the continued need for this position, that went to FEPC. FEPC approved that and that was -- The next step was for me to submit a teaching philosophy letter, a cover letter, all my scholarship, a listing of my service and teaching evaluations for the previous -- all the teaching evaluations either for the previous three years or five years, I can't remember which.

Then after that, after all that was submitted, I was -- I
was interviewed by members of the English Department, tenured members of the English Department about the package I had submitted. That was like an hour long meeting. Then after that, a letter was written by the Chair going through the meeting and what they -- Oh, I'm sorry. Also, there was teaching observation and a letter in response to the teaching observation. All of that was put together, consolidated into a letter from the Chair which $I$ then had to sign off on saying that I agreed and understood what was said in the letter and what was recommended moving forward.

Q Did anything else occur? What did you do with that information?

A What did I do with the information?
Q You're talking about the process and the information that you gathered. Clarify for me, when you put all of that together --

A The Chair's letter and all of that?
Q Yes.
A After all the packages were put together, all of that then went to the ATP and the ATP with the Provost and the president had the final approval.

Q Who made the recommendation to ATP?
A The Chair.
Q The Chair? And, then, the ATP made a recommendation as well?

A To the president.
Q And, then, the president had to approve it.
A And, the president had to approve it.
Q Approximately how long did the review process take?
A I guess from the first letter it took a year and a half, over a year for this.

Q So, in your sixth year you're preparing for your sevenyear review?

A That's right.
Q While you've been a senior lecturer, you've also been doing I believe you testified to this but confirm for me, did you also do student advising?

A Yes.
Q What type of environment again?
A I have I believe 22 first year and sophomores that I advise and about 20 English majors that I advise.

Q Could you describe what the advising process consists of? A Sure. For the first year and second year it's to advise them on -- The primary, primary responsibility of my one job is to make sure that I approve their programs because they cannot take classes without my input of approval into the computer, that's the nuts and bolts of what has to happen with my advisees. But, I also advise them on classes. I advise them based on their interests which -- which courses I think might work for them or not work for them. And, I guess one of the
bigger issues is if the student gets into trouble in any way and certainly, students come to you with any issues they have, especially if they need your mediation with other professors or with the Provost or with the dean of Studies with counseling, any of those. But, if a student starts having trouble somewhere, then my responsibilities to my advisee change slightly, they increase. So, if a student's grades start dropping and they get a notice of concern, that goes to the Dean of Studies and, then, I'm brought into the loop, so that I have to meet with the student. I get all correspondence that goes between the Dean of Studies and professors -- between Dean of Studies and the student keeping updated on what's going on. If a student has to leave school because their grades aren't going well, all correspondence with the student about her continued or future participation in the school I'm cc'd on. Any time a student is put on probation, I get the letter also that says please make sure you meet with your advisor, Professor Cobrin.

Q Was that level of responsibility the same when you were a lecturer?

A To advisees?
Q Yes.
A Yes.
Q And, are there any differences between the major advising and you said you also have first and second year advisees?

A Right. For first and second year, also, you're supposed to help guide them towards a major which they have to choose by spring semester of their sophomore year. That's why we only have first and second year advisees. So, part of it is also trying to track where the student's doing well and guiding -pushing the student very gently towards a major. Some of them want to jump into a major right away, but some actually need some guiding, some guiding to get towards a major.

Major advising takes on a different stripe in that major advising, you are responsible for -- I should have mentioned this. First and second year, you're also responsible for making sure the student's completing the general education requirements. For major advising, it's making sure the student meets the requirements of the major which for the English Department can get somewhat complicated.

I also have the additional responsibility of advising students with a theater concentrate. So, there's different flavors of the English major, that one is the one that $I$ work with as well as general English Department majors.

Q Is first and second year advising included in your required -- the requirement that you advise students?

A Is it required?
Q Yes.
A I don't know if it's written. I was always told it was something that we had to do. Personally, in my experience, I
was told that's what we do. I enjoy doing it.
Q Do you receive any additional compensation?
A Yes.
Q For which type of advising?
A First and second year.
Q Okay. Is that per student?
A Yeah.
Q Per semester?
A Per student.
HEARING OFFICER BERGER: Who is it who told you you're expected to do advising?

THE WITNESS: My Chair.
BY MS. MUNOZ:
Q As senior lecturer are you and director are you expected to perform service?

A Yes.
Q Could you describe for $u$ s the service you've been doing for the past seven years?

A The past seven years, I served on the Committee on Instruction. I was elected -- For elected committees, I was elected to the Committee on Instruction. I was elected to the Faculty Governance Committee twice. I was elected to the University Senate twice and I was elected to the OLFAC Committee twice. I can't think of what the acronym stands for, but it's for the full time renewable review faculty. It covers
that's who is on that committee.
Q You said you were elected to these committees?
A Yes.
Q What was the election process?
A The election process you're told if you're eligible and you're either nominated -- Now, it's a little different. At the time, either somebody nominates or you nominate yourself for whatever category you're eligible. Once that happens, all faculty who are eligible to vote, vote on one person for each category for those categories in which they're eligible to vote based on position or rank. That narrows it down to the top three candidates. And, the top three candidates go out and people vote whichever of the top three candidates they would choose for that position. And, then, at the final faculty meeting of the year, the faculty teller announces who won each election.

Q When you said who are eligible, who are you talking about?
A Eligible to vote or eligible to serve on the committee?
Q To serve on the committee.
A Serve on the committee for OLFAC only full time -renewable and review are eligible to serve on the committee. For Faculty Governance Committee of the four divisions, each division has a tenured and non-tenured representative. As of the last -- As of the last time $I$ was on to make sure there was top representation on the committee.

Q What about the COI?
A COI, same thing.
Q The Senate.
A Senate, it's just one tenured member and one non-tenured member from any division in the college, non-tenured, full time.

Q Who's eligible -- How do you know that that's the eligible pool?

A It's listed on the election ballot.
Q Okay.
A There's a description on the web -- There's a description when you go to vote of who's eligible for what.

Q And, you vote electronically?
A Electronically. When I first came, I think we might have voted by paper ballot at the faculty meeting, but now it's all done electronically.

Q And, you made a distinction between eligible to serve, eligible to vote.

A Right.
Q When you say eligible to vote, who do you mean?
A Full time faculty from the -- From when $I$ was on FGP, it was tenured, tenured track, full time renewable and review. Q Back up for a second. When you're talking about the tenured, non-tenured members. Are the non-tenured members and the tenured members, are they full time, part time?

A Full time.
Q All full time. Okay. How do you know who's eligible to vote?

A That is done through the Provost office, I believe.
Q Would they tell you that you're eligible to vote?
A That $I$ was eligible to vote at college elections?
Q Yes.
A Yes. I was always told I was eligible to vote from the time I was a full time faculty member.

Q Let's talk about FGP.
A Yes.
Q What is FGP?
A Faculty Governance Committee.
Q And, what positions have you held on the FGP?
A I was a member for two years and, then, I was re-elected a member for another two years.

Q Twice.
A Twice.
Q And, what does this committee do?
A This committee oversees all faculty governance matters. So, it sets the agenda for the faculty meeting. About two years in it started running -- We started running a faculty caucus so a meeting of faculty in which administration was not invited, so that the faculty at Barnard had the chance to just discuss amongst themselves issues that were coming to the
forefront.
I served on a Grievance Committee while I was there for someone who was denied tenure. It pretty much oversees -- The Provost comes to part of every meeting and brings up whatever the issues are on campus at the time and, then, we as a group look at those issues. So, anything having to do with procedures of the college, almost all of them go through FGP. Then, the big job also, the beginning of each semester is we appoint people to all the appointed committees.

Q And, what does that process look like?
A We get a list of all eligible people with their divisions and we get a list of the questionnaire that goes out that asks what committee would you like to be on. Then, there's a place for each faculty member -- Faculty members have the possibility of writing an explanation of why they shouldn't serve on a committee because they're doing service and something else. In other words, advising three dissertations and running four searches this year and overseeing a tenure case, I will not have time to do this, or whatever else is going on. Already serving on three elected committees, I can't do an appointed committee. I have a personal situation. Whatever it is, that's the place where a faculty member -- I'm organizing a conference this year which is my service to Barnard, but I can't serve on a committee.

Q What do you do with that information? What does the
committee do with that information?
A We all sit down. People rank the committees they want in order. We look at the vacancies. We look at who's requested to be on what in what order and we just match people up, looking at -- We have a list of all the committee service each person has done. So, if there's a committee member who hasn't done service as much as we'd like, that's a committee member -that's a faculty member we're going to focus more on to make sure there's someone who we know has expertise and interest in an area. So, it's kind of a more art than science of matching people up to committees.

Q And if someone who hasn't served or doesn't have a lot of committee service gives you an explanation like the one you described, does that factor in? Do they serve on a committee? What happens?

A It factors in. I mean, there's no set -- I mean it's a discussion amongst a group of faculty members in a faculty governance meeting. So, there's no set one answer for that. We discuss whatever the situation is. People know each other. Some of us have more information about what might be going on and we discuss.

Q Do you have any knowledge if there are any faculty members who have never served on a committee?

A Full time faculty members?
Q Yes.

A I don't remember seeing that
Q When you talk about faculty members, you're only talking about full time members?

A Correct.
Q I believe you also mentioned discussing what FGP does in the process a faculty caucus?

A Yes.
Q What is that?
A Faculty caucus is a meeting that started -- This is my third year on FGP, the faculty decided they needed a forum to speak without administration present to talk about issues since there wasn't that opportunity otherwise. Even FGP had a section of the meeting that was faculty only and the Provost and Associate Provost would need to leave.

So, finding spaces for faculty to talk amongst themselves in terms of issues of governance policy, procedure, whatever is going on in the college, so that we can talk amongst ourselves and have a greater unified role in what happens in the college politics.

Q Who is eligible to attend faculty caucus?
A Full time faculty.
Q And, that consists of who?
A That consists of all full time faculty.
Q Including term?
A Including term.

Q And, you talked about in FGP the faculty meeting agenda -A Yes.

Q -- putting that together. What happens with the agenda?
A The agenda comes to -- It is initially proposed -- A skeleton is proposed from the Provost office to the Chair of FGP. FGP brings the agenda to the meeting. The member of $F G P$ then discuss all kinds of, you know -- This issue is going to take up way too much time, maybe this is not the week for Admissions to talk about who got into the college. Maybe, we can save that. The people that got the presidential report rewards haven't been able to -- It's all the issues that happen. If the president is giving a talk, we try to figure out how much time it might take. And, then, the really important part is to figure out how much time is needed for discussion and FGP facilitates those discussions during the faculty meeting, if there's any important issues coming up. And, if the faculty feels that there's something that's not on the agenda that's really important, we put that on the agenda. Q There's live discussion about the faculty meetings. What do you do with the actual agenda itself before -- Do you do anything with it before the faculty meeting?

A Yes. It gets sent out to all faculty members.
Q To all faculty.
A I don't know who -- That part I have nothing to do with.
Q Okay.

A It's the issues of the faculty meeting and the voting members or who's there to vote and who's required to be there full time, I don't know who the message gets sent to. There are also -- I mean, there are other people at the faculty meeting other than faculty also.

Q At the faculty meeting, other faculty not part time faculty may attend faculty meetings?

A Other faculty not part time faculty?
Q I'm sorry. May part-time faculty attend the faculty meeting?

A I've seen part-time faculty there.
Q Okay.
A I've seen at least one person I know who's part-time faculty.

Q Are they required to attend?
A No.
Q Do you know if they're eligible to vote?
A No.
Q Similarly, at faculty caucus --
A As per the FGP rules, part-time faculty are not eligible to vote in faculty meetings.

Q FGP rules?
A Well, the FGP oversees all faculty governance matters, so it has to go through that committee.

Q Okay. So, for part-time faculty member to vote, it would
have to -- be approved by FGP, is that your testimony?
A As per the rules I've seen for $F G P$ which are the official rules of what's going on at the college, part-time faculty members are not allowed to vote.

Q And, faculty caucus, you said it's for full time faculty members. Could a part-time faculty member ever attend faculty caucus?

A There's one part-time member I know who has attended. Q Okay. So, are part-time faculty members permitted to attend?

A Well, the faculty caucus is meant for full time faculty members. While I was on FGP, a part-time faculty member had written and said I heard about the faculty caucus, I would like to be added to the list. This became a discussion issue for the members of FGP because it was not -- Part-time faculty members have not been invited to this meeting for no other reason except the meeting was limited to full time faculty members.

We discussed that this particular faculty member had asked to come to the meeting. It was a topic of discussion and we decided to allow her to come, but not to extend an invitation to anyone else. So, in other words, it wasn't changing the rule, it was making an exception for this particular person who had actually emailed a request.

Q And, why has faculty caucus been limited to full time
faculty members?
A Because the issues that come up in faculty caucus pertain to issues that look at the future of the institution and issues that most likely are going to go to a vote or issues that we see as part of the institution as a whole, not classes in particular or the governance of the institution which is based in the full time faculty. That's where that rests.

Q Does the part-time faculty member still attend faculty caucus?

A I believe so, maybe.
Q How often?
A Faculty caucus is not a requirement --I should say that faculty meetings are a requirement, faculty caucus all full time members are invited, but it's not a requirement.

Q Could the faculty caucus have denied the part-time member's request?

A Yes. That was an issue of debate in our FGP meeting. Q Could the faculty caucus ask the part-time faculty member to stop attending?

A Yes.
(Pause.)
Q All right. I have one more question, if $I$ could, about faculty meetings. You said that full time faculty members attend. You testified that part-time faculty members could attend. Does anyone else from the college faculty meetings?

A Yes.
Q Who?
A The library people, library -- the librarians. When we had someone who ran the library, the person who oversaw the library, the director of the library. There are people from the Provost office who attend. There are people from the Dean of Studies office who attend. Who else is there? There are people from Information Technology, I believe, who attend. That might be part of the library, though. I don't know if anyone from Human Resources attends. But, definitely the Provost office, the Dean of Studies office, the library to the full faculty meeting. I can't think of anyone else. Those are the three groups I know of when I see them.

Q To the best of your knowledge, does anyone else from the college attend?

A They might. To the best of my knowledge, I don't know. Q Okay. Is anyone else required to attend? Who is required to attend?

A I don't know the parties -- I'm confident that the Dean of Studies and the Dean of the college and the president and the Provost are all required to attend who are not faculty. I don't know if the librarians are required to attend. And, I don't know who from -- I don't know what office Gail Beltron's (ph.) in, but see her at the faculty meeting. Budget people, people who oversee the budget of the college. I know there's
people from -- I've seen people from Development at the -- at the faculty meetings. But, I don't know -- I don't know who else is required to attend other than faculty, full time faculty. I don't know who the other parties are.

Q There's been some testimony over the last couple of days about departmental meetings and who's required to attend those meetings. Which department are you affiliated with?

A English Department.
Q And, do you attend English Department meetings?
A Yes.
Q Who attends those meetings?
A Those are open to anyone teaching in the English Department.

Q Does that include adjuncts?
A Yes.
Q And, do any votes take place at department meetings?
A We vote for our Chair.
Q And, do adjuncts also vote for the Chair?
A I don't believe so.
Q What are the topics? What do you discuss at department meetings?

A It depends on the time of year. So, in the springtime we talk about awards or scholarships that need letters written to support them. We talk about -- A few years ago there was a big topic about what would count for one of the requirements,
whether -- We talk about whether -- The last -- The last big issue that we talked about in terms of curriculum was whether there should be an American Lit requirements and that took many, many meetings. But, it's all the -- It's all the ways in which both the English Department functions day-to-day and also, the shape or vision of the English Department as a major or as a field of study.

Q What is the process to elect the Chair?
A The part that I don't know about is how someone is nominated for that position. I have never been there when more than one person has been nominated.

Q Okay.
A Not in all my years.
Q Okay. And --
A We did a ballot for OSA (ph.). I can't remember what we've done the other times.

Q Would you describe the process as formal or informal?
A Somewhat informal. There is a vote. I believe if we had bylaws is probably the reason we do the vote is because it's somewhere in the bylaws.

Q Do you know if there are bylaws?
A We don't. They're discussed, but they kind of seem like a mysterious animal. I'm not sure whether they actually exist.

HEARING OFFICER BERGER: Where are they discussed?
THE WITNESS: In the English Department meeting when we
talk about policy or what's supposed to happen in an English Department meeting.

HEARING OFFICER BERGER: Have you ever seen that term written, English Department bylaws, or you just heard mention of bylaws?

THE WITENSS: Just heard mention.
BY MS. MUNOZ:
Q Okay. I believe before the break we had mentioned or you had testified that you also served on what is called the acronym COI.

A Committee on Instruction.
Q Could you tell us what that is?
A That's the committee -- The primary responsibility is to approve syllabi. So, any time a new course is being offered, the syllabi comes to COI. It first goes to the Provost office. The associate provost then presents it COI. COI looks at the syllabi, make sure it fits the criteria of what we feel constitutes a course offered -- what's appropriate for a course offered at Barnard, appropriate for the level the course is claiming to be, if it's a enteral course or a senior course, if it's a lecture or a seminar. And, if the course is asking for consideration within the -- to be counted towards one of the general education requirements, does it fit the criteria of that particular general education requirement so that the student can say, if I take this course I have satisfied the
visual and performing arts GR.
Q And, what was your position, again, on this committee?
A Member.
Q Let's discuss the $O-L-F-A-C$.
A OLFAC.
Q And, what is the OLFAC?
A OLFAC is a committee that represents -- The acronym is Off Ladder Faculty.

Q Who are members of the Off Ladder Faculty?
A Members of the Off Ladder committee are -- are full time -

- full time lecturers, senior lecturers, associate senior, any rank up top. Those are people eligible to serve on the committee.

Q And, what does the OLFAC do?
A It is a group to represent any issues or concerns to that group, to the groups I just mentioned. So, it's the group when the Provost was considering how to update the faculty guide in terms of how people are renewed, she presented it to OLFAC and asked for input. When the Provost was trying to take the vagueness out of the language and make it more specific around how professional development needs are developed and executed and what's expected from them for off ladder faculty, she brought it to OLFAC and OLFAC discussed it and gave her feedback on it. And, any other issues that come up for off ladder.

Q Could you give an example of other issues?
A They could be anything from -- as important as the review policies on how people are reviewed and the cycle and what's required. Making sure that constituency knows about it. To the fact that one year the Provost during the introductions at the faculty meeting did not -- did not introduce full time off ladder faculty in the way they wished to be represented. So, we wanted to make sure that was changed for the next year. It's really absolutely anything.

Q Let's move on to your service on the Senate.
A Yes.
Q What is the Senate?
A The Senate is a body of run through Columbia University. It's their version of faculty governance. It includes all of the campuses of the Columbia Corporation, so that includes the Morningside Campus, the Med School, the Law School, Barnard, Teachers College. I might be missing something. All of the colleges that have Columbia next to it and reside in New York.

Each college has representatives to the Senate. Barnard provides two faculty representatives who are chosen by election and I think two students, who represent. So, for two different terms, non-consecutive, I was the Barnard representative. The job of the person is to go to the Senate meetings to participate, if that's appropriate. One of the big jobs is to report back to the entire Barnard faculty at the faculty
meetings about what is happening in a condensed form of those issues that are relevant to Barnard.

So, the teachers -- The two representatives would switch off, so that at the end of faculty meetings, every other faculty meeting, I would stand up in front of the faculty and give a five-minute presentation to the entire faculty at the faculty meeting of what happened at the Senate meeting and what might be relevant for us.

Part of the Senate duties also included serving on a subcommittee. My first time, I served on the Education Committee which looked at syllabi and programs coming through Columbia. And, serving on the Commission on the Status of Women, which looked at gender equity issues across the different campuses. After I finished my term as Senator I was asked to co-chair the Commission on the Status of Women which I still co-chair along with someone from the Med School -someone from the Law School last year. This year it's someone from the Med School which represents gender equity but it's still part of the Senate even though I'm not a senator any more.

Q You said the Senate reviews syllabi programs for Columbia, you --

A In the Education Program.
Q In the Education Program.
A Not syllabi. I'm sorry. I misspoke. Syllabi in -- not
as courses on their own, but syllabi supporting programmatic changes. Representative syllabi to start a new Ph.D. program, to start a new MA program, to change the current configuration of what a department might do or call itself.

Q And, you're Barnard's representative on the Senate?
A I was.
Q You were. Okay. You said that you review the COI review syllabi, that's for Barnard?

A Just Barnard.
Q And, is that -- Is that for programmatic changes or for course --

A Both.
Q Both. What does the review of syllabi consist of?
A In a regular year where there is no curricular changes going on college wide, it looks for a set of criteria that are really standard. What percentages participation. What percentages participations over this, why. It looks for how rigorous the course is based on -- We have representatives from all the disciplines, so that someone who has some knowledge of the field will be there. But, also, naïve viewers looking from the outside. You know, if I have an advisee and the advisee takes this course, what should this advisee expect.

It looks for detail to make sure that the syllabus is transparent enough about what's going to happen in a class. In a year there there's curricular changes, one of the years $I$ was
in COI, two of the GERs, the general education requirements were revised. So, then, we had to look through every single syllabus that was trying to count towards those particular general education requirements to see if they still fit the requirements. Everything that had been taught up to what was being taught at that time. And, that required sub-groups extra meetings. So, it depends. The weight of how much work is involved in the committees to know what's going on within the college.

Q Does this committee oversee syllabi of courses taught by adjuncts?

A Oversees courses taught by everyone as long as it's the first time it's taught.

Q First time it's taught and that --
A With the exception of first year seminar. First year seminar has its own oversight committee, which I also serve on. Q What does the committee do that reviews the first year seminar? What's distinct about that?

A What's distinct about it is, the first year seminar courses are very, very specific in what they're supposed to provide for first year students in terms of a first year experience. So, all the folks that sit on that oversight committee have some kind of specialty that would make their insight and contributions or critiques or revision suggestions to syllabi relative for that particular purpose.

Q And, can adjuncts serve on that committee?
A No. They don't oversee the syllabi that comes out of the first year English continuation as a first year seminar which is taught by adjuncts primarily.

Q And, who oversees that?
A The syllabi?
Q Yeah.
A I don't know who does it for first year seminar. For fist year English, it's been in terms of overall content and -- I don't know how that works. I know that Margaret Vandenberg has been the director first year English and that's within her purview not mine.

Q And, can adjuncts serve on COI?
A No.
Q Do you know -- Come back to that. Let's go talk about the -- Can we break for one second?
(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.)
HEARING OFFICER BERGER: On the record.
BY MS. MUNOZ:
Q Have you served on any other committees?
A I served on the Curriculum Review. I serve on the First Year Experience Committee which is a two-year long committee. Our particular committees were always to rethink the first year experience including first year English, first year Seminar.

It was supposed to include writing and speaking across the
curriculum, but we were only able to get to First Your English and First Year Seminar.

Q Any other committees?
A None that's coming to me right now. I serve on a lot of committees.

Q Do you ever perform service outside of the committee structure?

A Yeah. Yeah. I started a speaker series, Susan J. Rattner (ph.) Pedagogy Series on writing and speaking. I coach a seminar on Pedagogy and Diversity. I serve on the BCRW advisory board which is the Barnard College Center for Research on Women.

Q Let's start with those.
A Okay.
Q Just briefly, very briefly, could you describe what is the women seminar?

A The women seminar is a funded seminar. It's -- I was brought in as a co-chair. It started with different co-chairs. That looks at -- It's funded through the college, through the Barnard funds and we discuss issues of pedagogy specifically within the context of continued diversity at Barnard, which includes reading scholarship -- I'm sorry.
(Pause.)
BY MS. MUNOZ:
Q You were testifying about the women seminar.

A Oh, right. The women seminar on pedagogy and diversity. It entailed reading scholarship, having discussions. We ran workshops. We did a workshop for first year seminar faculty. It's really trying to infuse Barnard College as a whole with a greater awareness and, hopefully, with some kind of eye towards thinking about teaching moving forward, thinking about issues of diversity regardless of the courses taught.

Q And, you mentioned you serve on the BCRW Advisory Board. And, what is that?

A It's an advisory board to the Barnard Center Research on Women.

Q And, what does this advisory board do?
A It meets and thinks about activities that BCRW is doing, how we can become involved. It's run by the Director of BCRW, going through what the speaker series is. Usually the past director would bring up things like here's what I'm thinking about for this year, what do people think. We discuss it as a group.

Q Do you know if it is unusual for someone in your capacity at Barnard to do service outside of the committee structure?

A It's not unusual.
Q How do you know that?
A Because I know a lot of people in my position and I know what we do. We do a lot of work outside of the committee structure because -- because the programs run a broad student
program, different speaker series. People are doing all kinds of stuff, starting new programs. And, the way in which many programs evolve has to do with that kind of behind the scenes work. I have colleagues -- The women seminar is co-chaired by three renewable review faculty last year. Now, it will be chaired by two people in our position. But, first year English was created by an off ladder person who created an entire curriculum and course. Creative Writing was the program as a whole for Barnard was created by somebody who was off ladder. There are a bunch of study abroad programs, translation programs, that have been started by people off ladder, full time off ladder.

Many of us feel that this is the flexibility of our jobs allow us to have this kind of creative input into what's happening in our programs. So, that's a lot of what we do. I started a program called CAP, Collective Advocacy Project which is a group of about 20 students and we work on how writing and speaking extends beyond the classroom into issues of social justice. We've been doing research and reading and I just got a grant to move forward with that, so that it has publishable outcomes. But, really what it does is, it creates the potential for a new learning situation within Barnard. As pedagogues many of us are very invested in what creates new learning environments at Barnard within programs within the college within disciplines. Different lab programs are
started, all kinds of programs are started. I can give you a list.

Q You said you got a grant. Did you apply for a grant?
A Yes. I've gotten two grants at Barnard. I've received two grants -- I was awarded two grants.

Q Are they outside grants, grants at Barnard.
A Internal grants. I received one outside grant. But, two grants I've received internal to Barnard.

Q And, what was the second grant?
A The outside grant was for Writing Center work for International Writing Center Association for some research I was doing on Writing Center pedagogy. But, the inside grants, one was a grant for an indexer for my book. And the other was a grant for CAP, for this program with students, so that I have money to pay student extra hours, to host events and to hire students over the summer and work with them to try to get this program moving before the year begins.

Q Do you know -- Who of the faculty are eligible to apply for a grant of the nature you're describing?

A As far as I understand, I've never served on the Grants Committee, full time faculty are eligible to -- I know -- Let me say this. I know my line is eligible to apply and I've been eligible to apply since I've been a full time lecturer. That much I know.

Q Okay. And, is it unusual for someone in your position,
full time what we've been calling review renewable to both do service outside of the committee structure and in the committee structure as you do?

MR. LEVINE: I'm going to object. I think she should testify to what she does. There should be a foundation.

HEARING OFFICER BERGER: If you know of other people who serve similarly to you outside the committee structure, you can answer the question. Overruled.

BY MS. MUNOZ:
Q Do you know of anyone who serves both in the committee structure and outside of the committee structure? Do you have any knowledge of that?

A You mean people who -- like the women seminar? I'm not -I'm not sure I understand the question.

Q Well, you testified that you serve on committees within the committee structure. And, you testified that you also serve outside of the committee structure, or do service outside the committee structure.

A For Barnard? Can you give me an example of something I said, that might be helpful.

Q Okay. So, you do the BCRW Advisory Board and you also have done FGP.

A The FGP is the committee structure.
Q Right.
A Everyone I know who is in my line serves -- Everyone I
know at least from my experience serves on some committee work. Outside the committee, I mean, most of the other things I've mentioned BCRW, I know many, many people on that advisory committee. So, yeah, there are many people who serve on both of them. People I know -- People see the things that I serve on, like BCRW or whatever and people I speak to.

HEARING OFFICER BERGER: Those people are in what classification?

THE WITNESS: People I'm thinking of in reference to the question were full time renewable and reviewed. BY MS. MUNOZ:

Q Do you know of anyone outside of full time reviewable and renewed who serve both committee structure and not committee structure??

A I know non-tenured people who do and tenured track people who do committee service and serve also on outside committee.

Q Do you know of any adjuncts who do?
A I don't know adjuncts who serve on the committee structure.

Q Outside the committee structure?
A If they don't, I'm just not aware of it. I don't know of any.

Q Speaking of adjuncts, in your role as director, do you oversee adjuncts?

A Oh, yeah. I have. Yes.

Q You have. In what capacity?
A When $I$ was overseeing studies in writing, a course for students struggling with writing, I would hire the instructors for that and supervise those folks when I was doing that. And, I hired -- I hired people who teach Essay Writing. I no longer oversee that course, but I did previously. I hired -- I hired people to teach Essay Writing and for all those folks, since I was the one hiring, most times $I$ would sit in on the classes at some point just to see what they look like. Much the way when I taught Essay Writing, someone sat in my class, the person who hired me. I hired -- That might be -- That might be all the people. And, I would recommend an instructor for the summer program for $H E O P$, which is not officially an adjunct that functions like an adjunct position. It's a part-time teaching for Barnard, but not for college credit. I would consult, not supervise.

Q When you say people, are you referring to adjuncts?
A Yes.
Q Okay. So, you're responsible for hiring adjuncts?
A Yes.
Q And, did anyone review your hiring decision?
A No.
Q What was the process you used to hire adjuncts?
A Much the same way I was hired as an adjunct. First I would consult with -- When I first got to Barnard, I would
consult with colleagues at NYU. If anyone knew of anyone looking. Then, when someone I worked with at NYU became the director of Columbia's writing program, I would ask her if she had anyone looking for teaching experience. One person I hired had sent in -- We get a lot of resumes. People send in lots of resumes. And, at one point when I needed one, I happened to look at a resume and it looked like somebody who might be good and I hired that person.

Then, I hired someone who was -- who worked on Women in Performance with me who I thought was an excellent writer and could be great in the classroom. That's most of the ways. And, I guess when I took over Studies in Writing, there was one adjunct who was teaching in the program, who I didn't hire initially, but year to year, I would rehire that person, I guess. But, I didn't do the initial hire.

Q When you say rehire, what do you mean?
A I put forward who's going to be teaching new jobs and still teaching the class default. If I didn't put those people's names in the slots that wouldn't happen.

Q Okay. So, do you review adjuncts or did you review adjuncts?

A In any kind of formal way?
Q Yes.
A No. If I was overseeing a program, the Chair might give me access to the teaching evaluations if that was -- if that
was significant.
Q I believe you said you would observe?
A Yes.
Q When did you observe?
A Usually, first semester.
Q If you know, is the hiring authority that you have typical
for someone in your position?
A When $I$ was hiring?
Q Yes.
A Yes.
Q So, all of the service to which you have testified was
that part of your seven-year review?
A That was included in my seven-year review, absolutely.
Q And, so you have -- At Barnard you have served as an
adjunct?
A Yes.
Q And, a lecturer?
A Yes.
Q And, a senior lecturer?
A Yes.
Q In your opinion, are the duties and responsibilities of these positions you have held, are they similar?

A No.
Q Why not?
A Because when $I$ was an adjunct, I would come to Barnard and
teach my class and I would do a very good job of teaching my class. I would meet with students when I was supposed to meet with students. I would grade those students and I would leave. And, that was the -- that was the scope of my commitment to Barnard. I held no expectation of coming back to teach Essay Writing in the fall, when $I$ came to teach it in the spring. Once I became full time, I both -- I did all that teaching stuff that $I$ just mentioned, but then $I$ did all the scope of other things I've spoken about. And, whenever I do anything from teaching to scholarship to service, I am always thinking about what's happening in that moment, but also thinking of the future and my future at Barnard and what a future project might be and how it will impact my visibility and my impact on the college.

MS. MUNOZ: I think that's a good place to end.
MR. LEVINE: Are you through with your direct?
MS. MUNOZ: I am through with my direct.
HEARING OFFICER BERGER: Okay. Let's go off the record.
(Whereupon, the hearing adjourned at 4:53 p.m.)
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