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## PROCEEDINGS

THE HEARING OFFICER: On the record. So when we opened the record today there was some additional discussion on the status of the production of the subpoenaed documents and there's some additional information regarding job descriptions. The petition has asked for any and all job descriptions promulgated or in effect at any time over the last five years, or any and all off letter titles and/or ranks. And the college has some information on that request. Please share that information here.

MS. STEPHEN: So there are no job description for those classifications that exist in the code. The colleges used the language in the code, the description Of the code for those classifications, and when we requested, that was what was provided to me.

THE HEARING OFFICER: And the code, are you referring to what is Employer's Exhibit 2, Code of Academic Freedom and Tenure?
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MS. STEPHEN: Yes.
THE HEARING OFFICER: And that
is already in evidence.
So, given that additional
information, I'd like to hear from the petitioner.

MR. LEVINE: As to job
descriptions, the petitioner withdraws its request for any job descriptions for those titles listed and described in Employer's Exhibit 2, the Code of Academic Freedom and Tenure, based on that representation.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. And so now we'll continue with the employer's presentation of its evidence.

MR. LEVY: Can we take one
minute?
THE HEARING OFFICER: Sure. We can go off record.
(Time noted: 10:10 a.m.)
(Discussion held off the
record.)
(Brief recess.)
(Time noted: 10:46 a.m.)
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THE HEARING OFFICER: So we had additional discussion of the request for jobs descriptions and what does actually appear in the Code of Academic Freedom. And after that discussion, apparently the parties are not prepared to stipulate that Employer Exhibit 2 contains the job description that petitioner is seeking, and so, the prior stipulation in that regard is withdrawn, is that correct, Employer?

MS. MUNOZ: That is correct.
MS. STEPHEN: Yes.
THE HEARING OFFICER: And
Petitioner?
MR. LEVINE: If it's withdrawn,
then any representations we made in response to it are also withdrawn.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So, we will now continue. I believe Ms. Denison, so the employer will be continuing with her testimony.

MS. MUNOZ: Yes.
THE HEARING OFFICER: So please
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come back up to the stand to testify. You're still under oath.

MS. MUNOZ: Should we actually
go back off the record for a moment?
THE HEARING OFFICER: Off the
record.
(Discussion held off the
record.)
THE HEARING OFFICER: On the
record.

P A T R I C I A D E N I S O N, having
first been duly affirmed, was examined and testified further as follows:

CONTINUING DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MS. MUNOZ:
Q. Good morning.
A. Good morning.
Q. If we could, we were going to
turn to the discussion of term faculty. There was several titles that were discussed, I believe, the terms assistant professor, associate professor, assistant -- term assistant professor of
DENISON - Direct
professional practice, term lecturer, and term professor. So that is a group that I'm going to be asking you about.
A. Okay.
Q. What are the work load expectations for these faculty member?
A. The work load expectations is that they would teach five courses per year.
Q. And are they expected to do any service work?
A. They would certainly go to departmental meetings. They are not voting members. So they would not come to faculty meetings when there are votes. They usually receive -- are eligible to apply for grants from the Grants Committee, because the expectation is that they would continue to be doing the research in their field.
Q. And why is that the expectation?
A. Because they are on a terminal -- the categories you mentioned, they are all on terminal contracts. So

DENISON - Direct
the expectation is that there would be a national search for those terms, and that would go to the budget and procedures committee. That's, remember, the one that handles all staffing in the college?

So that would be submitted to
the budget -- the committee there.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Could you just define what you mean by "terminal contract".

THE WITNESS: They cannot return to the college. It is a full-time position that has a clear end. Their first term would be for three years --

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
THE WITNESS: -- and then it is potentially renewable, but they have to -it has to be petitioned again to the same committee to see whether it would be renewed again for those final two years.

THE HEARING OFFICER: So what is the maximum term?

THE WITNESS: So the maximum would be five.

DENISON - Direct
THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
Thank you.
CONTINUING DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MS. MUNOZ:
Q. And do -- these faculty members, do they did any student advising?
A. They would do student advising, yes.
Q. And are there any -- you said that there would be, you just testified, eligible for grants to do research in their field. Is that an expectation -- is that a scholarship expectation of the college of them or is it independent of that?
A. The expectation is that they would continue on with their scholarship. They are not required to apply, but they certainly -- to apply for these grants, because the expectation is they will be leaving Barnard, they know they're in a terminal position, and so they are strengthening their position to be more eligible on the job market, because they
DENISON - Direct
know they cannot stay at Barnard.
Q. Who else is eligible for these grants?
A. Yeah. All of the renewed -- reviewed and renewable are not -- I'm not going to call them $R$ \& $R$-- the full-time reviewed and renewable faculty, all of those faculty are eligible for grants. There's a different category for them, though, because they are eligible for the same kinds of grants that the tenured and tenurable faculty are eligible for. Those are larger grants, and that is an indication that the college -- why is it that the college -- would why do they function that way? Because the expectation is that they are going to be renewed for -- first reviewed, then potentially renewed. So there is an expectation that they would stay on as long-time faculty.

The distinction is made, why don't they have -- the term ones have the
DENISON - Direct
same, let's say, generous policy? Because they know these faculty are going to be leaving.

$$
\text { Does that }-- \text { does that answer }
$$

that?
So, again, you know,
there -- the reviewed and renewable ones are in the same category as the tenured and tenurable. So we receive the -- the Grants Committee receives -- all of those applications come in and they are read by the faculty committee.
Q. Okay. And so the renewed and renewable, tenurable and tenured, there's a type of grants or --
A. It's a very, very clear distinction, yes.
Q. And there's a type of grant that the term can get that's different and distinct from that? It sounds like it's smaller --
A. It is smaller. I mean, the figures right now -- and I'm only speaking for right now -- the terms can receive for
DENISON - Direct
one year, they can receive $\$ 1,000$ to go toward it. The reviewed and renewable for one year can receive $\$ 4,000$. The tenured and tenurable for one year can receive $\$ 4,000$.
Q. And can adjuncts receive any grants of this nature?
A. No. Adjuncts are not -- cannot file for grants. They're part-time faculty, cannot file.
Q. Are there any distinctions in the teaching that a term faculty member would do that a reviewed and renewable would do or that an adjunct would do?
A. Could you ask that one again, please.
Q. Sure. Are there any distinctions, for example, in the type of courses, the level courses, that a term faculty member would be covering versus a reviewed and renewable or an adjunct?
A. Not that $I$ know of, because I think that would be in -- that would be very much in the terms -- that would be --
DENISON - Direct
again, $I$ don't do the hiring for these, so I would see, if I'm looking in the files, I would see those letters of appointment, but that is done in conjunction with the department chair and the provost. It's really the provost's decision there.
Q. So are the terms -- we've -- you testified yesterday that there's one campus, there aren't any online courses, that the adjuncts and the reviewed and renewable are teaching in the same classrooms. Is that the case also for the terms?
A. Okay. Say that again, because I'm still thinking back on those grants and I'm trying to remember whether those grants were to travel to conferences. There's -- there are two different categories. So I'm just trying to make sure that $I$ was clear on that. So --
Q. What are the two types of categories?
A. There are faculty conference travel grants, and that's the same kind of
DENISON - Direct
thing. It's all part of how do you enhance -- continue to enhance your professional career. And so those two ones, there are -- they are -- I would want to say they're a slightly separate process, but those are ones that the renewed and renewable can apply for those as well. So I'd have to look at an appointment letter to see whether that 1,000 is limited to -- whether that applies to both categories. That's the only thing I'm really not sure about.
Q. The 1,000 that the terms can receive?
A. Yeah.
Q. Which it applies to?
A. Yeah. I'm sort of assuming it can go either -- either way.
Q. And these travel grants, it's the reviewed and renewable. Who else can apply for those?
A. Only the full-time faculty, the tenured and the tenurable, the renewed (sic) and renewable. Reviewed and
DENISON - Direct
renewable.
Q. Alright.
A. And that's, in those, the categories.
Q. Okay. Do full-time term faculty, do they have their own offices, or do they share office space?
A. Again, within the constraints of the college, $I$ think if it is at all possible, they would have their own offices. It would depend on each department and how much space they have.
Q. And you testified yesterday that all faculty have e-mail, Barnard e-mail?
A. Yes.
Q. So the terms do as well?
A. Yes.
Q. And the terms, you talked -- you
testified yesterday about a grading norm across the college --
A. Yes.
Q. -- the terms apply to their
courses?
A. Yes. Yes, definitely.

DENISON - Direct
Q. And did the terms have autonomy as far as their teaching methods go?
A. I would describe it as the same thing that would apply for the tenured, tenurable, and anyone -- it will apply to all faculty. They need to submit their course to the Curriculum on Instruction. So that is the norm. All courses would be reviewed.
Q. And part of that review included a review of syllabi?
A. Yes.
Q. So let's turn to the review process for the terms. You've testified that the max is five years --
A. Yes.
Q. -- the initially using three and two?
A. Yes.
Q. Who reviews the term faculty?
A. That would be done by the department chair and in consultation with the provost.
Q. And could you describe their
DENISON - Direct
review process, please.
A. The review process is not --
it's not similar to the review -- to the renewable and reviewed ones. It's an entirely different process. They don't have to submit a full dossier. They would -- the chairs would be looking at their teaching evaluations. I assume they would look at their scholarship. They would look at, above all, sort of the needs of the department going forward. And if they decide that the needs of the department going forward are continuing and would continue for two years, then they would need to submit an application, a request to the Faculty Budgets and Procedures Committee to have that committee decide whether, considering all of the other requests that come into that committee, whether they were -- they are wanting to renew for two more years. So it's not an automatic renewal. There could be a terminal, a decision to not to -- it could be terminal three years.
DENISON - Direct
Q. And the classifications, the different classifications, assistant -term assistant professor, versus term associate professor, is there a promotional process, if the term is reappointed the last two years or --
A. (Nodding)
Q. You're shaking your head.
A. Not that $I$ know of. I assume that they are -- that title is given in terms of the -- originally, in terms of what their background is coming into it. And the majority of them, as I recollect, are assistant -- term assistant professors.
Q. That reminds me. We had talked about a bit yesterday -- you testified, I believe we read into the record, the number of the adjuncts in the different titles, term professor, adjunct assistant professor, adjunct associate, et cetera, and their titles. Do those titles reflect a promotion? What do the -- what is the significance of the differing titles among
DENISON - Direct
the adjuncts?
A. I wouldn't -- I would not
describe them as promotions. I would say, when they come in, they are -- that decision is made as to what their experience is coming into the position. And it's obviously also linked in, as I said before, to their degree.
Q. So the degree --
A. Well, you know, a lecturer would be a Ph.D., an associate would be in a field where you could get a Ph.D. but had not received a Ph.D.. A lecturer could be someone in a department where an MFA is considered the final degree, so -- such as dance. So you would have adjunct lecturers in dance, for example.
Q. And so do the titles reflect any differences in their job duties or their responsibilities?
A. (Nodding) No.
Q. You're shaking your head.
A. I know. I'm shaking my head
first. No. So it's not a promotion
DENISON - Direct
process.
Q. Okay. And is it possible for -or do you know if these titles, do they change? I believe you said -- step back.
A. Sure.
Q. What is the length of appointment for an adjunct?
A. What is the length of appointment for an adjunct?
Q. Yes.
A. It is a semester-by-semester.
Q. And is it possible for -- do adjunct change titles from semester to semester within the adjunct category?
A. Not that $I$ know of. I'm always thinking there could be an exception out there that $I$ don't know of, but usually it's the same if I'm signing off on the adjunct action forms, it is usually the same title in the spring as it was in the fall if the person were teaching in the fall. Or if the person had taught the previous year, it's usually the same title.
DENISON - Direct
Q. Okay.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Have you
had the experience where an adjunct associate obtained his or are her $\mathrm{Ph} . \mathrm{D}$. between semesters --

THE WITNESS: Yeah.
THE HEARING OFFICER: -- and
then was reappointed and sat as a lecturer.

THE WITNESS: Yeah.
MS. MUNOZ: Just to clarify, do you mean adjunct lecturer or a full-time lecturer?

THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes. Sorry. In the adjunct titles, have you encountered that situation?

THE WITNESS: If I have, I don't recall it, but $I$ would imagine, generally, if I would notice the change, I would say why would -- why would there be a change? And they would explain that the former associate had now received a Ph.D. And that would be getting back to the chair of the department, because it is the chair's

DENISON - Direct
responsibility to hire the adjuncts. So that would be something that $I$ would flag and I would call the chair and say, that's -- you may have noticed it was an adjunct associate in the fall, and now the adjunct has received a Ph.D., so we're now saying adjunct lecturer.

CONTINUING DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MS. MUNOZ:
Q. Actually, we'll get into that.

Why don't we talk about -- you were referring to the chair hiring adjuncts. Are there differences -- let's go this time all three groups. Reviewed and renewable, the term, the adjuncts, are there differences in their reporting structures to who these categories of faculty report?
A. The reviewed and renewable, they would report to their chair and the provost. So they would give, at the end of every year, the faculty personnel report on what they had done during the year, their updated CV. And that is sent

DENISON - Direct
simultaneously to the provost and to the chair. And it really is -- at that point, it is in the hands of the provost. So it is -- they're really finally reporting directly to the provost.
Q. Okay. And what about the term faculty?
A. And the term faculty, they would also fill -- my understanding is that they would also, because they are full-time, they would do the faculty personnel forms, and that would go to the provost and the chair simultaneously.
Q. And are they ultimately reporting to the chair or the provost?
A. They are ultimately reporting to the provost.
Q. Alright. And the adjuncts?
A. The adjuncts do not file that faculty personnel form. They are in a different category. They report directly to the chairs.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Can you explain what's the difference between
DENISON - Direct
adjuncts and the professor titles, assistant associate professor, and an adjunct lecturer. What are the differences -- are the differences between those titles?

THE WITNESS: Why is adjunct in front of it?

THE HEARING OFFICER: No. No.
Within the adjunct titles, what's the difference between an adjunct assistant professor, let's say, and an adjunct lecturer?
A. I think you would -- you would find that -- again, I'm going back to the chairs on this, and it usually would be -let's say someone had come in -- this is a hypothetical case -- someone had been teaching elsewhere, decided to move to New York, and had been an assistant professor or had had the experience of an assistant professor elsewhere. Then the chair would look at that and say this is someone who has had experience and it seems to me that the experience this person has had, I
DENISON - Direct
would put this person as adjunct assistant professor.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Is there a difference in duties and responsibilities or just in title?

THE WITNESS: That's a good question. It's just in title.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
Thanks.
MS. MUNOZ: Thank you.
CONTINUING DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. MUNOZ:
Q. If we could, let's -- I'd like to talk about Exhibit -- Employer Exhibit 4-A and 4-B, the committees, and the service on the committees. Of the faculty members, who can serve on faculty committees or standing appointive committees?

MR. LEVINE: If I may, that information is set forth in this exhibit. It might be more efficient to ask if there's things there that aren't true or effective or committees that aren't
DENISON - Direct
covered.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Is there additional information that you're seeking that's not in the document itself?

MS. MUNOZ: A couple of things. Yes. To answer your question in the short form, yes. To be more specific, to the extent that there are faculty members, tenured, nontenured, I think it's relevant to highlight which of these are the reviewed and renewable versus the ones who are tenurable who can serve on the committees, voting rights, as well as I believe there had initially been some conversation or discussion about what the committees do, and there is, I think, significance to which of the committees that reviewed and renewable are able to serve on that others are not and the input that they have. It goes to community of interest. Yes.

THE HEARING OFFICER: So I think that yesterday we covered, maybe not fully -- correct me if I'm wrong -- who
DENISON - Direct
is eligible for the committees, what it means when it says "tenured" and what it means when it says "nontenured".

I -- I was asking those questions, and looking at those exhibits, the voting rights, I don't think we covered.

So there's some that $I$ think we still need to go into, but the piece that I think we've already covered is who's eligible to serve on the committees.

MS. MUNOZ: There is certain -it's my understanding -- and the testimony will elicit this -- there are certain committee -- there's a distinction in the committees that $I$ think is relevant that I'd like to put on. Okay?

THE HEARING OFFICER: Sure. Go ahead.

MS. MUNOZ: Thank you.
CONTINUING DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. MUNOZ:
Q. Alright. So as the hearing officer indicated, we've discussed who's

DENISON - Direct
eligible to serve on committees. If you would just briefly, the groups of faculty
that can serve on committees, very
briefly. You don't have to go in depth.
A. Alright. Very briefly, to serve on committees, you can be tenured, tenurable, or renewed -- reviewed and renewable.
Q. And of the committees, either the faculty committee or the standing appointive committees -- and this goes to voting -- how do individuals get placed on these committees?
A. The elected committees are elected by the full-time faculty.
Q. And that's all the same faculty?
A. Of the group I just gave you, yes.
Q. And are there --
A. Well, not the -- the terms are not -- are not voting members. So I didn't include those.
Q. Okay. So not the terms; they're not voting members?
DENISON - Direct
A. No.
Q. And so who are you voting
members?
A. Tenured, tenurable, and renewed and reviewable.
Q. And do you know, of these committees, are there any in which -- I guess my question is, the composition of the voting members, the members on these committees, are they heavily tenured, are they -- do they include equal members of the reviewed and renewable and the nontenurable? Can that vary? Like how does the voting makeup on the committees work?

THE HEARING OFFICER: Could we just clarify which committees we're talking about here? MS. MUNOZ: That's what I wanted to do and I was told that information is already in. So I wanted to walk through that.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Well,
there are the standing appointive and then
DENISON - Direct
there are faculty committees and you referred to them as elected committees? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE HEARING OFFICER: And I'm not sure the record is clear which are elected and what we're talking about.
(Overtalking)
MS. MUNOZ: Which are elected committees?

THE WITNESS: The elected ones are the -- I'm going to use the acronyms, and it's on the right-hand side the ATP, FAPC, FA --
(Overtalking)
THE HEARING OFFICER: So
actually, if we could just say the exhibit that we're referring to.

THE WITNESS: Okay.
THE HEARING OFFICER: And
identify and then you won't even have to read the titles.

THE WITNESS: Good.
THE HEARING OFFICER: So we're looking at Employer Exhibit 4-A and so the
DENISON - Direct
question is, does Employer Exhibit 4-A list the committees that are the elected committees?

THE WITNESS: It does.
Q. And does Exhibit 4-B list the standing appointive committees?
A. Yes.
Q. And what is the distinction
between elected versus the standing appointive committees?
A. The elected ones are, to repeat myself, tenured, tenurable, renewed and reviewable (sic). Those are the voting members of those -- for the elected committees. Those are the ones who can serve on those committees. There are just two exceptions, and two of those committees are the Advisory Committee on Appointments, Tenure, and Promotion and the one directly underneath. That's the Faculty, Budget, and Planning Committee. Those are limited to tenured members. That's the only -- those are the only two committees that are solely tenured
DENISON - Direct
members.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
Okay. And of the elected committees in Exhibit 4-A, does the distribution of voting makeup, the -- by that, I mean tenured, tenure track, reviewed/renewable, does that vary? Is that $--I$ mean, how does that -- how is the voting makeup determined?
A. I mean, if you look at the description of each of those committees, it will -- it will describe the composition on the committees, if that is -- if that's answering -- if $I$ understand the point of your question. So, some will say, we're going to have in one division, we're going to have tenured and then nontenurable, tenured and nontenurable in division 2 , tenured and nontenurable in division 3, tenure and nontenurable in division 4.
Q. Okay.
A. So the tenured -- you know what
the tenured ones are. The nontenured are

DENISON - Direct
including both the -- those who are on the what we call the tenure tract and those who are reviewed and renewable.
Q. So those are the --
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.
A. Yes. Those are clearly the --
Q. Okay. And what about -- the standing appointive committees, generally, what -- this is, again, Employer Exhibit 4-A --
A. Or 4-B.
Q. Thank you, 4-B.

The standing appointive
committees, just briefly, what is, again, besides the distinction of elected versus appointed, is there a material distinction between what these committees are responsible for versus what the elected committees are responsible for?
A. I would say the elected committees are the most important committees in the college.
Q. And why is that?
DENISON - Direct
A. Well, one deals with tenure and promotion. The other deals with all college staffing, line issue. The other deals with governance and procedures. The other deals with -- we referred before on the Committee On Instruction, all curriculum that is going to be reviewed and then put to the faculty. The faculty representative to the university senate, that's very important because they go from Barnard and they are at the Columbia meetings, they report back to the Barnard faculty. Same thing, representative of the Board of Trustees, they would go to the trustees meetings and would report back to the faculty on that. Faculty finance and resource, those are the ones who go to the Board of Trustees annually to advocate on behalf of the faculty for benefits and compensation. And that's very important. The grants committees I referred to earlier, they are the ones that where we review, we read, you know, pages and pages and pages of applications
DENISON - Direct
for grants, grant and review, we review those to see whether we find this is a strong proposal for a grant. And then the Off-ladder faculty can -- advisory committee is the ones that is -- that would speak with the provost about Off-ladder issues.
Q. Okay.
A. So that would be limited. That would be limited, obviously -- the voting for that would only be off-ladder faculty. Does that make sense?
Q. It does. It does.
A. Okay.
Q. And the standing appointive committees, without -- you don't have to go through -- there are many more of them --
A. Yes.
Q. -- but generally, what are the appointive committees addressing? What types of -- if you could provide a summary, that would be great.
A. It's a -- it's a very, very
DENISON - Direct
broad category. There are about 28 of those. And they are -- they are chaired by -- by -- if you look at those, they're chaired by different people as well. Some would deal with emergency management more, some would deal with faculty diversity and development, some would deal with committee on honors. There's a wide, wide range of an honor board, an athletics -Columbia faculty athletics committee. I mean, they're all -- they are-- there, it's of -- I think probably -- or the senior commencement committee, sustainable practices. It's -- it's just a -- it's a very, very diverse group of committees.
Q. And how do faculty members get appointed to these committees?
A. Faculty members -- I mean, underneath each of these, there would say what's the membership eligibility. So it's very clear who is eligible. And it's a different category for each of these standing committees. These are the ones that go to the faculty governance and
DENISON - Direct
procedures. That's the -- one of those elected committees. And the assumption is that all full-time faculty of the kind we had -- the category that $I$ had given you earlier, the expectation is that they would have service on committees.

So what will happen very often is that they will say, alright, this is someone, for example, who put himself or herself forward for an elected committee, was not elected, or perhaps was elected to one, and then a preference form goes out to all of those full-time faculty, and they will mark $1,2,3$, these are the committees that $I$ would be interested in serving on, of those 28 , if you're eligible for serving on them. And then the governance committee looks at -- it's very -- you know, they get spreadsheets on, you know, who these people are, and then they try their best to match them to committees that they would like to be on. Alright?

And those opening -- it will
DENISON - Direct
change every year to year, because they're rotating terms, and so it depends who was rotating off and is there a vacancy on the committee for that year.
Q. And before $I$ go to voting, just to clarify, neither adjunct nor terms serve on any of these committees?
A. That is right. I can think only of one instance on one of these committees where there is a term professor who is on the committee because of his responsibility, but he is an additional member of the committee. So, in other words, they filled all of the -- all of the positions with people, of those full-time categories, and they said, because of his history in that particular area, it would be useful to have him on the committee.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Do you
know which committee that is?
THE WITNESS: Okay. It will take me a moment to go through all 28 of them.

DENISON - Direct
THE HEARING OFFICER: It's
alright if you don't know. That's fine.
THE WITNESS: That's right. I
could probably find it if $I$ had time, but if you'd like to move on...

THE HEARING OFFICER: That's
okay.
THE WITNESS: I could put that
in --
THE HEARING OFFICER: Go on.
Q. Alright. So you had testified
that --
A. Yeah.
Q. $\quad--\quad$ renewed/renewable (sic),
tenurable and tenured who were voting
members of the faculty? And do the
committees reflect all of the issues upon which they can vote or what -- what -what is the universe of issues that these faculty members can vote on?

MR. LEVINE: I'm sorry, I don't understand that question.

THE WITNESS: Thank you for
saying that. I was struggling as well,
DENISON - Direct
because I think I found the answer to your question.
Q. You want to answer that first?
A. Yes.
Q. And then we'll go back.
A. I see it would be the Library and Academic Information Services.

THE HEARING OFFICER: So that is a standing appointive committee on which a termed professor --

THE WITNESS: I see one term professor on that.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you.

THE WITNESS: And -- yeah.
THE HEARING OFFICER: So you --
Q. My question is --

THE HEARING OFFICER: Rephrase your question.
Q. -- on what types of issues may faculty vote?
A. Well, are you talking about a faculty on a particular committee, all committees, including elected and standing
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appointive?
MS. MUNOZ: I'm trying to find
that out. Look, I want her to testify:
Q. Is there anything
beyond -- that's why $I$ was trying to
narrow the question initially. Was it limited to what we already see in Exhibits 4-A and 4-B, these types of issues, are there other issues?

THE HEARING OFFICER: Why don't we take --
A. I think I understand the point. I think it's pretty clear who votes, who votes for the -- for $4-A$, and $I$ think it's clear how the appointive committees are -- how they are filled, but you're asking for, are there other issues that would go to the faculty in addition to these faculty elections? And an example I would think of would be from this spring, when there -- we had just finished nearly a two-year review of the academic curriculum, and there was a vote on the academic curriculum, and we went back to
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who were the voting members who would vote for that, and it went back to the college statutes, and the voting members were the tenured, the tenurable, and the reviewed and renewable. And, as I remember, there was roughly about 80 percent of those -that entire group voted in that election. MS. MUNOZ: If I can, I want to mark as Employer's Exhibit 5...
Q. Here's a copy, if you want to take a look at it. Do you recognize that document? And if so, how?
A. Yeah. That's procedures for the faculty vote on the new curriculum, and that's when $I$ was referring to the academic curriculum review.
Q. Okay. So...
A. So that gives the procedures for the vote, the procedures for voting -interesting. Those eligible to vote, full, associate, and assistant professors, full, associate, and assistant professors of professional practice, senior lecturer, lecturers, senior associates, associate,
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and then in this kind of election, the president, provost in the college and dean Of studies can vote on that, but that is because it is narrowly focused on changes in the curriculum at the college. And then they had in abstentia voting and procedures for voting when, if you had submitted an in abstentia vote, then you would come into the faculty meeting and then you would vote and then it describes the four divisions, where you would cast your vote.
Q. Okay. Okay.

MS. MUNOZ: If I may, I'd like to move to put that into evidence.

MR. LEVINE: No objection.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay,
employer 5 is received.
(Employer's Exhibit 5, marked into evidence, this date.)
Q. And then you mentioned faculty meetings. I'd like to show you Employer Exhibit -- or what's been marked as Employer Exhibit 3. Tell us what that
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document -- do you recognize it? And, if so, what is that document?
A. That's on the provost and dean of the faculty on the provost website and it's talking about when faculty meetings are held that all full-time faculty -- again, the categories we've talked about -- are expected to attend faculty meetings. In fact, it says, "You are..." -- "... should not schedule your classes or office hours to conflict with the faculty meetings." So the expectation for those full-time ones that we've just been talking about, you are expected to be at the faculty meetings.
Q. And so would -- so -- is it an expectation of those full-time groups that they attend faculty meetings?
A. Yeah. Three groups that we're talking about.
Q. Okay. Does that document, to the best your knowledge, accurately represent the faculty meeting process?
A. I would think so. And I think,
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if you get, for example, down to voting, it says "only members of the Faculty have the right to vote." And I see that capital $F$ on faculty, the assumption there is that those are the -- all full-time faculty that we had talked about before.
Q. And are these the full faculty of the college, or are these department faculty, or is there a distinction between the type of meetings, or those refers to all type of possible faculty meetings?
A. Whether can be special meetings that are held at the call of the president, or it even says that five members of the full-time faculty could call a special meeting.
Q. Alright.
A. But these are the regularly scheduled meetings that all of the full-time faculty are expected to attend, and not to be -- you know, ideally, not to be teaching. That they asked not to schedule any classes, because the expectation -- that is part of the
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full-time faculty's responsibility.
MS. MUNOZ: Okay. If I may, I'd
like to move to enter that.
A. And the agendas are set in that faculty governance procedures, and we've already discussed that committee. Minutes are taken, yes. It looks pretty accurate to me.

MS. MUNOZ: I'd like to move to enter that into evidence. That's, I believe, 3.

MR. LEVINE: I'll have a couple of questions.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Sure.
VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
BY MR. LEVINE:
Q. When did you first see this document?
A. When did I first see this
document?
Q. When's the first time you've seen this document?
A. Well, it's on the provost web page. So $I$ would have seen it on the
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provost web page. Are you asking whether this was actually handed to me before --
Q. I'm asking simply when the first time you've seen this document. You're reviewing it now. I appreciate you're saying that. It's your testimony the information in it's correct? I'm wondering when you first saw this document.
A. It is on the provost web page. So I would have seen it working in the Office of the provost, I would have paid particular attention to it because -- as associate provost, but $I$ would have also, as a senior lecturer, have seen, if $I$ had any questions, I would have looked on the provost web page. Is that making sense?
Q. So, is the answer you're not sure when specifically? You're telling me how or where. But --
A. I still -- I don't understand the point of your question. This -faculty meetings have been posted regularly on the provost web page. So,
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before $I$ became associate provost, if I were looking and saying, you know, I've got to -- I want to write in my Google calendar when all the faculty meetings are so that I'm making sure, as a senior lecturer, $I$ don't miss them, I would do that.
Q. So you would normally look at this document at the beginning of the academic year?
A. Usually, in the summertime, beforehand, because $I$ would just, you know, try to plot out what committees am I on, when are the faculty meetings, because then I would be sure that I'm not going out of town. You know, you will just plot your semester by semester, because that's my obligation.
Q. You had said if you had questions as a faculty member, you would look on the website. Is this information actually sent to faculty members, or do they have to look for it on the provost website?
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A. It is sent to faculty, I don't know the answer to that question. I know, if you are a full-time faculty, the expectation is that you would -- you know, this is posted and that's your source of for.

MR. LEVINE: I'll maybe come
back to this on cross-examination. I have -- I have no objection.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Employer 3 is received.
(Employer's Exhibit 3 marked into evidence this date.)

THE HEARING OFFICER: Can I just ask a clarifying question?

THE WITNESS: Sure.
THE HEARING OFFICER: You made a distinction in this Employer Exhibit 3 --

THE WITNESS: Yeah.
THE HEARING OFFICER: -- with regard to capital $F$ faculty. If you look in the first paragraph, it refers to "all full-time faculty "--

THE WITNESS: Yeah.

```
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    THE HEARING OFFICER: -- lower
    case F. So who is that referring to,
    lower case F, "all full-time faculty"?
    THE WITNESS: Those are the
    three categories we were talking about:
    Tenured, tenurable, and renewed
    and -- reviewed and renewable.
    THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
    THE WITNESS: And I think-- I
    mean, I'm reading that as saying that. So
    when you have the full-time -- the capital
    F down under "voting", it's referencing
    back to the all full-time faculty members,
    yeah.
            THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
        CONTINUING DIRECT EXAMINATION
        BY MS. MUNOZ:
            Q. Do you know whether or not this
        information is communicated in any other
        way to faculty members? And by "this
        information", I mean about the -- about a
        faculty meeting.
            A. There could be e-mails that go
        out, reminders to the faculty that are
```
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sent, and $I$ think there are reminders to the faculty that are sent. I don't send them out. But $I$ think there could be a reminder -- for example, in the middle of September, there's usually a welcome reception for all new members of the faculty, and usually there's an e-mail that goes out saying, you know, welcome, we invite you to attend to this reception where new members of the faculty will be introduced.

And then there could be, in that e-mail, or subsequently -- and these are the regular faculty meetings that will begin in October, and they are listed -I -- you know, I'd have to see that e-mail to see how it might be described. MR. LEVINE: If I may, there's been -- I have an objection. There's been a lot of testimony about "I assume", "I believe", "I imagine", but here we're even going further with "it could be", and I don't really think testimony concerning about what there could be is relevant to
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this hearing.
MS. MUNOZ: I was actually going to say that we can do this through another witness.

THE HEARING OFFICER: I -- you know, I appreciate the objection. I mean, the reader of the record will certainly make note of that and give the testimony the weight it deserves, as well as any evidence presented --

THE WITNESS: Yeah.
THE HEARING OFFICER: -- so your objection is noted, but, you know, I -this witness can continue to testify about the extent of her knowledge, be that what it is.

THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean, I think -- if $I$ could pull up my e-mails right now, $I$ could answer it for sure, that this went out, but we don't have access here.

MS. MUNOZ: Thank you.
Q. Are there any other
qualifications to search on any of the
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committees that we discussed or -- I'm just going to bring it back to the committees, service on committees. You testified to three different categories of faculty that can serve on the committee, be elected to the committees. Are there any other qualifications to be on those committees?
A. I think it's stated in terms of membership eligibility.
Q. Okay. And taking it down, let's take it -- turn it to the department level. Who can become department chairs?
A. Department chairs, certainly the tenured faculty could become department chairs. I would say that probably the majority of the chairs are tenured faculty. The majority of them most likely would be professors. There is no prohibition for an associate professor to be department chair, and just as there is no prohibition for a renewed (sic) and renewable faculty member to be a department chair.
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And so all three categories, you would say, have been, and are, department chairs.
Q. Do you know of any reviewed and renewable who are currently serving as department chairs?
A. I do know that in architecture, Karen Fairbanks, who is a professor of professional practice, is chair of that department.
Q. And is that a - are department -- could -- department chairs, is that a permanent position? Does that rotate? How does that work?
A. It is a rotating position and it is voted on by the faculty within that particular department.

THE HEARING OFFICER: And which faculty --

THE WITNESS: All full-time faculty vote on the chairs.
Q. Does that include the term full-time or...
A. I don't believe it includes the
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term.
Q. Alright. Now, to the best of your knowledge -- and acknowledging that the college is looking through appointment letters on this question -- to the best of your knowledge, how often have part-time faculty become full-time faculty?
A. To the best of my knowledge, part-time faculty have become full-time faculty if they submitted application on a national search.
Q. So do you know if an individual has -- if an adjunct -- if an individual served as an adjunct and participates in a national search, are they given preference based on the fact that they were an adjunct?
A. No. Their application would be read, just like any other application. If there are stronger applications elsewhere, then it would go to the strongest. It's like any national search. No, they are not given preference.
Q. And back up just briefly on the
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department chairs. Are there any departments in which there are co-chairs? Do you know?
A. Yes. I think there are departments in which there are co-chairs.
Q. And do you know which
departments?
A. I don't, because I'd have to go online. Those are listed very clearly online on the provost web page.
Q. And are full-time reviewed and renewable able to serve as co-chairs?
A. Yes, they are.
Q. And we have hit on this at various points, related to other questions, but you testified that adjuncts are appointed semester to semester.
A. Yes.
Q. The length of employment -- I think we've covered this. Let me just...
(Counsel confer.)
Q. Let's focus on the method of payment for these three types. And by "method of payment", I mean, are -- we're

```
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talking of a salaried -- I believe you
testified that adjuncts are paid per
course?
A. Yes.
Q. Are terms paid per course?
A. Full-time terms are paid by
salary.
Q. By salary. Okay. And are reviewed and renewable paid by course?
A. No. Renewed and reviewable are paid by salary.
Q. By salary. And are adjunct appointments-- I believe it was your testimony that if there are an insufficient number of courses enrolled, the course may be cancelled?
A. Yes.
Q. And does that happen for term faculty?
A. No.
Q. Does that happen for reviewed and renewable faculty?
A. No.
Q. Do you know, what is the
```
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teaching load expectation for an adjunct?
A. The norm is that an adjunct would feature one or two courses per semester, and I'm going by per semester. I -- so that would be a total, a maximum Of four during the year. If there were need in a department -- and $I$ have not seen this myself -- if there were a need in a department that they wanted to advocate that a faculty member would teach one course in the fall and then conceivably three courses in the spring, so the total four for the year, I could imagine that exception, but it is the maximum of four per year is my understanding for an adjunct.
Q. And do you have any knowledge of course rates or average minimum salaries for these faculty members?
A. It's -- the range -- it could depend -- the lowest range would be, for example, for an adjunct, it would be teaching a one-point course. A normal course is three or four points. So if
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you're teaching a one-point course, then you could be, let's say in the couple thousand -- you know, say about 2,000 or so. The norm would be four and a half thousand to six thousand would be the norm. If you have come in with a great deal of experience from elsewhere, let's say you're an adjunct associate professor, then your salary would be higher, but I think the max there would go, at the most, I would think, up to a ten or eleven, but the number of people who are in would be -- it's a very, very small -- very small percent term. So if you're trying to get the average, I would think it would be probably pretty close to six.

THE HEARING OFFICER: When you say "point course", is that what is otherwise known as credit hours?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
THE WITNESS: Credit hours.
A. So that's the distinction I would make. If there's a credit hour
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course that's credit bearing only for -- you're not -- if you're an adjunct, your responsibilities, your time in the classroom and everything, that would -that would differ.

So the -- the compensation you would receive would differ. But majority Of classes are three-point and four-point credit classes.
Q. And do you have any knowledge of the average, or the minimum/maximum salaries, for either the full-time term or the full-time reviewed and renewable?
A. Well, I don't -- as an associate provost, I don't sign off on those letters. I would think that the --
Q. So you don't know?
A. I think the simple answer would be, say, that $I$ don't know. I have some averages in my mind, but $I$ don't know for sure, because that's not my responsibility.
Q. Fair enough. Do you know, Of the reviewed and renewable, the term and
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the adjunct faculty who are eligible for benefits?
A. Yes.
Q. And who are -- who of these groups is eligible for benefits?
A. The reviewed and renewable and
the term professors would have benefits, because both categories are full-time.
Q. And what -- and do you know what those benefits are?
A. They are the same benefits that the tenured and tenurable faculty have.
Q. Which are?
A. Which are? God!
Q. Okay, if you --
A. I have to pull up --
Q. You shook your head.
A. $\quad$ - pay stub to look at it.
Q. Okay. Fair enough.

Do you know if any of these
faculty members are eligible for
professional development funds for leaves?
A. For professional development
leaves, the senior lecturers and the
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senior associates. So if you had been promoted at -- the lecturers and the associates are not eligible. But if you go through that process, where you sulbmit your dossier and you were reviewed and your title will change to senior, at that point you are eligible for professional development leave, that is, one semester at full pay, at every -- I believe it's every seven years.
Q. And are -- and I didn't -- I don't think I caught -- are terms eligible for either professional development funds or leave?
A. The terms are not eligible for professional development leaves, because they are terminal appointments. So the commitment is, if you are reviewed and renewed, then you are a part of the ongoing full-time faculty.
Q. Okay.
A. So they will support your continued scholarship and pedagogy.
Q. And the adjuncts are not

## DENISON - Direct

eligible for professional development leave and --
A. Yeah, adjuncts are not eligible for professional development leave.

MS. MUNOZ: If I could, I'm going to show her what has been marked -was marked yesterday as Employer Exhibit 7 .
Q. Do you recognize that document?
A. I know this document exists.

This is the first time I've seen this document. I know it is -- I know it has the college statutes.
Q. Okay. And how do you know it exists?
A. I know it exists because when we were talking about the election for the academic curriculum review, I know that they went -- this was the governing legal document as to who would vote in that election.
Q. The curriculum committee that we were just talking about?
A. Yes, exactly. The one that
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was -- the vote that occurred on May 4th of 2015 .
Q. The governing document?
A. Yeah. So the procedures for voting, those eligible to vote, that came from this statute.
Q. Okay. And do you have any further knowledge of that document?
A. My understanding is that this is sort of the -- this is the key legal document, because it is -- this comes from the Board of Trustees, and the Board of Trustees, as we said before in the governing structure, let's say the provost would report to the president, the president reports to the Board of Trustees. So the Board of Trustees would -- this would be the document -Q. Okay.
A. -- for the -- and there's a reference to the -- that intercorporate agreement, that that's -- that is a separate issue that Jomysha had referenced earlier. But this is the key.
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I mean, you know, as I recall, I mean, the documents we had talked about were -- was this statute. Then there was the academic code, and then there was the faculty guide, which is not a binding document, because it says, in fact, on the first page of the faculty guide, and there's a statement that this is not a binding legal document.
Q. You're talking about the faculty guide?
A. Yes, that's right. So it references back to the code, and then the code would reference back to the statutes. That's my --
Q. The code refers back to the --
A. I would assume that the code -I can't imagine $--I \quad--I$ mean, this -this is -- you know -- I will just -- I will not comment on that, because, you know, that's at the -- again, at the provost level, but my understanding is that this (indicating) certainly is the key document for voting rights.
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MR. LEVINE: I have --
MS. MUNOZ: I will move to enter
it now, but to extent I need another
witness to give foundation, $I$ can do that as well, so...

MR. LEVINE: I do have voir
dire.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes.
VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
BY MR. LEVINE:
Q. Do you know if this document is available on the website?
A. I don't know that.
Q. And this document is dated January 1st, 1975 --
A. That's right.
Q. Do you know whether there have been any subsequent changes?
A. I don't know that there have been any subsequent changes, or I would assume that, if there had been changes, there would be a different -- we would have a more recent document.

MS. MUNOZ: But you don't know?
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THE WITNESS: But I don't know.
Q. I'm just concerned, because
there are things in here that the testimony that's been put into evidence suggest have changed. So let me, for example, calling your attention to 3.02, Powers and Duties, and where it says, "The Faculty subject to the reserve power of control...", is it your understanding that "Faculty" there would refer to the same group you previously described as faculty, tenured, tenurable, and what you're referring to as the reviewed and renewable?
A. I would assume so, because it has that capital $F$, yes.
Q. Okay. And then if you turn to the next page, little f., it says, "The faculty have the power to appoint all scholars or make provisions for their appointment." Is it your understanding that the term "scholars" there refers to making faculty appointments?
A. I don't see where --
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Q. Little f. on page 20, which is if you turn the page from the page we were just on --
A. I would assume the way I would read this --

MS. MUNOZ: I'm going to --
THE WITNESS: Yeah.
MS. MUNOZ: -- object. She's
testified that she -- I mean, my -- union counsel had an objection about saying "assumed", you said "fine".

THE WITNESS: No.
MS. MUNOZ: Do you know? Do you have any knowledge?

THE WITNESS: DO I have any
knowledge? This is --
MS. MUNOZ: How are faculty
appointed today?
THE WITNESS: I mean, faculty
are appointed today full -- full-time
faculty are appointed by the same process we talked about in terms of national searches.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Could we
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go off the record for a second, please? THE WITNESS: Yeah. (Discussion held off the record.)

THE HEARING OFFICER: On the record.

CONTINUING DIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MS. MUNOZ:
Q. We are still focusing on the reviewed and renewable -- or the renewed and reviewable -- the terms and the adjuncts, and I'd like to discuss how -there has been a lot of back and forth discussion, but I'd like to discuss how each of these types of faculty are hired. If you would, start with the reviewed and renewable. Who is responsible for hiring the reviewed and renewable?
A. The renewed and reviewable are hired in a national search.
Q. Okay.
A. Or for a full-time position.
Q. And what is the process of the national search?
DENISON - Direct
A. The process would be that the chair of the department would make the request of the Faculty Budget and Planning Committee, saying that there's a need in their department for this kind of a position. If the Faculty Budget and Planning Committee meets that request in the context of all other requests coming in from all other departments and they sent that, then they would receive a notification from that committee that they are now -- could begin the search process. The search process would begin with putting together a committee, search committee, and the provost would see -would read who is on the search committee, and once that is approved -- and this is the procedure that would go through that -- the faculty search forum -- that they would say what are the qualifications for that, what are the -- what is the area they are looking for, where would the job be posted, such as in the Chronicle of Higher Education. So it would -- they
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would fill in that form. That would then be posted, and the posting would now, again, because we're trying to regularize those, those postings would certainly be sent out through the provost office and they -- human resources is now trying to get those postings where -- in the provost Office, and there's also something in human resources where there's something called people admin, where they can process it online. But basically, it is a national search and it's very clearly stipulated as to kind of position, you know, they're looking for and where it will be advertised.
Q. So you said "trying to"...
A. Well, again, this is in process, trying to make it even more efficient.
Q. Okay. And to the extent that
there is any type of posting, what -- you said that -- it clearly states -- you testified what kind of position, there's a decision about where it would be advertised --
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A. Yeah. And, you know
what -- what would be the fields -- you know, what are we looking for, what kind of experience are we looking for in the position?
Q. And does it list qualifications?
A. To list the qualifications, it would list the recommended experience.
Q. And does it list any other requirements for hire?
A. It's usually very explicit in terms of what would the duties and responsibilities be, and then that would all be in the search forum.
Q. What about for -- before we move on -- about the term faculty?
A. Again the term faculty, it would be the same procedure. That would need to go to the Faculty Budget and Planning Committee because it is a full-time position, and the chair of the department would submit the request to the Faculty Budget and Procedures Committee, if they decide this is in the needs of the
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department and the college, and then it may or may not be approved. If it's approved, then it goes through that same procedure where there would be a national search.

I think the only exception,
which $I$ had mentioned before, is that the provost, at her discretion, can do a one-year term, but, after that, it would need to go -- it would go into the full-time search for a three-year term, with the possibility of renewal for two more years, if it is approved by the faculty Budget and Planning Committee, and, at that point, the five years would be up and that would be the end of that appointment.
Q. And the hiring process for adjuncts?
A. The hiring process for adjuncts is completely different. That is at the discretion of the chair of the department. The chair of the department, because the full-time faculty that we have
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referenced earlier -- if you want to call them the core faculty -- you had mentioned, for example, that renewed and renewable can have professional development leaves. The tenured and tenurable faculty also have leaves. So every chair, every year, will look to see, of their full-time faculty, how many might be on leave, and at that point would say, it seems to me that we may have a need for -- make up, you know, whatever the numbers, let's say for adjunct positions for this coming year. So they would put that request into the budget and the budget -- they would put the rationale in the budget, because we would need these particular courses taught, we would like to have a line in the budget for adjuncts, and assuming that the budget is approved by the provost, then the chair would be said -- would be told, alright, you can hire an adjunct for two courses per semester, one course per semester, and it's on a -- it's on the need -- the
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individual need of the department, and it really is the chair making the decision as to what is the need within the department, because full-time faculty may be on leave, or there's a -- you know, for whatever reason, you know, medical leave, professional development, that if they are not there and these are courses that they want to have taught.
Q. Now...
(Counsel confer.)
Q. Are there any other reasons why adjuncts would be hired? We talked about leaves.
A. I mean, if there are -- if the number of full-time faculty in the department, if they are -- cannot -- if there are more courses that the department would like to offer and they feel that these are courses that they would like to have on the books for students to enroll, then they would make that argument in the budget to the provost. But it's the chairs really saying these are courses
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that we would like to have taught.
Q. And is there a search process for an adjunct?
A. There is not -- there is not a full-time -- there's not a search, there is not -- certainly, there's no national search, and, having chaired the department myself, I just remember, I'd look at the needs of the department, I'd look at what courses, and then $I$ would speak to people in a particular field, I would ask for -- you know, recommend -- I would ask for resumes to come in, I would speak to the people, you know, who had submitted resumes, $I$ would interview them, and if it seemed to me that they would have the appropriate background, then that's how an adjunct would be hired.
Q. Okay. And upon hire, are there -- are there any required training for any of these groups of faculty?
A. So if we're going to back to the full-time faculty --
Q. Okay.
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A. $\quad-\quad$ so can $I$ just say "full-time" and we understand that that's the core group?

The full-time faculty, the -- I
had referenced the Dean for Faculty
Diversity and Development. She is
responsible for holding sessions for all full-time faculty who are coming in, and those were usually held at the end of August, before they begin teaching, and so if -- if you want to call that -- and they are -- they are required to come to these meetings. I would say that if you are talking about the adjuncts, they would come to the department chair and department chair would speak to them about their responsibilities.
Q. Okay.
A. But they're --

MR. LEVINE: I'm sorry, I
thought you were done with that
statement. I don't want to cut you off.
MS. MUNOZ: Are you finished?
Or is there more to say?
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THE WITNESS: I think I will --
I don't believe you cut me off. I think that was the end of that. I think there was a period at the end of that one.

MR. LEVINE: I would just ask, again, $I$ think actually it's going to be difficult for everybody reading the record if we start using terms in different ways. So --

THE WITNESS: Which term?
MR. LEVINE: I would ask that witness not use the term "full-time faculty" if she's referring to what she's thus far referred to as the reviewed and renewable. You know, she can call them $R \& R$ if it's easier. I don't care. But if people are going through the record and it's used in one part of the record in one way and another part another way, I think it's going to be difficult another way,.

THE WITNESS: So do you want me to say tenured, tenurable, and renewed and reviewable? I will do that.

THE HEARING OFFICER: We do need
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to make clear where the full-term people are included and not, because there are full-time termed -- the full-time term faculty are carved out of certain things that the reviewed and renewable and the tenured and tenurable are a part of. SO I appreciate that. It's somewhat cumbersome to list --

THE WITNESS: I appreciate --
THE HEARING OFFICER: -- these
various categories each time you're talking about full-time faculty, but it is critical that the reader of the record understand what we are talking about, so I will ask you to --

THE WITNESS: So take me back to the point where you were confused, and I will rephrase that sentence. CONTINUING DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. MUNOZ:
Q. You were talking about the training before teaching and you said full-time faculty. I believe you also testified that they were the core group.
DENISON - Direct

Tell me what you were referring to, to clarify.
A. That is the question asked as to what kind of training they would receive? Renewed and renewable would all go to those meetings if they are new faculty by the dean. Then, the terms who are in a different category, those would also be invited to those meetings with the Dean for Faculty Development and Diversity.
Q. Are they required to attend?
A. They are requested to attend, yes.
Q. And are the adjuncts requested to attend?
A. No. Adjuncts are not requested to attend.
Q. And is there any other training upon hire that the -- that the terms or the reviewed and renewable are required to attend upon hire?
A. No.

MS. MUNOZ: That's all I have.
THE HEARING OFFICER: I have a

DENISON - Direct
few questions I want to ask.
THE WITNESS: Sure.
THE HEARING OFFICER: In your
experience as a department chair, how did you go about soliciting adjunct resumes?

THE WITNESS: Yes. Usually, I
would go -- I would go back -- I would go to the full-time faculty in the department and I would say that we have need here for these particular courses to be built, can you recommend me people in that field that you would know? And then once I would have the recommendations from people that the field, then it was really a matter of then soliciting resumes and reading the resumes and making the judgment as to which resumes were the most promising ones and then inviting those faculty to come and to meet with me, and they would often meet with other members of the department as well, but it was finally my decision as a chair as to what was the appropriate hire.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.

DENISON - Direct
THE WITNESS: And, you know,
there were -- there were moments I -where that's finally the decision of the chair.

THE HEARING OFFICER: And then earlier, you spoke about welcoming a ceremony that's held at the beginning of the term.

THE WITNESS: Yeah.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Who was
invited to that?
THE WITNESS: I think that's quite a broad invitation to the -- to the faculty at large. I'd have to see the e-mail as to whom it goes out to.

THE HEARING OFFICER: And you said part of that ceremony is an introduction of new faculty. So who is included in that introduction? Which sectors of the faculty?

THE WITNESS: That would be tenurable, or tenured, tenurable, renewed and reviewable, and the term professors who are coming in for a three-year contract.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Does it
include the adjuncts coming in?
THE WITNESS: It does not
include the adjuncts coming in.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. SO,
off the record.
(Discussion held off the
record.)
(Time noted: 12:35 p.m.)
(Recess.)

PROCEEDINGS
 1:47 p.m.

THE HEARING OFFICER: You're still under oath. On the record. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. LEVINE:
Q. Professor Denison, you are a senior lecturer who's currently serving as associate provost; is that right?
A. That's right.
Q. And over the last academic year, did you teach any courses?
A. No.
Q. Were you ever part-time, a part-time officer of instruction at Barnard?
A. No.
Q. And as the associate provost, you report to the provost; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, I'd like to start with adjunct instructors. Is it true that their primary responsibility is teaching?

## DENISON - Cross

A. Yes.
Q. And that involves you're
required to prepare syllabi?
A. Yes.
Q. And son plans?
A. We don't use that phrase,
"lesson plans".
Q. What phrase do you use?
A. I've never had a conversation where anyone talks about "lesson plans".
Q. But they need to figure out what they're going to teach specifically in a given day, they need to plan their lesson in order to meet their pedagogical responsibilities?
A. They would need to prepare for class, yes.
Q. As a teacher, their duty is to impart knowledge to the students, in part at least, and to teach them how to think, perhaps?
A. I would assume that both would happen in various ways.
Q. And for most courses, are they

DENISON - Cross
required to write examinations?
A. It depends on the course. Some courses do not have examinations.
Q. Right. For most courses, would they have examinations?
A. Not necessarily. It would depend on the kind of class.
Q. Right. I understand that not all courses have examinations. Do most, or you don't know?
A. The classes that are classified as lectures tend to have examinations. Those that are seminars tend not to have examinations.
Q. And in any event -- and I suppose it would be reflected in the syllabi -- they would need to have a plan for how their students would be evaluated?
A. Yes.
Q. And it would be their primary responsibility to conduct those evaluations of the students?
A. Yes.
Q. And they're required to hold DENISON - Cross
office hours?
A. Yes. Depending -- and the number of office hours would depend on the -- whether they're teaching once or two courses.
Q. And is it true that, to the extent that they are evaluated as teachers, that they're evaluated primarily on how they teach?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, for term professors, is their primary responsibility teaching courses?
A. Yes.
Q. And would that involve the same duties and responsibilities -- I'll make it a little easier -- that $I$ just went through for adjunct; they have to prepare syllabi, plan for their individual
lessons, figure out how to evaluate their students, conduct those evaluations, hold office hours, etcetera?
A. Yes.
Q. And are they evaluated primarily
DENISON - Cross
based on their teaching?
A. I don't evaluate terms.
Q. And you don't know, as the associate provost, how term faculty are evaluated?
A. I do know that term faculty are evaluated when the chair goes back to the Faculty Budget and Planning Committee and makes -- and puts together a request for a renewal for the two more years. So that's the point at which $I$ would see that information.
Q. Okay. You testified fairly extensively about the evaluation process for the reviewed and renewable and to some extent for the term faculty. But you're not familiar with the criteria that apply for term faculty?
A. I am very familiar with the criteria that apply to the renewed and renewable. I -- hiring is done by the provost. I report to the provost. So I would defer questions of that kind to the provost. I see -- I sit on that Faculty
DENISON - Cross

Budget and Planning Committee, so I would see the requests that come into that committee.
Q. Okay.
A. And that's the extent of my knowledge there.
Q. Okay. But even if their primary responsibility is teaching, you're not willing to venture an opinion as to whether teaching is the primary thing they would be evaluated based on?
A. I would defer to the provost on that.
Q. Adjunct faculty, the students of adjunct faculty members are given teaching evaluations?
A. Yes.
Q. And those are looked at as part of their evaluation? You testified to that, I believe?
A. Yes.
Q. Are the same evaluation forms used with term faculty members?
A. Yes.

## DENISON - Cross

Q. And are those also looked at in connection with their evaluation?
A. I would assume that would be the responsibility of the chairs to read those, but $I$ never, myself, dealt with terms.
Q. Okay. So let's move on to some of the, what we call, other contingent full-time faculty members. And we can start with the lecturers. Is it true that the primary responsibility for lecturers is teaching courses?
A. The primary responsibility would be teaching and also to have service to the college.
Q. And --
A. So --
Q. Approximately how much time -it's a full-time job; right?
A. Yes.
Q. And they teach typically a load of three courses each semester?
A. Yes.
Q.

And approximately how much time

DENISON - Cross
does the college figure it takes to teach six courses, or three courses a semester, including the necessary out-of-classroom time?
A. I don't think the college has a set number of hours. It will depend very much on whether you're putting together a brand new course, whether you've taught the course before, whether you are going into an old course and you're making major revisions on it. That would -- that's why I cannot give you a precise number of hours.
Q. Can you give me a range?
A. I've never seen any range. I've not seen a range, but no.
Q. Are you familiar with standards that are often used in the academy to define full-time load, based on multipliers of, say, two hours per credit hour, or three hours per credit hour?
A. Is that two hours outside of the classroom? Is that what you're saying? I'm - -
DENISON - Cross
Q. For --
A. I'm trying --
Q. For full-time faculty. I know that's often the figure you used. You multiply by three the number of classroom hours. Do you have any familiarity with that concept of multiplier?
A. I am familiar that there may be different states that have different regulations as to how many hours outside of the classroom preparation would be required by the students, so I know they do exist.
Q. Is it fair to say that, whatever the range may be for the time it takes to teach three courses in a semester, both the in-class and out-of-class, that the amount of time spent by all lecturers in teaching, directly teaching-related duties, is significantly greater than the time they spend on any service commitments they enter into?
A. I think, generally, that would be the case, but it -- the lecturers can

DENISON - Cross
have -- it would depend very much, for example, on what committees and what kind of service they are doing, in addition to it. So it would depend really on the load that is coming out of the committee work, how often the committees meet, whether they're meeting every two weeks, and you have, say, a hundred, two hundred pages of documents to read in preparation for the committees. That can take a significant amount of time.
Q. Can you, over the course of a semester, can you give us any specific examples of lecturers assigned three courses in a semester who would be spending more time on their service obligations than on the teaching of those three courses and preparing for teaching, the evaluation, the office hours, and the other things we've discussed?
A. I would think they could possibly be similar. I would think in the majority of cases, the time going into teaching preparation would exceed that,

DENISON - Cross
but it would depend on the individual faculty member.
Q. I'm sorry, I didn't understand your answer. The time going into teaching preparation would...
A. Would more likely exceed what is happening in terms of their service to the college, but that -- the qualifier would be -- would depend on the individual faculty member and what their commitment is, what their service load is.
Q. And you don't have -- you can't give us any range of time that it might take to teach three courses and prepare for three courses?
A. No. It depends, as I said earlier, on the kind of class you are teaching. And, you know, the -- usually, if you are preparing a brand new class, then it is more likely that you're putting more time into a brand new class. But I made that point earlier.
Q. And if I asked you about senior lecturers, and I asked you whether their
DENISON - Cross
primary responsibility was teaching their courses, your response would be the same? A. It would be the same, but what $I$ would also add is that $I$ think the service to the college would more likely increase when you are senior lecturer.
Q. Can you give me any examples of a senior lecturer with a full course load whose service commitments would, you know, entail greater time than the teaching of three courses, preparation for those courses, and preparing evaluations, evaluating their students, and meeting their office hours?
A. It would depend, for instance, whether -- let's say a senior lecturer were teaching, but was also running a program, you know, such as first-year seminar program. That would take a great deal of time.
Q. Okay. So the examples are -you don't -- am I correct, you don't know for sure whether any such individual would spend more time on service than teaching?

DENISON - Cross
You're saying you don't know, but it might?
A. I think it would have to be done -- it's very hard to generalize this way, but $I$ think you would have to look at the individual faculty member.
Q. Can you think of examples, other than people serving as directors or chairs?
A. You could, for example, because of your particular field, or because of the size of the department, you could have a very heavy advising load. You could -you could have many more students coming in and you would be holding many more office hours, and you would be writing many more letters of recommendation, and that would include letters of recommendation for graduating seniors, for people who had taken your classes before, who get back in touch with you. That can take a significant -- a significant amount of time.
Q. Okay.
DENISON - Cross
A. And that comes with the responsibility of that job. And those accumulate over time, because you'll have -- you can have someone who you've had earlier, and those letters -- that is one of the things you report on, on the faculty -- the annual reports. That's one of the questions that is asked: How many letters of recommendation have you written? Because that gives the signal to the provost as to how heavy a load you in fact are carrying in addition to your teaching responsibilities.
Q. Isn't it true that, if you serve as a director or a chair, you would typically get relief from some of your required courses?
A. It -- you -- you would like -I -- that would be the call of the provost, and I would say that you could have a course release, but -- and the "but", I would say, does that course release -- if you're going back to hours, would that compensate for the number of DENISON - Cross
hours that actually get into it? I think that would be somewhat contested. The aim would be -- would be yes, indeed, it should compensate, but the responsibilities for those kinds of programs can be very, very significant.
Q. And at least in some cases, full-time contingent faculty are compensated extra for advising, aren't they?
A. There is a regular -- you are compensated for first- and second-year advising.
Q. Okay.
A. You are not compensated for major advising, and that is a large part of your responsibility.
Q. So --
A. There is no compensation for major advising, and those numbers can be very high.
Q. So you're compensated when -- you say first and second year. That's for people before they've declared
DENISON - Cross
a major?
A. Exactly.
Q. And isn't it true that it's not uncommon for adjuncts to write letters of recommendation?
A. Certainly, if an adjunct is teaching a class and a student asks the adjunct instructor, yes, indeed, the adjunct would write -- I assume would say yes, in most circumstances, to writing a letter of recommendation, if they feel they could support the student's requests.
Q. And is it your testimony that the other categories in your group, what you refer to as reviewed and renewables, the associates, senior associates, and also the professors of professional -- well, I'll back off a second. The associate and senior associates, their typical course load is three and three, as well?
A. Yes.
Q. And for all of those
individuals, and also for the professors
DENISON - Cross

Of professional practice, who you said had a typical course load of two and two, when they teach a course, they need to develop a syllabus, plan for the lessons, do all of the things that $I$ went through before; is that correct?
A. They would do -- the assumption would be -- I would answer, yes, in terms of the way $I$ answered it before.
Q. Incidentally, one of the main distinctions you made about professors of professional practice was that they had careers; even though that were full full-time at Barnard, they had careers outside of Barnard; is that correct?
A. They have professional practice. It will depend on the department. If are you, for example, in -- and I gave these examples before -if you are an architect, you may in fact, and would likely be -- I'll take out the "would likely" -- you would be in some sort of an -- you would be practicing architecture. If you are in theater, you

DENISON - Cross
would be -- if you are renewed and renewable as professor of professional practical in design, the expectation is that you would be designing sets, and/or designing -- and/or designing costumes, and/or designing whatever your job description is, you would be doing this kind of thing to show that you are in fact continuing on with that professional practice.
Q. And similarly, if you are a professor of professional practice in dance, you would be a dancer?
A. You have it.
Q. A choreographer?
A. Yes.
Q. Or something related to dance?
A. Yes.
Q. And is it fair to say that most or all of the professors of professional practice are in departments that could be classified as departments involving the arts? I assume architecture, of course, is a practical art? DENISON - Cross
A. You have that.

I would think the majority would
be. I think there would be exceptions to
those. There would be exceptions. It's not solely arts and humanities.
Q. In -- let's take the department that you've had an affiliation with,
theater. In theater, there are a considerable number of adjunct professors; isn't that true --
A. Yes.
Q. -- of the various
classifications?
A. Uh-hum.
Q. And would it be fair to say that most of those adjunct professors are themselves professionally involved in the theater, on one level or another?
A. At one level or another. They might also be, if you're including in that, they can be teaching at other institutions in the city.
Q. But many of them, in fact, are working actors; isn't that true?

> DENISON - Cross
A. If you're talking about -- if you're narrowing it to acting --
Q. Yes.
A. -- the answer would be yes.
Q. How about in dance? Would -- do you believe that the adjuncts in dance, many of them would be working dancers?
A. Working dancers or perhaps dancers who had full-time careers and had retired at an appropriate moment from their full-time career and were finding a different balance in their life and they had time for teaching.
Q. And perhaps some are just teaching elsewhere, but it would be true that at least some of them are still working as professional dancers?
A. I would assume, not knowing all
the hires in dance, that that would be possible.
Q. And probably the same in music?
A. Music is a little trickier to answer, because music is a department that is grounded at Columbia. There is a

DENISON - Cross
full-time professor of professional practice at Barnard, and her professional practice is as an organist.
Q. Okay. Are there adjuncts in the -- hired by, employed by Barnard, in the music department?
A. Yes. And she would hire those adjuncts.
Q. And would you imagine that most or many of those adjuncts perform music professionally?
A. They may perform music, they may give -- there are many ways of performing music. They may give voice lessons, they may give lessons on whatever their instrument is, other than their voice. It would be -- it would depend on whatever need they are -- the department is looking for in terms of a course.
Q. Okay. The course loads -- what is the course load for tenure and tenure track faculty?
A. That has changed in recent years. It is now currently two and two.

## DENISON - Cross

Q. And --
A. It had been -- it had been three and two, and then the president made a decision in consultation with the provost that for them to have time to do their research and to be, let's say, comp-- similar teaching loads to other institutions, that two and two would be the norm going forward.
Q. And they have scholarship responsibilities as part of their job?
A. They have significant
scholarship responsibilities, and they are responsible for having -- they are -- I would say there's -- if you are on a tenured track position, you need the time to put together your scholarship, to write your first book, to write your second book, to be writing articles. It will depend. If you're in the sciences, it's -- it's very different. There, it's largely articles. If you're in the humanities, it is more likely books. It depends on the field. But, yes,

DENISON - Cross
scholarship is critical.
Q. And they also have service obligations?
A. They do have service obligations.
Q. So why is it that their teaching
load is four courses a year, whereas the group you're calling renewed and renewable, other than the professional -the professors of professional practice, Or who I might also call POP --
A. Okay.
Q. -- which I've heard used -- you probably have as well --
A. I have. That's why I smiled at your use of that.
Q. It might help me from stumbling next time.

Why is it that the tenured track and tenured faculty have a course load that is significantly less than the renewed and re -- reviewed and renewables?
A. I think I answered that before. Because if they are -- if they wanted to

DENISON - Cross
move from a tenured track, as most of them do, to be tenured at Barnard and then go through the tenure process at Columbia as well, they need to have a national reputation in terms of their scholarship. And they need also to be judged on their pedagogy and their service.
Q. And --
A. But there would be letters that would go out as part of the tenure process that would go to institutions throughout the country, saying, can you comment, please, on this scholarship, because this person -- they obviously cannot comment on the teaching in service, but they would say, comment please on this scholarship and where would you fit, and how would you consider this person in the national scene in terms of their scholarship?

So it's a reaching out to the national scene, judging their scholarship.
Q. So would it be fair to say that the service and scholarship obligations of the tenure and tenure track faculty are

DENISON - Cross
significantly greater than that for the full-time, nontenured track or tenured faculty?
A. The scholarship obligations are certainly, for the tenure track and tenured are, more significant because they are placed -- they are placed in a national setting. Is that -- is that distinction -- it's a very -- it's a very important distinction.
Q. Okay. And how about their service obligations? Do they have greater service obligations?
A. Greater than...
Q. Greater than the full-time faculty who are not tenured or tenured track.
A. They might have -- I can think Of -- I'm hesitating on the "greater", because it could be a different kind. For example, a full professor who would have, might have and would likely have, responsibility for mentoring, let's say, an associate professor, and that would not

## DENISON - Cross

be something that a -- would occur for a renewed and renewable, and that could be of significance. So there can be differences in those, but I wouldn't say -- you know, not necessarily "greater". I would say "Of a different kind".
Q. Now, was your testimony that the associate professors, the senior associates, the lecturers, and the senior lecturers have scholarship obligations; is that correct?
A. You're -- you are looked at in terms of your pedagogy and your service to the college and your scholarship as it relates to your pedagogy.
Q. So when you say "you're looked at" --
A. Well, okay --
Q. -- I assume you're hired --
A. Yes.
Q. -- that it's very important you look at the resume and you want somebody who has done good -- a good level of
DENISON - Cross
scholarship, quality scholarship, so when you say they're looked to, is it really more a question of maintaining their credentials and qualifications to continue teaching?
A. I think the assumption is that you're teaching -- when you're -- when you are teaching, your scholarship will feed into your teaching, and $I$ think each of -members of the renewed and renewable would do whatever is appropriate in their field.
Q. So, in the case of the associate, those are people without Ph.D.s --
A. Yes.
Q. -- is that correct?
A. Uh-hum.
Q. And it's true in some cases that they complete Ph.D.s and become lecturers; is that correct?
A. If they were to complete their Ph.D., then they could become a lecturer.
Q. Would they have to go through
the national search process or, if they're
DENISON - Cross
already in a position, would it just be a matter of changing their title?
A. I can't -- I don't know of an instance of that, but $I$ would assume that would be a matter of changing their title, but I am -- I am not sure about that, because I've not had an instance of dealing with someone who had done that.
Q. Would it be fair to say -- are there associates and senior associates, people in that job series who have served in that position for ten or 20 years or more?
A. I would -- yes, I -- I would answer that yes, in terms of, say, physical education. Those are associates and senior associates, and that are renewed and renewable, and there are some -- I can think of one person. I'm not sure she has been here 20 years yet, but certainly more than ten.
Q. So somebody who's been here more than ten in physical education, what kind of scholarship would they perform?

DENISON - Cross
A. Alright. That's why I say it's very hard to generalize. That's why I say it depends very much on the department that you're talking about. So, for them, let's say you are a Yoga instructor, but you're -- that you're into wellness, Yoga, then you would show that you have been, in fact done, enough to further training in that field. If you are doing, say, cardiowork, it would be the same kind of thing. Not -- it is not a matter of someone staying there and saying, I'm here, I'm not doing anything else to show that $I$ am continuing to improve myself as a teacher. But you're quite right; I don't think you're going to find, but maybe you can find, articles that are written by phys. ed. instructors, but I wouldn't rule that out, but I think they tend to be showing that they are continuing to improve upon their work by doing extra workshops -- that's the only phrase I will use -- and, you know, national training, accreditation. They
DENISON - Cross
may get some other title that they would add that means $--I$ don't know what the letters would be, but I --
Q. But they're involved in professional development, you're saying? A. Exactly right. That is professional development. That is right. And they -- those senior associates would be eligible for professional development. And they would have to put together a proposal to say this is how I would like that semester abroad -- or not "abroad"; the semester away from teaching, and this is what $I ' m$ intending to do, and then that would be read and they would say, yes, this will make a difference to the students and to the department going forward.
Q. I actually wasn't referring specifically to professional development grants.
A. Oh.
Q. I was just saying that they're
involved in what you're calling a

## DENISON - Cross

scholarship, the equivalent duties, are really involved with developing themselves as professionals, whether or not they're supported by Barnard financially. Is that --
A. Yes.
Q. -- accurate?
A. Yes, that's fair.
Q. And to one extent or another,
that would be true for the other
reviewed -- what you're referring to.
Reviewed and renewables also; right? You say there's an obligation for scholarship. You're really talking about developing themselves professionally?
A. Yes. And it would --
true -- depend entirely on what the department -- what field they're in.
Q. There are a lot of associates in language instruction; isn't that true?
A. I think that is true.
Q. And --
A. I don't know what "a lot" means, but $I$ will say yes, there are.

## DENISON - Cross

Q. It's a vague term. I can see --
A. Yes. Thank you. So give me the numbers of what you mean by "a lot" and --
Q. I don't have the numbers at my fingertips.
A. And I don't have the number either. But, yes I do know there are associates in the language department.
Q. And are you aware that some of them have served over considerable periods of time, over ten years?
A. I think some of them have.
Q. And if you know -- isn't it true that many of them are primarily -- let me back up. Many of the language departments provide both instruction in language as well as education in the study of literature --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- in the languages --
A. Uh-hum.
Q. -- that they teach; is that correct?
A. Yes.

## DENISON - Cross

Q. And would it be for to say that most of the associates provide language instruction rather than being professors of literature?
A. I think I would not want to say
that, because $I$ would say it depends on the department. And $I$ think, in one department that $I$ can think of, that would be the case. In another language department, that would not be the case. And that -- I can speak pretty clearly to that --
Q. Okay.
A. $\quad--$ that it really does depend on the department, and the departments run in different ways in the languages, so I don't want to generalize across the languages that way.
Q. Fine. In any event, the -whatever scholarship the associates are involved in, we've -- we've established that some of them served for many years, that they're promoted to senior associates, and having been associates, it

## DENISON - Cross

would be fair to say that they don't need to, as part of their scholarship, complete a Ph.D. to continue serving Barnard as an Officer of instruction in those ranks?
A. In those ranks, no.
Q. And, in fact, when you were talking about adjuncts before, and their resumes, $I$ just want to establish, it's fair to say that manyr if not most, adjuncts have Ph.D.s; isn't that true?
A. Again, I'm going to go back to say, it depends on the department.
Q. Right. And --
A. And I -- you know, it depends on the department. If you go to the adjuncts, your reference earlier to dance, no, you're not going to find those many adjuncts having Ph.D.s.
Q. Would most of them have MFAs, or the arts not necessarily?
A. I would think in the arts, such as if you use -- again, the individual departments -- which is why it's so difficult to generalize across. I would DENISON - Cross
think that if you are in theater, there is a very good chance, if you are an adjunct, that you have an MFA, but not necessarily.
Q. I understand.
A. Yeah. So --
Q. So -- but, looking outside of
the arts now for a moment, obviously
Barnard -- I'm sorry, Barnard --
A. Okay.
Q. -- you caught -- the teacher caught me.
A. You apologized. Alright. Go ahead.
Q. And it may happen again.
A. Alright, I've stumbled on
"renewed and renewables".
MS. MUNOZ: He's got POPs.
THE WITNESS: He's got POPS. I
know.
Q. And obviously it's an elite institution that's providing a very high level of education for its students.
A. I think I would --
Q. It has that reputation?

## DENISON - Cross

A. I would not disagree. It has not only that reputation, but it has statistics to back up that reputation.
Q. So I would assume, outside of the art, while it may not always be the case, that you're concerned about the level of scholarship and the quality of the adjuncts who are providing instruction to the students; is that a fair statement?
A. That your concern is the quality Of instruction for the students.
Q. Being provided by the adjuncts to the students?
A. Yes.
Q. I think you were playing with me by pretending it took so long to answer that question.
A. No, I was saying, have I heard the question, or was I thinking of something else while you were asking the question?
Q. But I am going to --
A. Which is a risk of sitting here,

## DENISON - Cross

and I will continue to focus.
Q. For day after day, yes.
A. I will continue to focus on your question. I have had lunch and I am still running off of lunch. So, go ahead.
Q. It is fair to say, isn't it,
that, outside the arts, most adjuncts have Ph.D.s?

MR. D'GIOVANNI: I'll object. You already asked that question and she already answered it. I know she's going to get --
(Overtalking)
MR. LEVINE: I don't believe she did she answered it by saying it's not necessarily true that it wasn't true in the --
(Overtalking)
MR. D'GIOVANNI: She said it
depends on the department, so --
(Overtalking)
THE WITNESS: I said, it depends on the departments --
(Overtalking)

DENISON - Cross
THE HEARING OFFICER: Alright.
THE WITNESS: -- and you
are -- I mean, you --
THE HEARING OFFICER: Hold on.
When there's an objection --
THE WITNESS: Oh.
THE HEARING OFFICER: -- you
need to not speak.
(Overtalking)
MR. LEVINE: Thank you.
THE HEARING OFFICER: We have been given the numbers in each of the various adjunct titles. So why don't we go about this by asking: If someone is an adjunct assistant professor, will they have a terminal degree, etcetera? Why don't we --

MR. LEVINE: I'm happy to answer that question. If you --

THE HEARING OFFICER: Those are the numbers. Instead of saying "most" and "more" --

THE WITNESS: It's very --
THE HEARING OFFICER: $\quad-\quad$ and "a
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lot" -- and we do have the figures, so perhaps you could establish it that way. MR. LEVINE: It's not clear to me, and this witness may be able to answer whether there's a distinction made by the ranks within the adjunct ranks on that basis.
Q. Is there -- are the various adjunct ranks determined by your level of educational attainment?
A. Alright. So you -- we're no longer talking about the arts and -- arts distinction?
Q. Outside of the arts.
A. Alright. So we were talking before about the languages?
Q. Right now --
A. And we can --
Q. -- we're talking about all departments, and maybe we'll focus on a couple of the departments in a minute, but I think the suggestion from the hearing officer was that perhaps there's a distinction on whether or not you have a
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Ph.D., based on whether you're assigned the rank of adjunct lecturer, adjunct assistant professor, adjunct associate professor, or adjunct professor.
A. Yes.
Q. Is that accurate?
A. (Nodding)

THE HEARING OFFICER: You have to speak.
A. Yes. I will.
Q. And what is that distinction? A. What is the distinction between a --
Q. So do all adjunct lecturers have Ph.D.s outside of the arts? I don't know if there are any other than the arts, but...
A. Do all adjunct lecturers have Ph.D.s outside of the arts? I think you'd have to look at those charts.
Q. I still think -- I'm going to look at a couple of departments, but the question, I don't think, was asked outside of the arts before. It depends -- and
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then the distinction was made that in the arts it wasn't necessarily true and I would like to ask the flip side. Let me start off by asking about the department that you are most closely associated with, the English department.

The English department has a number of adjunct professors of various ranks; isn't that true?
A. Yes.
Q. In fact, many of them have served for many years; isn't that true?
A. I don't know what the percentage is on "many", but yes, there are some that certainly have.
Q. And thinking about those who you are familiar with in recent years, do most of those adjuncts have Ph.D.s?
A. Those that I am familiar with would have Ph.D.s.

MR. LEVINE: Again, I don't
think the broader question was asked, I don't know if this witness could ask it, but I would like to be able to ask outside

DENISON - Cross
the arts whether she believes that most adjunct instructors of various ranks --

THE HEARING OFFICER: How about
this? Let me give this a try.
Would an adjunct be given the
title "Lecturer" if that individual did not have a terminal degree?

THE WITNESS: That's well
phrased. If that -- if they have the terminal degree, they would be given the title adjunct lecturer.

THE HEARING OFFICER: What about adjunct assistant professor; would someone be given that title if they did not have a terminal degree?

THE WITNESS: They would be given that title if they have a terminal degree. They would. Yes.

THE HEARING OFFICER: But if
they didn't, would they be given that title?

THE WITNESS: Assistant professor?

THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes.
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THE WITNESS: I wouldn't think so.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. And
then what about lecturer? Because you twisted your answer in a similar way. THE WITNESS: Sure.

THE HEARING OFFICER: So if I
don't have my Ph.D. in English, and I'm hired to teach English as an adjunct at Barnard, would $I$ be given the title "lecturer"?

THE WITNESS: No. You would be given the title associate, adjunct associate.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. And then we have also the title associate professor -- adjunct associate professor, and adjunct professor. Those titles, are they reserved for people who have a terminal degree?

THE WITNESS: I would assume they are.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. And
then finally, are the visiting professor
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titles, visiting assistant, and visiting professor, and in the adjunct category,
those individuals, can we assume they have terminal degrees?

THE WITNESS: I would assume
that as well.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
MR. LEVINE: Thank you.
THE HEARING OFFICER: No
problem. Continue.
CONTINUING CROSS EXAMINATION
BY MR. LEVINE:
Q. I'd like to turn for a moment to the issue of service and actually start with the faculty meeting. I don't know if you have the exhibits in front of you, or if you could be shown the exhibits.
A. What is the exhibit?
Q. Exhibit -- Employer's

Exhibit 3.
A. Yes.
Q. The faculty meetings?
A. (Indicating). It's identical.
Q. So in that document, in the
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second bullet point from the bottom, it says, "A quorum consists of 50 faculty members present." Do you see that?
A. I do.
Q. To your knowledge, are there occasions when the quorum is not achieved?
A. Not to my knowledge.
Q. How many -- do you attend all faculty meetings?
A. I do.
Q. And how many people typical attend?
A. I would say the majority of full-time faculty attend, because it's expected that they attend the faculty meetings. That's part of their responsibility.
Q. Is attendance taken?
A. Attendance is not taken, but
there are usually a certain number of chairs in the room, and it doesn't -- it's not difficult to look across the room and see if there are more than 50 faculty members there.
DENISON - Cross
Q. Right.
A. Yes.
Q.

Umm . . .
A. So I would think that would answer that.
Q. What are the negative
repercussions, if any, if a full-time faculty member doesn't attend the faculty meeting?
A. Usually the full-time faculty member would let the chair know. They would say: I will not be at the faculty meeting, because $I$ am attending a conference elsewhere; I am out of the country; I am meeting my professional obligations; doing something else.
Q. And if they didn't do that, would they be subject to discipline?
A. I would think that the chair would indeed -- if there were a pattern of that kind, then that faculty member would not be meeting his or her responsibility.
Q. Are you aware of anybody ever being disciplined for not attending
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faculty meetings?
A. I don't -- the word "discipline" is not one that $I$ am -- we don't -- that's not a word $I$-- that is in our vocabulary. So that's -- that's what you're -- I'm reacting to.

Would something like that be in the year-end report of the chair to the provost on the full-time faculty member? That would indeed be something that would go into that part of their record. So, yes, that would be -- that would be acknowledged and that would be part of their record. So if that's what you mean by "discipline", there would be consequences for not meeting your obligations.
Q. Have you ever reviewed anyone's record that referenced their failure to regularly attend faculty meetings?
A. I am not the provost, so I do not read the faculty personnel reports or the chair's reports.
Q. Have you ever been part of an
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evaluation committee for someone who is being reviewed for reappointment or promotion?
A. For reappointment and promotion, or are we talking now about the renewed and reviewable?
Q. Yes.
A. Yes.
Q. And how often have you participated in such reviews?
A. A long time ago I remembered doing that in the English department.
Q. And was the failure to attend faculty meetings an issue in that review?
A. Not with that particular faculty member.
Q. Now, you testified concerning the relatively recent vote to change the general curricular requirements --
A. Yes.
Q. -- at Barnard, and you said that 80 percent, I believe, of eligible faculty voted?
A. This is true.

DENISON - Cross
Q. And I think you said that some people voted by -- you may not have used this term -- but by absentee ballot.
A. Yes.
Q. Did the rest vote at a faculty meeting?
A. Yes.
Q. And that was an issue that was of great significance to the college?
A. Yes.
Q. Was the attendance at that
faculty meeting, therefore, greater than would normally be the case?
A. Hmm. Possibly higher, but I think there was something like -- I don't have these precise figures, but I think there may have been 40 absentee ballots that were submitted. So those people were not in the room. I think if the attendance would be slightly higher, I would say yes, it was slightly higher, because all of these curriculum changes affected every department in the college. And so people even wanted -- they wanted
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to cast their ballot.
So we don't have ballots given out at regular faculty members that were not -- at regular faculty meetings. So this was -- there had been a special faculty meeting before this, so I think everyone knew this was of great consequence.
Q. I asked you whether attendance was taken and you answered that question. Related question: Does anyone keep track of the actual number in attendance at faculty meetings?
A. There, no one keeps actual attendance, but there can be a call for a quorum if anyone looks around the room and thinks that there are fewer than 50 people in the room. You can make a call for a quorum.
Q. And you've already testified that you don't recall being at any meeting where there wasn't a quorum. Were you present at any meetings where there were calls for a quorum?
DENISON - Cross
A. I think the answer is yes to
that, because it was a procedural question that they wanted to have on the record that there was a quorum, and I think it was not a matter of eyeing the room, but they wanted to have on the record that there was a quorum in the room, for whatever issue was being discussed at that time.
Q. And did they, at that point, determine what rank the people in attendance were to make sure there was a quorum of voting members?
A. I would assume that when they were calling for a quorum, they would have, in asking for that quorum, have said who would be counted in the quorum.
Q. Isn't it true that it's not uncommon for adjuncts to attend faculty meetings?
A. Adjuncts are welcome to attend faculty meetings.
Q. Now, I want to turn to committee service for a moment. Is it fair to say
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that not all faculty members and the in the associate and lecturer ranks, or the POPs, serve on committees?
A. Did you say "all"?
Q. Yes.
A. A hundred percent?
Q. Right.

MS. MUNOZ: Can $I$ just clarify,
where we talked about tenured, tenurable, reviewed or renewable? This was kind of the mish-mash we had earlier.
Q. Well, I said associate rank, lecturer ranks, and POPs, which is what you all are referring to as reviewed and renewable.
A. You insisted that we use that term.
Q. What's that?
A. You insisted we used that term.

MS. MUNOZ: I just want to make sure we're talking about the same one.
Q. So the question is, do they all serve on committees?
A. I think I would have to look at
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the records to see and I would -- I am sure there are some, and I wouldn't have the figures, but $I$ think it would be certainly in the minority if they do not serve on a committee.
Q. We will look at that. I think we do have the evidence and committee lists.
A. I think you did.
Q. And we can cross-reference it -A. Right.
Q. -- with the exhibits of people in the proposed unit.

Are you aware of any evaluations of people in the group that you referred to as reviewed and renewable?
A. That we refer to as renewed and reviewable?
Q. Right.
A. Yes.
Q. Who have been reviewed negatively based on their failure to participate in committees.
A. That occurs at the departmental
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level. So the associate provost -- first Off, as a senior lecturer, I would be within my department. As an associate provost, I've been doing this for a year, a year and a half, if you include the interim. So during that time -- and that's a very short time -- I can't answer that question, because $I$ wasn't part of those processes.
Q. Which group of full-time faculty members, which classifications are required to be formal advisors?
A. By "formal advisors", are you talking about major advisors or first- and second-year advisors?
Q. I'm distinguishing it from giving informal advice because the student comes and asks your opinion about something. Someone who has been assigned an advisor of record.
A. The tenured, the tenurable, the renewed and the renewable would do major advising. And they could also do firstand second-year advising, and they would
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do that as it is currently -- there is a small stipend for first- and second-year advising, and that because some faculty, depending on the size of the department, may have a very, very heavy load of major advising.
Q. And are reviewed and renewable required to be advisors, major advisors? A. Yes.
Q. And where is that set forth in any documents, if it is?
A. I don't know. I just know the practice, and it may be in a document somewhere.
Q. So if I asked you how this requirement is communicated to that group, would you be able to answer that question?
A. I would think the provost would have spoken to the individual departments and it would come from the provost level.
Q. Do you know that, or do you -you say you think that? Do you have knowledge of that? Or is it an assumption?
DENISON - Cross
A. I think you would need to ask
the provost that.
Q. Okay. Was it your testimony
that it was rare for adjuncts to be assigned as advisors?
A. I think what you're recalling was the moment when the Dean of Studies spoke to some adjuncts who had been here for what you would say, call,
long-term -- in other words, people who knew the college well -- and I think she reached out to some adjuncts because there was, I believe at that point, an especially large entering class, and there were tenurable, tenured, and renewed and renewable faculty, and they were -- it was just a very heavy need -- there was a real need for advisors in those -- at that particular to moment.
Q. Other than that, is it unusual, in your opinion, for adjunct to be assign as advisors?
A. Yes.
Q. You know who Kate Levin is;
DENISON - Cross
correct?
A. I know Kate well. Well, I don't know if -- Kate might qualify the "well", but yes, I've known Kate for many years.
Q. Is it fair to say that in -- it was the 2012-13 year that she picked up and covered some of your advisees?
A. My advisees? What was I doing in two thousand...

PROFESSOR LEVIN: It was 113-14.
Q. '13-14.
A. Those dates seemed wrong to me.
Q. Is that when you became acting or interim associate provost in the spring of that academic year?
A. Yes. That would -- that is -yes, and $I$ would answer a yes to that. I don't -- I think what you're -- what you're saying is that Kate picked up some, other faculty members picked up others. So it was not solely Kate.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Can we identify what Kate's title was at that time?

DENISON - Cross
MR. LEVINE: I will represent -I don't think anybody will dispute that are you an adjunct. I don't know what --

PROFESSOR LEVIN: At the time, I was called lecturer.

MR. LEVINE: Part-time.
PROFESSOR LEVIN: But I was
part-time --
Q. You testified previously that adjuncts are required to provide office hours?
A. Yes. Depending on whether -and the office hours will -- the number of Office hours will depend whether they're teaching one or two courses.
Q. On some level, even if it isn't the same as the formal advisor, someone who provides advice is providing advice to students, aren't they?
A. If students walk into your Office, depends what kind of advice they're seeking. That's -- I'd have to qualify that, because sometimes students will seek advice and you will say that
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this is not -- this is not the appropriate forum to be seeking that kind of advice.
Q. Okay.
A. So --
Q. But they provide at least some kinds of advice in that role?
A. Yes.
Q. And it may vary by the students and their questions, and it may similar by the faculty member and their willingness to provide the advice sought?
A. Yeah. They're very -- they are very clear -- well, I'd say guidelines. If you go to the -- there are meetings that are held for the advisors before the year begins, and they are -- that's when it's made as clear as possible to the advisors what would be the kind of appropriate advice that you can give to students, or whether you would send them elsewhere to have those kinds of questions answered.
Q. Are you aware of the fact that there are adjuncts who serve as advisors
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without receiving stipends?
A. I am not aware of that. And
this is in advising, that their name is
down as the advisor? Or is this informal advising? I think that's a huge distinction.
Q. Okay.
A. So I would -- if you are an advisor of record, you know, receiving a stipend. If you are doing informal advising, you are not receiving a stipend.
Q. Okay. I'd like you to look at Employer's Exhibit 1 , if you have it.
A. I don't.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Here.
Q. Actually, I'm not sure how much you'll need it. Are you aware of any documents prior to this document that set forth scholarship requirements for faculty members in the reviewed and renewable group?
A. This is the document that $I$ was referring to as their personnel procedures document --
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Q. Right.
A. -- in testimony yesterday.
Q. And it's dated May 20th of this year.
A. Yeah. Is that --
Q. I'm wondering --
A. May I ask a question back on
that point for myself? The date at the bottom of that, that's the date in which this -- the personnel procedures went into effect? It's not the date in which it was printed or -- am I correct in that? I was curious after yesterday. So that's not a question that you can answer?
Q. No, that's not a question $I$ can answer.
A. That's why I'm --
Q. I had not seen this document prior to yesterday. And it varies from the earlier provisions in the faculty guide that was online.
A. I -- I would have no trouble disagreeing with you on that, because, as I know -- again, as I said yesterday, I'm
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not on the committee of the appointments, tenure, and promotion. All of the work for revising this document occurred in that committee. I knew that that work was going on, $I$ knew that they were doing it because they wanted to have kind of clarity going forwards, and that that was done within that committee, it went to the provost, it went to the president, and so I'm assuming this is the personnel procedures, and yes, they were revising an earlier document.
Q. Okay. But the question is actually fairly straightforward.
A. Okay.
Q. And it's just whether you are aware of any documents, other than this document. I said earlier it could be if there are any promulgated in the last month, but are you aware of any other documents in which scholarship requirements for reviewed and renewable faculty members are stated?
A. To restate my answer, they were
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revising an earlier document. So I think you had referenced the faculty guide for 2012 and 113.
Q. Yes.
A. Yeah. And that may be what you
have --
Q. I still think, you know, this might refresh your recollection, if you looked at it.
A. Yeah.
Q. But the question I think was still fairly straightforward wasn't answered.
A. Go ahead.
Q. Sitting here now, are you aware of any document that provides for scholarship requirements for those titles? It doesn't mean there aren't such documents. There might be. I'm just asking, are you aware of any? Can you tell us --
A. All I'm aware of, because I was not on that committee doing any of these revisions, that they were revising an DENISON - Cross
earlier document.
Q. Okay. I think that's nonresponsive, though.

MS. MUNOZ: I'm going to
object. That's responsive to best of her knowledge.
A. I don't understand.

THE HEARING OFFICER: HOW
about -- well, can counsel for Barnard clarify what the date is on the bottom of this faculty guide? That's the Employer's Exhibit 1 .

MS. STEPHEN: Not the printout date.

THE HEARING OFFICER: So what does the date signify?

MS. STEPHEN: YOu know, I didn't draft the document. So I don't know. That's something we can ask the provost, just to be sure.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay?.
THE WITNESS: Yeah, I would ask -- certainly the provost could answer that, because the provost sits on the
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appointment, tenure, and promotion
committee. I don't sit on that committee.
THE HEARING OFFICER: And you're
referring to revisions that the committee made to an earlier existing document?

THE WITNESS: Correct.
THE HEARING OFFICER: What
you're holding in your hand, Employer's 1, is the revised version of this earlier document?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Right.
THE WITNESS: Yes, that's
their --
THE HEARING OFFICER: And so is it your understanding that what you're holding in your hand as Employer 1 has replaced that earlier revised document?

THE WITNESS: That is my understanding, yes.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So other than what you have now in your hand as Employer's Exhibit 1, and not considering the prior document, which has
now been revised and is Employer 1, are there any additional publications that covers --

THE WITNESS: In addition to
this?
THE HEARING OFFICER: Exactly --
THE WITNESS: No.
THE HEARING OFFICER: -- that
cover the scholarship obligations of reviewed and renewable faculty members?

THE WITNESS: No, not to my
knowledge. This would be the document --
THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
THE WITNESS: -- going forward from -- I think there's nothing...
Q. Are you familiar with this document?
A. Yes, I have seen this document before.

MS. MUNOZ: I'm going to object to the extent that he's going to try to enter -- and I don't know if he is -- the document into evidence through our witnesses. If he has witnesses he wants

DENISON - Cross
to put on, that's fine.
THE HEARING OFFICER: NO,
overruled. That's -- any witness can identify --

MS. MUNOZ: Okay. The other
objection is: Can we put the complete guide on with the disclaimers not being the most current version? And I don't mean the one at the bottom. There's like disclaimers saying go look at this place, or ask this person, don't rely on this document. If all that can go together, then I might not have an objection. MR. LEVINE: I printed out what was the complete document. There may be something on the web page that provides and additional disclaimer. I did not -MS. STEPHEN: What web page? MS. MUNOZ: It's on that web page.

MR. LEVINE: That's fine. It would be an additional piece of evidence. I have no problem with the -- that being admitted, with the understanding that both
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this guide and that statement are both available on the web page.
(Union Exhibit 1, A Faculty
Guide To Barnard College 2012-2013, As of July 30, 2012, marked for identification, this date.)

MS. MUNOZ: Okay, I would like either testimony about that or that statement from the web page so whoever is reviewing the record is aware of the extent to which they can rely upon what's being presented as Union Exhibit 1 .

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. MS. MUNOZ: Thank you.
A. You're talking about disclaimers --
Q. There's one in here.
A. What $I$ had seen is, if you turn to page 4, the prefatory disclaimer -- and page 4 is the first page of the document after you get past the table of contents -- it -- this says -- and this is going back to 2012-13. It's an informal reference of -- it's not a vehicle, it's
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not a contract between a faculty member and the college, it is not itself binding, and that seems to me a very full disclaimer.
Q. It's -- obviously it states what it states.
A. Yeah. And that's -- so that -you would not refer to this as a legal -if this -- this is not a legal document. It's an informal reference. It's a summary over time and it's policies and procedures, subject to change without prior notice, and these -- this is not an official document.
Q. With all due respect, it's for the record -- the person holding the hearing, and ultimately for the board, to determine if there's legal relevance to this document, and merely stating that it's not a legal document doesn't make that so.

MR. LEVY: Certainly an official document promulgated by the college.
Q. It's not appropriate for us to
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even argue about that fact now.
MS. MUNOZ: I don't agree.
A. You were discussing disclaimers and it seems to me there's a disclaimer, not only the provost web page, but there's a disclaimer in this document, and it has been available for many years.
Q. And this document is available on the provost web page?
A. I know it has been. I don't know -- I'd have to go onto the provost web page, and it -- it -- and hit the appropriate button to see whether it is there.
Q. What was the last time you were aware that it was there?
A. I don't know the last time it was there. All $I$ know is that in the process, late in the process of these personnel procedures, Exhibit 1, when those I think were finalized and whether that -- remember in my testimony yesterday, the question is, had members of the ATP gone to the chair's committee, and
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you asked me when that was and I said may have been April meetingr it may have been the March meeting. At that point, I think they realized that, to have the current guidelines, that it was essentially that these personnel procedures had been rewritten and it may have been at that time that they said let's have this available. I'd have to go back. I'd have to go back to the provost to say what the timing was on that.
Q. Do you know if the faculty -- if the revision of the entire faculty guide has been completed? Do you know, yes or no?
A. I do know that the answer is the revision has not been completed. I do know that my predecessor began to do revisions one summer, began to do revisions the next summer, began again. It was not complete. That's why the document -- this is dated 2012 and 13.
Q. Okay.
A. It was not complete. DENISON - Cross
Q. Is it accurate, when it states at the bottom of the first page of the cover that this was compiled by the office of the provost and dean of the faculty? A. I would think that would be -- I wasn't in the provost's office then. And I believe there was a different -- current provost came in, $I$ believe, in October of 2012. So $I$ would answer it, if that --if that wording had been in previous documents, as $I$ think it was, then there would have been a previous provost and different associate provost. That's just -- I'm just answering as factually as I can on that one. I'm assuming that that same wording, if you looked up the 2011-12, you would find that same wording there. But there would be different staff members.
Q. Is this the most recent, approved version of the faculty guide, with whatever caveats it may include?
A. Approved by whom?
Q. Approved by whoever needs to
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approve it for it to become an official document. I think you testified to that, that there's no replacement guide that's been completed.
A. I would not refer to it as an official document, because it is referred to in the document itself as an informal reference.

MR. LEVINE: I would move for its admission. This document is available. We can put on other witnesses if we have to. It's on the web site, there's been no replacement of the document that includes its caveats, that it's out there as the official source of information on many points that there is no other official source of information on.

THE WITNESS: I would take "Official" out of that. I would say it is a summary document, and $I$ think it clearly says that in introduction number $B$, Prefatory Disclaimer, and I think you would find that same wording if you went
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back to 2011, if you went back to 2010 , that same wording would be there.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Does the employer have any objections for admission of this document?

MS. MUNOZ: Not if it is
admitted with the disclaimers that we discussed previously.

THE HEARING OFFICER: SO I will go ahead and receive it with the understanding that you will be providing those --

MS. MUNOZ: Okay.
THE HEARING OFFICER: -- in the
future.
MS. MUNOZ: Alright. Thank you.
(Union Exhibit 1 , marked into evidence, this date.)

THE HEARING OFFICER: You said
that your predecessor was working on updating this. Do you know, do those updates appear in what has been marked as Union Exhibit 1?
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THE WITNESS: As far as I know, no.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Do you know where those updates exist?

THE WITNESS: I -- alright.
Updates, I have seen a document, and it may have been this document. It's very hard to -- and -- that has sort of track change, with notes on the side. In other words, moving toward a revision of this document, these are the kinds of issues -you know, look at more closely at this page, but it is an unfinished -- an unfinished document.

THE HEARING OFFICER: So, to your knowledge, will a -- will those changes not be published until the entire document has been reviewed?

THE WITNESS: Yes. That is --
THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
THE WITNESS: $\quad-\quad$ certainly my
understanding of that.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So until that happens, what we have here is
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the most recent version; is that accurate? THE WITNESS: And I would agree with you on that, yes --

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. THE WITNESS: -- and that there was an attempt to revise it and that there was another attempt to revise.

THE HEARING OFFICER: But until those revisions are complete, the document will not be released, or republished?

THE WITNESS: Yes, that is certainly the case.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
THE WITNESS: Because I believe
the prior associate provost, she was in, I believe, for a year and a half, and then there was another provost who was in for associate provost in for a short period of time under a different provost most. So there is -- as I said earlier, there was a lot there, there's been a lot of change in the office, and this document is the most recent one that $I$ know of.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Do you

> DENISON - Cross
know if anyone is currently still working on those revisions to this faculty guide? THE WITNESS: I know the
personnel procedures had been completed in the ATP. I know that I am supposed to go into this document and find all of the documents and $I$ have found just a couple in the office that would have, as I referenced earlier, some track changes, the revision. So I'm to go back into those and to try to revise this document. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Just --

THE WITNESS: And I would do that with other members of the provost staff.

THE HEARING OFFICER: One -THE WITNESS: Sure. THE HEARING OFFICER: -- question,

I think. It's the last one. Is there a relationship between what you're referring to as the personnel procedures, which is Employer's Exhibit 1 , and this faculty guide, which is Union's Exhibit 1?

DENISON - Cross
THE WITNESS: So, the two
documents you have in front of you? I
don't know -- I don't know if -- if $I$ were to open this up, whether there would be -- I'd have to glance at this really quickly to see --

THE HEARING OFFICER: That's okay.

THE WITNESS: -- whether it's
there.
THE HEARING OFFICER: It's just if you know.

THE WITNESS: No. I don't know, but --

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay,
that's fine.
THE WITNESS: -- but I'm seeing. I don't know.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
THE WITNESS: And if $I$ find it,
I'll say I do know. Okay?
THE HEARING OFFICER: No problem.

MR. LEVINE: For the time being,
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I'd like to move on to some other topics.
Q. You testified, Professor

Denison, about the length of service in various ranks.
A. Yes.
Q. So it was your testimony, for example, that a lecturer -- and correct me if I'm wrong -- initially gets a one-year appointment, and then $I$ think you said a five-year appointment, for a total of six, or was it a one-year and then a six-year appointment?
A. I'll probably have to look at the personnel procedures to say precisely, but my understanding was that there would be -- your first year would be a one-year appointment, and that year would then be counted in the number of years before you are reviewed.
Q. And how many years was that before your review?
A. Which review are we referring
to? Was this yesterday's --
Q. So you have one year, you get a
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review --
A. Yes.
Q. $\quad-\quad$ to the extent your -- so that you can continue your initial term, whatever it was. I guess -- is the one-year like a probationary period?
A. I think it can be described that way, yes. That seems a good way of describing it.
Q. So if you've completed that year and the college decides it wants you to continue --
A. Yes.
Q. -- then you're reviewed when? How many years? I believe you testified it was five, but it might have been six.
A. I think you are right on that, and the reason $I$ was not sure of whether it was five or six, because that was going back in time, I'd been talking about myself, and my memory is probably fresher on the more recent ones than going back over time.
Q. Okay. And I think we're more

DENISON - Cross
interested actually in the more recent ones --
A. Oh.
Q. -- to know what the practice is now.
A. Okay. Okay. Alright. So --
Q. So, now, what is the practice?

How do -- you're reviewed after how many additional years after the first year?
A. Okay. So -- so I went from
lecturer, I was reviewed, and I was then appointed to senior lecturer. Is that your question?
Q. I'm more interested in the length of time. And, again, I'm interested in --
A. Sure.
Q. -- in whatever the current practice is. I believe you testified to that.
A. Yeah, well, that's why I'm trying to say, you're interested in reappointment process when you are -- when you're moving from senior lecturer --
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Q. No, no, I'm sorry.
A. No?
Q. Not initially. It may be -- I believe your testimony was, you -- and correct me if I'm wrong -- because you may not be sure it was --

MR. LEVY: She's all over the --
Q. Do you need a break?
A. Do I need a break? I may look
like I need a break.
MS. MUNOZ: It's up to you.
A. I'm fine. And I'll drink some water and keep going. Thank you.
Q. I understand. You're anxious for this to be completed.
A. No, I'm not anxious. I just want to answer these questions and I'm just trying to understand the -- where we are in the process that you are referring to.
Q. Okay. So what can you tell us about the time line for review and reappointment for lecturers currently in effect?
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A. Ah. Alright. For lecturers -and $I$ think you would probably find it in the personnel procedures. I think you would find that in the -- probably in the spring of your fifth year and -- there, the -- a request would be made to the Faculty Budget and Procedures Committee to say, we are requesting that this line be continued, and if the chair is then told that the line can continue, then the lecturer would put together a dossier, and that dossier would include their teaching philosophy, it would include their service to the department, it would include their scholarship, it would include their practice in the profession, going to conferences, giving presentations; it would include their teaching evaluations --
Q. If I may --
A. Yeah, you may.
Q. -- I'm not looking for you to recount again the entire process.
A. Yeah, okay. I thought I had DENISON - Cross
answered this yesterday, so that's why I'm having trouble with this. Go ahead.
Q. We all have particular concerns understanding the time line, and I have no problem if you want to look at Employer's Exhibit 1 , which is the document you were referring to --
A. Ah, okay. So what page are you on and I'll go right there?
Q. So the review process for
lecturers and associates begins on Roman III - 1, which is marked at the bottom of the page.
A. You -- I'll --
Q. There's no -- you know, there's no pagination that goes straight through. That's what -- the way this document is marked.
A. Ah. Okay.
Q. So I'll be --
A. Oh, yes, "Syllabi ought to be submitted as well."
Q. So it talks about time line in -- under A. And I'm a little confused,

## DENISON - Cross

because it doesn't refer to that initial year. It does talk about a three-year review.
A. Yeah, okay.
Q. And then it talks about subsequent seven-year reviews. Or "in the seventh year". I'm sorry.
A. Alright. I would -- I would not disagree with this -- this document. I -when -- if you're referring back to me, though, that's where $I$ was struggling, because this was not, you know, say, over a 15 plus years ago, this was not a document that existed. So that's where I was struggling with your -- with your question.
Q. Separate and apart from this document --
A. Okay.
Q. -- do you have personal
familiarity, through review processes or your -- that you've participated in, or your role as associate provost, with the current implementation of the review

## DENISON - Cross

procedures for either lecturers or associates?
A. Those go directly to the provost.
Q. Okay. If you don't have familiarity --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- that's fine; you just need to tell us that.
A. Yeah, that's what $I$ am familiar with it, but they do not come to me.
Q. So -- so if you said yesterday that after the first year there was a subsequent review after five or six years --
A. Yeah.
Q. -- you now believe that was mistaken?
A. I think I was talking about my experience, and it was going back in time, and that's how $I$ was answering -- that's how I understood that question, was what was your experience? Were you a lecturer, and then you became a senior lecturer, and

DENISON - Cross
you are -- and then you were reappointed for a senior lecturer, so that -- so that's how $I$ was answering that.
Q. So I believe you then testified that if you were being reviewed for senior lecturer, or senior associate, and you were not promoted, that you would then be terminated after a terminal year.
A. That's my understanding, yes --
Q. And --
A. -- when -- when $I$ went in for my last review. My understanding was the reappointment would occur, and if for some reason it did not occur, then whatever there was in my dossier would stop the appointment within, not continue on.
Q. Do you know one way or another as a fact whether that's still the practice?
A. I don't know as a fact, because I have always passed the reappointment process.
Q. Okay. So when you were testifying yesterday, just so we're clear
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about the review process for lecturers and associates, were you basing that on your own experience.
A. Yes.
Q. And you became a senior lecturer when?
A. I'd have to pull up my CV to give you that date, and $I$ don't have it with me.
Q. Okay. Was it more than ten years ago?
A. Yes. I think it would have been more than ten years ago, because I have gone through two senior lecturer reviews and reappointments. So if we take this model of seven and seven, that takes us to 14.
Q. And if I were to represent to you that you can be a lecturer for more than seven years without being promoted to senior lecturer, would you know one way or another whether that was true or not?
A. Can you repeat that.
Q. If I was to represent to you

DENISON - Cross
that it's possible to serve as a lecturer for more than seven years and be reappointed as a lecturer, but not as a senior lecturer, do you know one way or another, as a matter of fact, whether that's true or not?
A. I don't know as a matter of fact, because, again, that's in the hands of the provost, so...
Q. And if $I$ were to represent that you could be reappointed on multiple appointments as a lecturer, would you know whether that's true or not?
A. Annual appointments?
Q. Yes, as a lecturer, more than one.
A. I would not know. That would be -- that would certainly be a provost decision, and that wouldn't be in the hands of the associate provost.
Q. Okay.
A. Yeah.

MS. MUNOZ: Were you talking
full-time lecturer --
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MR. LEVINE: Yes, I'm sorry,
we're talking just about full-time.
MS. MUNOZ: Thank you.
Q. Moving on to maybe a slightly easier topic, there was some testimony yesterday about shared office space and e-mail accounts.
A. Yes.
Q. To your knowledge, are the shared office spaces that adjuncts use typically in the same buildings as the full-time office space used by full-time contingent faculty in the same department?
A. Are they usually in the same
building?
Q. Yes. Are departments usually
housed in the same building?
A. Usually.
Q. Well, I understand space is
difficult, it may not always --
A. Space is difficult.
Q. $\quad--$ be the case.
A. Yeah, uh -- so I will say
"usually" to that one.
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Q. And might the shared office space used by adjuncts be on the same floor as individual offices by full-time faculty members?
A. It might.
Q. And isn't it true that adjunct faculty also have Barnard e-mail accounts?
A. Yes. As I said yesterday, if you don't have a Barnard e-mail account, you cannot submit grades.
Q. Okay. And the grading standards that you referred to yesterday apply not only to full-time faculty; whether or not tenure track or tenured or reviewed and renewable, they also apply to the adjuncts; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And do individual adjunct
faculty members, also in the first
instance, determine the grades of the
students in their classes?
A. Yes.
Q. Is there a grades appeal
process?
A. Yes.
Q. And is that process available to students regardless of whether their courses were taught by professors of any specific rank?
A. They are available for students in any class.
Q. And is it fair to say that professors in all ranks set their own syllabi, subject to approval for a new course through the process you described yesterday?
A. Through the --
Q. Through the Committee on Instruction, et cetera.
A. Right.
Q. And that process is applicable to all faculty members, regardless of rank, including adjuncts?
A. Yes.
Q. There was discussion of this off the record. I'd like to ask you on the record: Is it the case that, before Barnard faculty member can be granted
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tenure, that they need the approval of Columbia University?
A. I am not on the ATP, the tenure and promotion committee, but it is certainly my understanding that, if the Barnard tenure and promotion committee recommends that a faculty member be tenured, the next step would be that that would go to the committee at Columbia.
Q. Would that normally be the case for reviewed and renewable faculty?
A. We are not tenured.
Q. Right. I understand.
A. So --
Q. So --
A. $\quad--$ no.
Q. -- you wouldn't need to go through the Columbia committee?
A. No. Uh-um.
Q. And promotions from lecturer to senior lecturer, or associate to senior associates, like we're -- would not need to go through the Columbia committee?
A. No. It would go through the

DENISON - Cross
Barnard -- final decision would go through the Barnard ATP.
Q. When we were talking about committees yesterday, you said that reviewed and renewable faculty could serve as the chairs of committees. Do you recall that?
A. Yes, I do, as I've done that myself.
Q. Are you aware -- and which committee were you the chair?
A. I was the chair of the Faculty Finance and Resource Committee. That is one that has four members. That is the one that meets annually with the Board of Trustees. We speak on behalf of the faculty for benefits and compensation.
Q. Okay. And that meets once a month -- once a year? I'm sorry.
A. Once a year and we are the voice for the faculty, the faculty including tenured, tenurable, and renewed and renewable.
Q. Okay, I'd like to -- let's look
DENISON - Cross
at the committees for a minute. So that's Exhibit -- Employer's Exhibit 4-A and 4-B.
A. Yeah.
Q. Currently, are you aware of any elected committees other than the Off-ladder committee that a renewed -- a reviewed and renewable faculty member serves as the chair?
A. Are you including -- are you talking -- sorry, I was looking at this document. Were you talking elected committee or standing appointive committees?
Q. Let's start with elected committees.
A. Well, if you looked at elected committees, those are the -- the first two ones, the ATP $--I$ do need to give the full title. Advisor Committee on Appointments, Tenure, and Promotion, there are -- that is tenured only on that committee. But the Faculty Budget and Planning Committee, those are tenured DENISON - Cross
committees as well. The Faculty
Governance and Procedures --
Q. Yes.
A. -- and the last -- I'm thinking about the last year and this year, there are -- you asked specifically about chairs --
Q. Right.
A. $\quad--$ no, there's not a chair --
there's no renewed and renewable who is chairing that.
Q. Okay. Go ahead.
A. And --
Q. Committee On Instruction I think is next.
A. I chair that committee.
Q. And you chair that committee in what capacity?
A. I chair that committee as the associate provost. The faculty representative to the university senate, yes, there are two representatives and they carry equal weight and one is renewed and renewable. DENISON - Cross
Q. Right. That's Lisa Northrop, senior associate in phys. ed.
A. Good, you got that. Yeah, because I'm going by memory, I don't have those ones in front of me, so you can help me. If I miss somebody, you can tell me if I miss someone.
Q. If it's relevant. In any event, that evidence is available to the parties?
A. It is available, yes.
Q. But the chairs are not listed, which is one reason I'm asking you.
A. Well, there's not a chair, because those two representatives, they carry equal weight. So one -- you know, they will report back. One will report, the other will report; one will report, and the other reports. So they are equal weight.

If you -- the fact that you're a representative to the Board of Trustees -Q. Well, we don't -- the evidence is there, there is no -A. It's the same.

```
                                    DENISON - Cross
    Q. -- no chair, so I don't think
    we'll cover it now. We'll raise that
    later.
    A. Yeah. And I -- I can't remember
    whether one of those representatives to
    the Board of Trustees is renewed and
    renewable. You would see that in front of
    you.
    Q. I do in fact have it.
    A. I know you have that in front of
you and I don't have that in front of me.
    MS. STEPHEN: Do you have this?
    THE WITNESS: I have it, but --
    MR. LEVINE: Those positions, we
got that information elsewhere overnight,
so --
    MS. STEPHEN: It's here.
    THE WITNESS: Hold on.
    MS. STEPHEN: It's here.
    MR. LEVINE: But not their
titles.
    THE WITNESS: Wait a second.
    All right. I was just looking at the
    first page. Oh, great.
```
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MS. STEPHEN: It's on it.
THE WITNESS: Great. Thank you
very much. Alright. Brilliant.
Alright. Then $I$ can answer that.
A. Both of those are tenured.
Q. Okay. And the Faculty Finance Resource Committee, do you know who the chair is of that?
A. I don't know who the chair is right now. I know the chair is elected, the members of the committee elected the chair and $I$ don't know who is the chair at the moment.
Q. Okay.
A. I don't know.
Q. And -- with that --

THE HEARING OFFICER: Sorry. Is
that the same for all of those elected committees that the chair is elected by the members of the committee? Do you know?

THE WITNESS: I don't know that there is a formal election, but that there is consensus amongst the group, because
DENISON - Cross
that's -- because it seems to suggest that there would be sort of ballots in an election.

THE HEARING OFFICER: But is the position filled by the members of the committee?

THE WITNESS: Yes.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Is there external involvement outside the committee in the chair selection process?

THE WITNESS: No. I would not say that --

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
THE WITNESS: -- in these -- I mean, most -- I'll say no on that one.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
MR. LEVINE: SO, I believe
we're --
THE WITNESS: And then the grants, I'm chairing that one, and the off-ladder, obviously would be for renewed and renewable --
Q. So the person chairing --

THE WITNESS: -- person chairing

## DENISON - Cross

it.
Q. Committee, they're not listed here? The grants committee --
A. I am chair.
Q. Right, but $I$ don't believe you're listed here, unless I'm missing it.

MR. LEVY: Associate provost.
MR. LEVINE: I see it's listed there chair on top, associate provost. Thank you.
Q. And all of the individual
members in this case, none of them are reviewed and renewable; is that correct?
A. This particular group, no.
Q. They're eligible to serve on this committee, but none are?
A. They are eligible.
Q. Uh-hum.
A. And these -- you know, these will change -- the membership will change year to year according to the elections.
Q. Okay.
A. So.
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Q. So you don't think that the -the failure of this committee to include any off-ladder faculty is a reflection of the fact that you're eligible for less money and grants are less important topic to that group?
A. Were eligible for the same amount, if $I$ understood your question.
Q. Okay.
A. Yes, we're -- we're eligible -I mean, $I$ chair that committee, $I$ know that, and we get -- we get applications from the renewed and reviewable faculty that come into the grants committees.
Q. And can you tell me -- keeping this in front of you for a moment --
A. Sure.
Q. $\quad$ - how many tenure and tenured track faculty approximately are there at Barnard?
A. Tenure and tenured track, I'm going to give you a round number. I'm going to talk, roundly, 200 .
Q. Okay. And --
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A. And that may be off. I mean, I don't do math. Keep from remembering that, please.
Q. With the understanding that the scholarship requirements are greater for tenured track and tenured faculty, as we've discussed, are a greater percentage of their applications for grants approved?
A. Alright.
Q. Now, if you know -- but you're chair of the committee, so I thought you might --
A. No. I -- no, it's a very good question. And the hesitation in answering that -- but first $I$ would not say that the tenured and tenured track are privileged over the renewed and renewable. So it varies -- the applications come in four times a year, and it -- applications will vary tremendously from each group, and if we have the money within the budget to give the grants of $\$ 4,000$ per year, we'll certainly do it. If there have been an inordinate number of applications for

DENISON - Cross
grants, by the time we get to the fourth round of grants, we may, at that point, have to make the kinds of decisions like who are we privileging here. We have not yet reached that moment. But in -- and part of the restructuring of the provost's office, I would say there are more grant applications coming in. That's why I'm giving that caveat, because there may be a moment where we will say we've gone through our funds. It's not an un-- it would be lovely if we had indefinite amounts of funds.
Q. I understand. Things are tight.
A. So...
Q. Did you testify that term
faculty can apply for travel grants?
A. Yeah. That's -- that was the one that where $I$ was -- where I would love to see a letter of appointment, because what was not clear in my mind, and so I appreciate your going back to that, because I would like to be as accurate as
DENISON - Cross
possible on that, is I believe the term faculty have a thousand dollars. Now -and $I$ think the wording in their appointment letter is something like "to enhance their professional development." I'll use that. And it may be -- the wording may be very different, but it gives them a thousand dollars. Now, I think you would find then that the majority of those terms would probably apply for a faculty conference travel grant.
Q. Right.
A. Yeah. So --
Q. And is that the same amount that reviewed and renewable faculty can apply for if they want to go to a conference?
A. No. It is -- it is a smaller -- it's a smaller figure, because if you look at the policy, there is a figure more over a seven-year period for the renewed and renewable for the tenured and tenurable. That gives you the total of the amount that you can apply for over
DENISON - Cross
that period of time.
Q. And you can use all of it for one trip, or there's some limit?
A. There are limits, because what will happen is, when those forms come in, you go back in to see how much have you applied during this particular year -this policy is just now being changed, which is why I'm hesitating to give you precise figures on that. It's been on a -- it's -- in fact, I was supposed to be at a meeting at 4:00 today that is discussing that. So that's why I am -- I am being vague about it, because I don't -- I know that it is -- that they are talking about the process and I don't know what the process is going to be in the new fiscal year, and that's why we're having that meeting today. So I'm not trying to avoid the question. I just don't -- you know, I would like to give you a very precise answer to that, and I don't have that very precise answer as to what the figures are. DENISON - Cross
Q. But, in any event, the term faculty and the reviewed and renewable all have, at least theoretically, access to money to travel to conferences?
A. Yes, but the terms have a different -- have a different --
Q. I understand.
A. It's a very different and is a much more limited figure compared to the renewed and renewable.
Q. But one of the reasons for that is that it's for a shorter period of time?
A. The reason for that is that they have a terminal appointment, they are leaving the college, and the college is not financially -- is not making a commitment to them in a way that they would make a commitment to the renewed and renewable, the tenured track, and the tenured. So that's the key distinction there as to why there would be a different figure and a different policy.
Q. Thinking about the elected committees, now --
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A. Okay.
Q. -- backing off the -- I decided to go through grants, because we were talking about the grant committee --
A. Okay.
Q. -- are there other elected committees that you haven't mentioned that you serve on as associate provost?
A. Not that $I$ know of. Unless I'm completely blanking it out --
Q. Are there --
A. I mean, these are -- these are the committees. If you know of one, let me know. I may be missing some meetings then.
Q. And these are also--
A. Yeah, I mean -- I mean, there's -- there's a -- oh, there is a subcommittee of the COI where this has to do with the general education requirements that go back to the academic curriculum review. If there are student appeals coming in, if they say this course should count for this kind of general education
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or requirement, why is it not on the
list? There are meetings, I meet with the registrar, and we go through those.

That's the only other committee that $I$ can think of, but you won't -- it's not an elected one. Do we -- is it part of the Curriculum? Yes, but it's a subcommittee of the COI.
Q. Okay. So with the elected faculty -- what are called elected faculty committees --
A. Yes.
Q. -- to your knowledge, do any other administrators serve on those faculty committees?
A. If you looked at the composition of the committee --
Q. Yes, I see, they do.
A. $\quad--$ and it's very explicit there, yes.
Q. So many of those are chaired by administrators, apparently? Okay. Let's -- now, I want to make sure -- I did see your name under the appointive
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committees, so it may be --
A. Yeah, you're right. I saw my name there too.
Q. Now, I hope that wasn't a surprise.
A. No, no, I said, oh, yeah, that's right, I do that too.
Q. Is that what you're referring to, the Columbia College Committee on Instruction?
A. Yes.
Q. And -- and it says members -says member eligibility, one faculty member. And it then it says members, and you're the only one listed?
A. I am the only one listed. That is a committee that is at Columbia College, and it's not in the title, but it is now a Columbia College COI, Committee On Instruction, and it's a general studies COI. It's a joint committee. I am the Barnard representative. There's a single representative on that committee.
Q. And you are considered the
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faculty representative currently?
A. Yes. And the -- the provost recommends the member of that committee.
Q. Okay. Just so we're clear, I understand that administrators maintain their faculty ranks, but you are -- currently you serve as a full-time associate provost, and that's the work you're performing, rather than teaching?
A. That is true. It is a full-time job, as $I$ have been warned.
Q. I have no doubt.
A. So I'm also -- I'm also -- you have not noticed -- if you turn to the next page --
Q. Ah.
A. -- I'm on another one. I'm on the Columbia Faculty Athletics Committee.
Q. I'm impressed.
A. And as a faculty member, I am a fellow for the women's tennis team, and I can give you reasons for that, but $I$ don't think $I$ need to go into my many skills. And I think those are the only two ones
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that I've -- that -- that's right, that is accurate.
Q. Okay. Moving on to
departments: You testified, I believe -- correct me if I'm wrong -- that all reviewed and renewable faculty participate in the election of department chairs. Is that accurate in all departments?
A. Well, okay. I was speaking for the English department there and I think -- I don't -- I will take out the "think". There's a wide, wide range of sizes of departments. The English department, that is the process in the English department. Other departments may have their own procedures. And if it's a very small department, there may be a consensus that someone would stay on as a chair for a longer time, until someone else has been long-serving and knows the department well enough, and then would step in his chair. So I really know that that -- I know that varies from department DENISON - Cross
to department. So I would want to generalize across departments.
Q. Okay. I believe you testified as to the departments where reviewed and renewable faculty are currently, or those with those titles are currently serving as chairs; is that correct? What departments were those?
A. The one that $I$ remember is, again, Karen Fairbanks, in architecture.
Q. And would it be fair to say that there are few if any tenured track faculty in that department?
A. That's a good question. There was a tenure track member in that department and my understanding is is the person was not promoted, and my understanding is that, through the Faculty -- through the Faculty Budget and Procedures Committee, there will probably be another request to have a tenured line in that department, but it needs to go through the Faculty Budget and Procedures Committee. So there was a person in the
DENISON - Cross
department. There is not currently someone in the department.
Q. Is that why somebody in an Off-ladder rank is serving as chair?
A. I would not give that
explanation. I mean, if the explanation that you're saying to me is that there are, at this moment, there are only Off-ladder faculty that -- in that full-time renewed and renewable -- I'm going to take out "Off-ladder" -- renewed and renewable faculty in that department, then certainly it would have to be a renewed and renewable person. I think if you had an -- in that architecture department, if you had someone who is going to be going up for tenure as an assistant professor, that person, as in other departments, would not likely serve as chair, because what they're trying to do is to get, for all those assistant professors, to have them do as much scholarship in the field. They would like them to get tenure. Barnard would like to
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have a hundred percent people going through tenure, and that's -- sometimes it doesn't happen.
Q. Does the provost need to approve of a department having a nontenured faculty member as chair, or is that something that the faculty of the department can decide on their own?
A. I would think the faculty in the department -- again, you know, we're generalizing about so many different departments. I would assume that the faculty in the department would make that decision in consultation with the provost.
Q. You don't know for sure, though?
A. And they would -- so, you know, I -- that would be a question, again -again, that would not come to me as associate provost.
Q. Okay.
A. I mean, I know when I was chairing the department, I was asked by the provost to chair a department.
Q. You testified that chairs are
responsible for hiring adjuncts?
A. Yes.
Q. Do the chairs sign the -whatever document that finalizes hires? Is that the --
A. Yeah --
Q. -- action letter?
A. Yeah, the adjunct action form. That form would come from the chairs to the provost's office and usually I sign those forms.
Q. Okay.
A. And then they are then in the system. So I would go back -- if there were any question, I would go back to the chair of the department.
Q. And how are salaries set for the adjuncts?
A. Salaries are set -- if you go back to the Faculty Budgets and Procedures Committee, discussions would occur in that committee because they are dealing with the budget, the academic budget, and the chief operating officer comes to those --
in other words, the chief budgetary Officer for the college -- would come to those whenever possible as well. So it is always -- it is: Where's the budget this year? What do we think are appropriate of salaries? Do we have the funds to make a change in the salaries? So it occurs in that committee. And it's in the context of the budget for the college as a whole. THE HEARING OFFICER: Are we talking just about adjunct salaries? MR. LEVINE: I was going to ask that next, whether that's true for all ranks?

THE WITNESS: Yes, we are.
A. I -- I would say that is true for all ranks as well. In other words, there's a certain range, and if you -- you would usually stay within the range. If you're going outside of the range, that's the provost would speak to the budgetary Officer. It is -- is that answering it directly enough?

```
Q. Yes, I think so.
```

DENISON - Cross
A. Okay. That's really a provost decision and a budgetary decision.
Q. In terms of supervision, would it be fair to say -- you referred to the fact that a form is submitted at the end of each year by the reviewed and renewable that goes to the provost; is that correct?
A. That's correct. That's the personnel -- faculty personnel form.
Q. Right.
A. Yes.
Q. On a day-to-day level, if a reviewed and renewable faculty member has a problem or concern, would they normally go to their chair?
A. They would normally go to their chair.
Q. And who's responsible for assigning specific courses to members of the faculty?
A. The faculty would submit that to the chair the courses they would hope they could teach the following year. The chair would then look at the needs of the

## DENISON - Cross

department across the board, and I think they would do their best to accommodate the individual faculty member, and -- but they're, above all, looking at the needs of the department and what courses need to be covered.
Q. And the chairs would have primary responsibility for selecting adjuncts for hire as well as making course assignments in the way you've described, whether or not they were tenured faculty or had off-ladder ranks?
A. You're using that term
"Off-ladder" again. You mean renewed --
Q. There's a term --
A. You told me yesterday not to use it.
Q. Well --
A. I'm trying to be consistent.

You told me to use "renewed and renewable".
Q. I didn't, but that's fine.
A. You asked me to. You said,
"Let's not use the term 'off-ladder',

DENISON - Cross
because it is used..." -- you did.
Q. Well, are renew --
A. It's on the record.
Q. Well, "reviewed and renewable" is now more specific, because we're not excluding the term, who would not serve as chairs anyway. So that's fine.
A. So we're talking about renewed and renewable. So you want to rephrase that question, please?
Q. The question is whether chairs --
A. Yes.
Q. -- would have the responsibilities you described, primary responsibilities for hiring adjuncts and the primary responsibility for making ultimate course assignments, decisions -A. Yes.
Q. -- regardless of whether they were tenured faculty or not tenured faculty?
A. They would have the overview of the department, yes. So they would know

DENISON - Cross
what the needs of the department are and then they would make those recommendations in a budgetary statement that goes to the Office of the provost. Is that --
Q. Well, I'm really looking at
whether there's any distinction --
A. Distinction between --
Q. If you are a professional -- if you are a reviewed and renewable faculty member --
A. Yes.
Q. $\quad--$ serving as a chair --
A. Yes.
Q. -- are your responsibilities as chair any different than those of a tenured faculty member serving as chair?
A. They are no different.
Q. Okay.
A. Thank you.
(Continued on following page.)

## PROCEEDINGS

THE HEARING OFFICER: Let's take a break.
(Time noted: 4:00 p.m.)
(Brief recess.)
(Discussion held off the record.)

THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. We're finish for the day.
(Time noted: 4:20 p.m.)
$\square$
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